

2022 ESC Guidelines on cardiovascular assessment and management of patients undergoing non-cardiac surgery

Developed by the task force for cardiovascular assessment and management of patients undergoing non-cardiac surgery of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC)

Endorsed by the European Society of Anaesthesiology and Intensive Care (ESAIC)

Authors/Task Force Members: Sigrun Halvorsen *† (Chairperson) (Norway), Julinda Mehilli *† (Chairperson) (Germany), Salvatore Cassese** (Task Force Coordinator) (Germany), Trygve S. Hall** (Task Force Coordinator) (Norway), Magdy Abdelhamid (Egypt), Emanuele Barbato (Italy/Belgium), Stefan De Hert¹ (Belgium), Ingrid de Laval (Sweden), Tobias Geisler (Germany), Lynne Hinterbuchner (Austria), Borja Ibanez (Spain), Radosław Lenarczyk (Poland), Ulrich R. Mansmann (Germany), Paul McGreavy (United Kingdom), Christian Mueller (Switzerland), Claudio Muneretto (Italy), Alexander Niessner (Austria), Tatjana S. Potpara (Serbia), Arsen Ristić (Serbia), L. Elif Sade (United States of America/Turkey), Henrik Schirmer (Norway), Stefanie Schüpke (Germany), Henrik Sillesen (Denmark), Helge Skulstad (Norway), Lucia Torracca (Italy), Oktay Tutarel (Germany), Peter Van Der Meer (Netherlands), Wojtek Wojakowski (Poland), Kai Zacharowski¹ (Germany), and ESC Scientific Document Group

* Corresponding authors: Sigrun Halvorsen, Department of Cardiology, Oslo University Hospital Ullevål, Oslo, Norway, and University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway. Tel.: +47 91317460. E-mail: sigrun.halvorsen@medisin.uio.no.

Julinda Mehilli, Department: Medizinische Klinik I, Landshut-Achdorf Hospital, Landshut, Germany, Klinikum der Universität München, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität and German Centre for Cardiovascular Research (DZHK), partner site Munich Heart Alliance, Munich, Germany. Tel.: +49 871 4042782. E-mail: julinda.mehilli@lakumed.de

† The two chairpersons contributed equally to the document and are joint corresponding authors.

** The two Task Force Coordinators contributed equally to the document

Author/Task Force Member affiliations: listed in author information.

¹Representing the European Society of Anaesthesiology and Intensive Care (ESAIC)

ESC Clinical Practice Guidelines (CPG) Committee listed in the Appendix.

ESC subspecialty communities having participated in the development of this document:

Associations: Association for Acute CardioVascular Care (ACVC), Association of Cardiovascular Nursing & Allied Professions (ACNAP), European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging (EACVI), European Association of Percutaneous Cardiovascular Interventions (EAPCI), European Heart Rhythm Association (EHRA), and Heart Failure Association (HFA).

Councils: Council of Cardio-Oncology and Council on Valvular Heart Disease.

Working Groups: Adult Congenital Heart Disease, Aorta and Peripheral Vascular Diseases, Cardiovascular Pharmacotherapy, Cardiovascular Surgery, and Thrombosis.

Patient Forum

The content of these European Society of Cardiology (ESC) Guidelines has been published for personal and educational use only. No commercial use is authorized. No part of the ESC Guidelines may be translated or reproduced in any form without written permission from the ESC. Permission can be obtained upon submission of a written request to Oxford University Press, the publisher of the *European Heart Journal*, and the party authorized to handle such permissions on behalf of the ESC (journals.permissions@oup.com).

Document-Reviewers: Juhani Knuuti, (CPG Review Coordinator) (Finland), Steen Dalby Kristensen, (CPG Review Coordinator) (Denmark), Victor Aboyans (France), Ingo Ahrens (Germany), Sotiris Antoniou (United Kingdom), Riccardo Asteggiano (Italy), Dan Atar (Norway), Andreas Baumbach (United Kingdom), Helmut Baumgartner (Germany), Michael Böhm (Germany), Michael A. Borger (Germany), Hector Bueno (Spain), Jelena Čelutkienė (Lithuania), Alaide Chieffo (Italy), Maya Cikes (Croatia), Harald Darius (Germany), Victoria Delgado (Spain), Philip J. Devereaux (Canada), David Duncker (Germany), Volkmar Falk (Germany), Laurent Fauchier (France), Gilbert Habib (France), David Hasdai (Israel), Kurt Huber (Austria), Bernard Jung (France), Tiny Jaarsma (Sweden), Aleksandra Konradi (Russian Federation), Konstantinos C. Koskinas (Switzerland), Dipak Kotecha (United Kingdom), Ulf Landmesser (Germany), Basil S. Lewis (Israel), Ales Linhart (Czech Republic), Maja-Lisa Løchen (Norway), Michael Maeng (Denmark), Stéphane Manzo-Silberman (France), Richard Mindham (United Kingdom), Lis Neubeck (United Kingdom), Jens Cosedis Nielsen (Denmark), Steffen E. Petersen (United Kingdom), Eva Prescott (Denmark), Amina Rakisheva (Kazakhstan), Antti Saraste (Finland), Dirk Sibbing (Germany), Jolanta Siller-Matula (Austria), Marta Sitges (Spain), Ivan Stankovic (Serbia), Rob F. Storey (United Kingdom), Jurrien ten Berg (Netherlands), Matthias Thielmann (Germany), and Rhian M. Touyz (Canada/United Kingdom)

All experts involved in the development of these guidelines have submitted declarations of interest. These have been compiled in a report and simultaneously published in a supplementary document to the guidelines. The report is also available on the ESC website www.escardio.org/Guidelines

SD See the *European Heart Journal* online for supplementary data that include background information and detailed discussion of the data that have provided the basis of the guidelines.

 **Click here to access the corresponding ESC CardioMed chapters.**

Keywords

Guidelines • Non-cardiac surgery • Pre-operative cardiac risk assessment • Pre-operative cardiac testing • Biomarkers • Pre-operative coronary artery revascularization • Peri-operative cardiac management • Anti-thrombotic therapy • Peri-operative beta-blockers • Pre-operative treatment of valvular disease • Peri-operative treatment of arrhythmias • Post-operative cardiac surveillance • Peri-operative myocardial injury/infarction

Table of contents

1. Preamble	3832	3.2.1.1. Vascular and endovascular procedures	3843
2. Introduction	3834	3.2.1.2. Video-assisted non-cardiac surgery	3843
2.1. What is new	3834	3.3. Patient-related risk	3843
2.2. The magnitude of the problem	3839	3.3.1. Initial assessment	3843
2.3. Change in demographics	3840	3.3.1.1. Patients aged <65 years without a history of	
2.4. Purpose	3840	cardiovascular disease or cardiovascular risk factors	3843
2.5. The outcomes we want to prevent	3841	3.3.1.2. Patients aged ≥65 years or with cardiovascular risk	
3. Clinical risk evaluation	3841	factors	3843
3.1. Surgery-related risk	3841	3.3.1.3. Patients with established cardiovascular disease .	3844
3.1.1. Timing of surgery	3842	3.3.2. Patients with murmurs, chest pain, dyspnoea, or	
3.2. Type of surgical approach	3842	peripheral oedema	3845
3.2.1. Laparoscopy	3842	3.3.2.1. Murmurs	3845
		3.3.2.2. Chest pain	3845
		3.3.2.3. Dyspnoea	3845

Disclaimer: The ESC Guidelines represent the views of the ESC and were produced after careful consideration of the scientific and medical knowledge and the evidence available at the time of their publication. The ESC is not responsible in the event of any contradiction, discrepancy, and/or ambiguity between the ESC Guidelines and any other official recommendations or guidelines issued by the relevant public health authorities, particularly in relation to good use of health care or therapeutic strategies. Health professionals are encouraged to take the ESC Guidelines fully into account when exercising their clinical judgment, and in the determination and the implementation of preventive, diagnostic, or therapeutic medical strategies; however, the ESC Guidelines do not override, in any way whatsoever, the individual responsibility of health professionals to make appropriate and accurate decisions in consideration of each patient's health condition and in consultation with that patient and, where appropriate and/or necessary, the patient's caregiver. The ESC Guidelines do not exempt health professionals from taking into full and careful consideration the relevant official updated recommendations or guidelines issued by the competent public health authorities, in order to manage each patient's case in light of the scientifically accepted data pursuant to their respective ethical and professional obligations. It is also the health professional's responsibility to verify the applicable rules and regulations relating to drugs and medical devices at the time of prescription.

© The European Society of Cardiology 2022. All rights reserved. For permissions please e-mail: journals.permissions@oup.com

3.3.2.4. Peripheral oedema	3845	5.3.2.2.6. When to restart non-vitamin K antagonist oral anticoagulants after interventions	3868
3.4. Timing of adequate risk evaluation	3846	5.3.2.3. Combination therapy (antiplatelet and anticoagulant)	3868
3.5. Avoidance or allowance for surgery in the individual patient	3846	5.4. Peri-operative thromboprophylaxis	3869
3.6. The patient perspective	3846	5.5. Patient blood management	3869
4. Pre-operative assessment tools	3847	5.5.1. Pre-operative anaemia—diagnosis and treatment	3870
4.1. Risk scores	3847	5.5.2. Bleeding and reduction of iatrogenic diagnostic/surgery-related blood loss	3870
4.1.1. General risk calculators	3847	5.5.3. Optimal blood component use with patient-centred clinical decision support	3871
4.1.2. Frailty	3849	6. Specific diseases	3871
4.2. Functional capacity	3849	6.1. Coronary artery disease	3871
4.3. Electrocardiography	3850	6.1.1. Risk for patients with coronary artery disease	3871
4.4. Biomarkers	3850	6.1.2. Pre-operative risk assessment and management	3872
4.5. Non-invasive and invasive procedures	3851	6.1.3. Revascularization strategies	3872
4.5.1. Resting transthoracic echocardiography	3851	6.1.3.1. Chronic coronary syndromes	3872
4.5.2. Stress tests	3852	6.1.3.2. Acute coronary syndromes	3872
4.5.2.1. Exercise stress test	3852	6.2. Chronic heart failure	3874
4.5.2.2. Stress imaging	3852	6.2.1. Risk for patients with heart failure	3874
4.5.3. Angiography	3853	6.2.2. Pre- and post-operative management strategies	3874
4.5.3.1. Coronary computed tomography angiography	3853	6.2.3. Hypertrophic obstructive cardiomyopathy	3875
4.5.3.2. Invasive coronary angiography	3853	6.2.4. Patients with ventricular assist devices undergoing non-cardiac surgery	3875
5. General risk-reduction strategies	3854	6.3. Valvular heart disease	3875
5.1. Cardiovascular risk factors and lifestyle interventions	3854	6.3.1. Risk for patients with valvular heart disease	3875
5.2. Pharmacological	3854	6.3.2. Pre-operative management strategies and risk-reduction strategy	3876
5.2.1. Beta-blockers	3854	6.3.2.1. Aortic valve stenosis	3876
5.2.2. Amiodarone	3855	6.3.2.2. Mitral valve stenosis	3877
5.2.3. Statins	3855	6.3.2.3. Aortic valve regurgitation	3878
5.2.4. Renin–angiotensin–aldosterone system inhibitors	3855	6.3.2.4. Mitral valve regurgitation	3878
5.2.5. Calcium channel blockers	3855	6.3.2.5. Patients with prosthetic valve(s)	3878
5.2.6. Alpha-2 receptor agonists	3856	6.3.2.6. Prophylaxis of infective endocarditis	3878
5.2.7. Diuretics	3856	6.4. Known or newly diagnosed arrhythmias	3879
5.2.8. Ivabradine	3856	6.4.1. Peri-operative management—general measures	3879
5.2.9. Sodium–glucose co-transporter-2 inhibitors	3856	6.4.2. Supraventricular arrhythmias	3879
5.3. Peri-operative handling of antithrombotic agents	3857	6.4.3. Atrial fibrillation/flutter	3879
5.3.1. Antiplatelets	3857	6.4.4. Ventricular arrhythmias	3879
5.3.1.1. Single antiplatelet therapy	3857	6.4.5. Bradyarrhythmias	3881
5.3.1.2. Dual antiplatelet therapy	3860	6.4.6. Management of patients with cardiac implantable electronic devices	3881
5.3.1.3. De-escalation of antiplatelet effect	3862	6.5. Adult congenital heart disease	3882
5.3.1.4. Platelet function guided peri-operative management of antiplatelet therapy	3862	6.6. Pericardial diseases	3883
5.3.2. Oral anticoagulants	3863	6.7. Pulmonary disease and pulmonary arterial hypertension	3884
5.3.2.1. Vitamin K antagonists	3863	6.7.1. Pulmonary disease	3884
5.3.2.1.1. Vitamin K antagonists in patients with mechanical heart valves	3863	6.7.2. Pulmonary arterial hypertension	3884
5.3.2.1.2. Vitamin K antagonists for atrial fibrillation/venous thromboembolism	3864	6.8. Arterial hypertension	3885
5.3.2.1.3. Restarting vitamin K antagonists after invasive procedures or surgery	3864	6.9. Peripheral artery disease	3886
5.3.2.1.4. Reversal of vitamin K antagonists	3864	6.9.1. Peripheral artery disease and non-vascular non-cardiac surgery	3886
5.3.2.2. Non-vitamin K antagonist oral anticoagulants	3864	6.9.2. Peripheral artery disease and vascular non-cardiac surgery	3886
5.3.2.2.1. Unplanned surgery in patients on non-vitamin K antagonist oral anticoagulants and reversal for emergency procedures	3864	6.10. Cerebrovascular disease	3887
5.3.2.2.2. Planned interventions in patients on non-vitamin K oral anticoagulants	3866	6.11. Renal disease	3887
5.3.2.2.3. Bridging	3866	6.12. Obesity	3888
5.3.2.2.4. Laboratory testing before surgery	3866		
5.3.2.2.5. Considerations for specific procedures	3867		

6.13. Diabetes	3889	Recommendation Table 9 — Recommendations for stress imaging	3853
6.14. Cancer	3889	Recommendation Table 10 — Recommendations for coronary angiography	3853
6.15. Coronavirus disease 2019	3889	Recommendation Table 11 — Recommendations for lifestyle and cardiovascular risk factors	3854
7. Peri-operative monitoring and anaesthesia	3890	Recommendation Table 12 — Recommendations for pharmacological treatment	3856
7.1. Peri-operative monitoring	3890	Recommendation Table 13 — Recommendations for use of antiplatelet therapy in patients undergoing non-cardiac surgery	3862
7.2. Anaesthesia	3891	Recommendation Table 14 — Recommendations for interruption and resumption of anticoagulants in patients undergoing non-cardiac surgery	3868
7.2.1. Intra-operative haemodynamics	3891	Recommendation Table 15 — Recommendations for thromboprophylaxis	3869
7.2.2. Choice of anaesthetic agent	3892	Recommendation Table 16 — Recommendations for intra- and post-operative complications associated with anaemia	3870
7.3. Locoregional techniques	3892	Recommendation Table 17 — Recommendations for intra- and post-operative complications associated with blood loss	3871
7.4. Peri-operative goal-directed haemodynamic therapy	3893	Recommendation Table 18 — Recommendations for intra- and post-operative complications associated with allogeneic blood transfusion	3871
7.5. Post-operative management	3893	Recommendation Table 19 — Recommendations for the timing of non-cardiac surgery and revascularization in patients with known coronary artery disease	3874
8. Peri-operative cardiovascular complications	3893	Recommendation Table 20 — Recommendations for management of heart failure in patients undergoing non-cardiac surgery	3875
8.1. Peri-operative myocardial infarction/injury	3894	Recommendation Table 21 — Recommendations for management of valvular heart disease in patients undergoing non-cardiac surgery	3878
8.2. Spontaneous myocardial infarction (after day 2)	3897	Recommendation Table 22 — Recommendations for management of known or newly diagnosed arrhythmias	3880
8.3. Takotsubo syndrome	3897	Recommendation Table 23 — Recommendations for management of bradyarrhythmia and patients carrying cardiac implantable devices	3882
8.4. Acute heart failure	3897	Recommendation Table 24 — Recommendations for management of patients with adult congenital heart disease undergoing non-cardiac surgery	3883
8.5. Venous thromboembolism	3897	Recommendation Table 25 — Recommendations for pericardial diseases	3884
8.6. Atrial fibrillation and other relevant arrhythmias	3897	Recommendation Table 26 — Recommendations for patients with pulmonary arterial hypertension undergoing non-cardiac surgery	3885
8.6.1. Prevention of post-operative atrial fibrillation	3897	Recommendation Table 27 — Recommendations for pre-operative management of hypertension	3886
8.6.2. Management of post-operative atrial fibrillation	3898	Recommendation Table 28 — Recommendations for management of patients with peripheral artery disease and/or abdominal aortic aneurysm undergoing non-cardiac surgery	3887
8.6.2.1. Rate and/or rhythm control	3898	Recommendation Table 29 — Recommendations for management of patients with suspected or established carotid artery disease undergoing non-cardiac surgery	3887
8.6.2.2. Prevention of atrial fibrillation-related thromboembolic complications	3899	Recommendation Table 30 — Recommendations for management of patients with renal disease undergoing non-cardiac surgery	3888
8.7. Peri-operative stroke	3899	Recommendation Table 31 — Recommendations for management of patients with obesity undergoing non-cardiac surgery	3888
9. Key messages	3900		
10. Gaps in evidence	3900		
11. Sex differences	3901		
12. 'What to do' and 'what not to do' messages from the Guidelines	3901		
13. Quality indicators	3906		
14. Central illustration	3906		
15. Supplementary data	3907		
16. Data availability statement	3907		
17. Author information	3907		
18. Appendix	3907		
19. References	3908		

Tables of Recommendations

Recommendation Table 1 — Recommendations for selection of surgical approach and impact on risk	3843
Recommendation Table 2 — Recommendations for all patients scheduled for non-cardiac surgery	3845
Recommendation Table 3 — Recommendations for patients aged <65 years without signs, symptoms, or history of cardiovascular disease	3845
Recommendation Table 4 — Recommendations for pre-operative assessment in patients with previously unknown murmur, angina, dyspnoea, or peripheral oedema	3845
Recommendation Table 5 — Recommendations for patient information	3847
Recommendation Table 6 — Recommendations for pre-operative assessment of frailty and functional capacity	3849
Recommendation Table 7 — Recommendations for pre-operative risk assessment—electrocardiography and biomarkers	3851
Recommendation Table 8 — Recommendations for transthoracic echocardiography	3852

Recommendation Table 32 — Recommendations for management of patients with diabetes mellitus undergoing non-cardiac surgery	3889
Recommendation Table 33 — Recommendations for peri-operative monitoring and anaesthesia	3893
Recommendation Table 34 — Recommendations for peri-operative cardiovascular complications	3899

List of tables

Table 1 Classes of recommendations	3833
Table 2 Levels of evidence	3833
Table 3 New concepts and sections in the current guidelines ...	3834
Table 4 What is new	3834
Table 4A New recommendations	3834
Table 4B Revised recommendations	3838
Table 5 Surgical risk estimate according to type of surgery or intervention	3842
Table 6 Risk score calculators	3848
Table 7 Pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic characteristics of antiplatelets	3857
Table 8 Pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic characteristics of oral anticoagulants	3858
Table 9 Bleeding risk according to type of non-cardiac surgery	3858
Table 10 Laboratory parameters for the diagnosis of absolute iron-deficiency anaemia	3870
Table 11 Peri-operative approach to patients with ventricular assist devices undergoing non-cardiac surgery	3875
Table 12 Peri-operative management of patients with arrhythmias	3880
Table 13 Risk stratification for non-cardiac surgery in adults with congenital heart disease	3883
Table 14 Patient-related and surgery-related factors to be considered when assessing peri-operative risk in patients with pulmonary arterial hypertension	3885
Table 15 Factors that could influence peri-operative risk during cancer surgery and preventive strategies	3890

List of figures

Figure 1 Total risk is an interaction of patient-related and surgery-related risk	3841
Figure 2 Pre-operative assessment before non-cardiac surgery	3844
Figure 3 Examples of questions and concerns expressed by patients	3847
Figure 4 Recommended measurements to assess and detect the risk of post-operative cardiac complications	3850
Figure 5 Recommendations for management of antiplatelet therapy in patients undergoing non-cardiac surgery	3859
Figure 6 P2Y ₁₂ inhibitor interruption after percutaneous coronary intervention before elective non-cardiac surgery	3860
Figure 7 Bridging with intravenous antiplatelet agents. ASA, acetylsalicylic acid; FU, follow-up; LD, loading dose; NCS, non-cardiac surgery; <i>o.d.</i> , once a day	3861
Figure 8 Recommendations for management of oral anticoagulation therapy in patients undergoing non-cardiac surgery	3863
Figure 9 Peri-operative management of non-vitamin K antagonist oral anticoagulant according to the periprocedural risk of bleeding	3865

Figure 10 Timing of last non-vitamin K antagonist oral anticoagulant dose before elective NCS according to renal function	3866
Figure 11 Suggested strategy for potential reversal of non-vitamin K oral anticoagulants effect	3867
Figure 12 Management of patients with acute or chronic coronary syndrome scheduled for non-cardiac surgery	3873
Figure 13 Management of patients with severe aortic valve stenosis scheduled for non-cardiac surgery	3876
Figure 14 Management of patients with secondary mitral valve regurgitation scheduled for non-cardiac surgery	3877
Figure 15 Optimal location of return electrode during unipolar electrosurgery in patients with cardiac implantable electronic devices, depending on the surgery site	3882
Figure 16 Pathophysiological approach to address intra-operative hypotension	3892
Figure 17 Factors associated with peri-operative cardiovascular complications. SNS, sympathetic nervous system	3894
Figure 18 Differential diagnosis of elevated post-operative cardiac troponin concentrations	3895
Figure 19 Systematic work-up (aetiology) and therapy of peri-operative myocardial infarction/injury	3896
Figure 20 Prevention and management of post-operative atrial fibrillation	3898
Figure 21 Central illustration: the complex interplay between the intrinsic risk of surgery and the patient risk of peri-operative cardiovascular complications	3906

Abbreviations and acronyms

AAA	Abdominal aortic aneurysm
AAD	Antiarrhythmic drug
ACEI	Angiotensin-converting-enzyme inhibitor
ACHD	Adults with congenital heart disease
ACS	Acute coronary syndrome
ACS NSQIP	American College of Surgery National Surgical Quality Improvement Program
AF	Atrial fibrillation
AKI	Acute kidney injury
aPTT	Activated partial thromboplastin time
AR	Aortic valve regurgitation
ARB	Angiotensin receptor blocker
ARNI	Angiotensin receptor neprilysin inhibitor
AS	Aortic valve stenosis
ASA	Acetylsalicylic acid
ASA-PS	American Society of Anesthesiology Physical Status
ASCVD	Atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease
AUB-HAS2	American University of Beirut (AUB)-HAS2
AUC	Area under curve
AVR	Aortic valve replacement
BAV	Balloon aortic valvuloplasty
BCSH	British Committee for Standards in Haematology
<i>b.i.d.</i>	<i>Bis in die</i> (twice a day)
BTKi	Bruton tyrosine kinase inhibitors
BMI	Body mass index

BMS	Bare metal stent	EDKA	Euglycaemic diabetic ketoacidosis
BNP	B-type natriuretic peptide	eGFR	Estimated glomerular filtration rate
BP	Blood pressure	EMI	Electromagnetic interference
b.p.m.	Beats per minute	EORP	EURObservational Research Programme
BSA	Body surface area	ESA	European Society of Anaesthesiology
CABG	Coronary artery bypass graft	ESC	European Society of Cardiology
CAD	Coronary artery disease	ESH	European Society of Hypertension
CARP	Coronary Artery Revascularization Prophylaxis (trial)	ESTS	European Society of Thoracic Surgeons
CAS	Carotid artery stenting	ESVS	European Society for Vascular Surgery
CASS	Coronary Artery Surgery Study	EuSOS	European Surgical Outcomes Study
CCB	Calcium channel blocker	EVAR	Endovascular abdominal aortic aneurysm repair
CCS	Chronic coronary syndrome	FDA	US Food and Drug Administration
CCTA	Coronary computed tomography angiography	FFR	Fractional flow reserve
CEA	Carotid endarterectomy	FIIa	Factor IIa
CHA ₂ DS ₂ -VASc	Congestive heart failure, hypertension, age ≥75 years, diabetes mellitus, stroke, vascular disease, age 65–74 years, sex category (female)	FOCUS	Focused cardiac ultrasound
CI	Confidence interval	FXa	Factor Xa
CIED	Cardiac implantable electronic device	GDMT	Guideline-directed medical therapy
CK	Creatinine kinase	GFR	Glomerular filtration rate
CKD	Chronic kidney disease	HbA1c	Glycated haemoglobin
CKD-EPI	Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration	HF	Heart failure
C ^{max}	Maximum serum concentration	HIP-ATTACK	HIP Fracture Accelerated Surgical Treatment And Care track (trial)
CMR	Cardiac magnetic resonance	HR	Hazard ratio
COAPT	Cardiovascular Outcomes Assessment of the MitraClip Percutaneous Therapy for Heart Failure Patients with Functional Mitral Regurgitation (trial)	hs-cTn	High-sensitivity cardiac troponin
COPD	Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	i.v.	Intravenous
CORIDA	Per-procedural Concentration of Direct Oral Anticoagulants (trial)	ICA	Invasive coronary angiography
Coronary CTA VISION	Coronary Computed Tomographic Angiography and Vascular Events in Noncardiac Surgery Patients Cohort Evaluation (trial)	ICD	Implantable cardioverter–defibrillator
COVID-19	Coronavirus disease 2019	ICU	Intensive care unit
CPET	Cardiopulmonary exercise testing	ID	Iron deficiency
CRF	Cardiorespiratory fitness	IHD	Ischaemic heart disease
CRT	Cardiac resynchronization therapy	INR	International normalized ratio
CT	Computed tomography	ISCHEMIA	International Study of Comparative Health Effectiveness with Medical and Invasive Approaches (trial)
cTn T/I	Cardiac troponin T/I	iwFR	Instantaneous wave-free ratio
CTO	Chronic total occlusion	KDIGO	Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes
CV	Cardiovascular	LD	Loading dose
CVD	Cardiovascular disease	LMWH	Low molecular weight heparin
DAPT	Dual antiplatelet therapy	LOAD	Lowering the Risk of Operative Complications Using Atorvastatin Loading Dose (trial)
DASI	Duke Activity Status Index	LoE	Level of evidence
DES	Drug-eluting stent	LV	Left ventricular
DM	Diabetes mellitus	LVEF	Left ventricular ejection fraction
DSE	Dobutamine stress echocardiography	LVESD	Left ventricular end-systolic diameter
dTT	Diluted thrombin time	LVESDi	Left ventricular end-systolic dimension index
EACTS	European Association for Cardio-Thoracic Surgery	MACE	Major adverse cardiovascular event
ECG	Electrocardiographic/electrocardiogram	MET	Metabolic equivalent
		METS	Measurement of Exercise Tolerance before Surgery (trial)
		MHV	Mechanical heart valve
		MI	Myocardial infarction

MINS	Myocardial injury following non-cardiac surgery	TTE	Transthoracic echocardiography
MR	Mitral valve regurgitation	UFH	Unfractionated heparin
MS	Mitral valve stenosis	ULN	Upper limit of normal
NCS	Non-cardiac surgery	VAD	Ventricular assist device
NOAC	Non-vitamin K antagonist oral anticoagulant	VATS	Video-assisted thoracic surgery
NSAID	Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug	VEGF _i	Vascular endothelial grow factor inhibitor
NSTE-ACS	Non-ST-segment elevation acute coronary syndrome	VF	Ventricular fibrillation
NT-proBNP	N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide	VHD	Valvular heart disease
NYHA	New York Heart Association	VISION	Vascular Events in Noncardiac Surgery Patients Cohort Evaluation (trial)
OAC	Oral anticoagulant	VKA	Vitamin K antagonist
<i>o.d.</i>	<i>Omnis die</i> (once a day)	VKORC1	Vitamin K epoxide reductase complex 1
OR	Odds ratio	VO ₂	Oxygen consumption
OSA	Obstructive sleep apnoea	VT	Ventricular tachycardia
PA	Pulmonary artery	VTE	Venous thromboembolism
PAD	Peripheral artery disease	WHA	World Health Assembly
PAH	Pulmonary arterial hypertension	WPW	Wolff–Parkinson–White
PAUSE	Perioperative Anticoagulant Use for Surgery Evaluation (trial)		
PBM	Patient Blood Management		
PCC	Prothrombin complex concentrate		
PCI	Percutaneous coronary intervention		
PE	Pulmonary embolism		
PMC	Percutaneous mitral commissurotomy		
PMI	Peri-operative myocardial infarction/ injury		
POISE	PeriOperative ISchemic Evaluation Trial		
PPC	Prothrombin complex concentrate		
PT	Prothrombin time		
PVC	Premature ventricular contractions		
QI	Quality indicator		
RAAS	Renin–angiotensin–aldosterone system		
RBC	Red blood cell		
RCRI	Revised Cardiac Risk Index		
RCT	Randomized controlled trial		
RF	Radiofrequency		
rHuEPO	Recombinant human erythropoietin		
RR	Relative risk		
RV	Right ventricular		
SAPT	Single antiplatelet therapy		
SARS-CoV-2	Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2		
SAVR	Surgical aortic valve replacement		
SCD	Sudden cardiac death		
SGLT-2	Sodium–glucose co-transporter-2		
SORT	Surgical Outcome Risk Tool		
SPAP	Systolic pulmonary artery pressure		
STEMI	ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction		
SVT	Supraventricular tachycardia		
TAVI	Transcatheter aortic valve implantation		
TEE	Transoesophageal echocardiography		
TEER	Transcatheter edge-to-edge repair		
TIA	Transient ischaemic attack		

1. Preamble

Guidelines summarize and evaluate available evidence, with the aim of assisting health professionals in proposing the best management strategies for an individual patient with a given condition. Guidelines and their recommendations should facilitate decision-making of health professionals in their daily practice. Guidelines, however, are not a substitute for the patient's relationship with their practitioner. The final decisions concerning an individual patient must be made by the responsible health professional(s), based on what they consider to be the most appropriate in the circumstances. These decisions are made in consultation with the patient and caregiver as appropriate.

Guidelines are intended for use by health professionals. To ensure that all users have access to the most recent recommendations, the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) makes its guidelines freely available. The ESC warns readers that the technical language may be misinterpreted and declines any responsibility in this respect.

Many guidelines have been issued in recent years by the ESC. Because of their impact on clinical practice, quality criteria for the development of guidelines have been established in order to make all decisions transparent to the user. The recommendations for formulating and issuing ESC Guidelines can be found on the ESC website (<https://www.escardio.org/Guidelines>). The ESC Guidelines represent the official position of the ESC on a given topic and are regularly updated.

In addition to the publication of Clinical Practice Guidelines, the ESC carries out the EURObservational Research Programme of international registries of cardiovascular diseases and interventions, which are essential to assess diagnostic/therapeutic processes, use of resources, and adherence to guidelines. These registries aim to provide a better understanding of medical practice in Europe and around the world, and are based on high-quality data collected during routine clinical practice. Furthermore, the ESC develops sets of quality indicators (QIs)—which are tools to evaluate the level of implementation of the guidelines and may be used by the ESC, hospitals, healthcare providers, and

professionals to measure clinical practice, and in educational programmes—alongside the key messages from the guidelines, to improve quality of care and clinical outcomes.

The members of this Task Force were selected by the ESC to represent professionals involved with the medical care of patients with this pathology. The selection procedure aimed to ensure that there is a representative mix of members, predominantly from across the whole of the ESC region and from relevant ESC Subspecialty Communities. Consideration was given to diversity and inclusion, notably with respect to gender and country of origin. A critical evaluation of diagnostic and therapeutic procedures was performed, including assessment of the risk–benefit ratio. The level of evidence and the strength of the recommendation of particular management

options were weighed and scored according to pre-defined scales, as outlined below. The Task Force followed the ESC voting procedures. All recommendations subject to a vote achieved at least 75% among voting members.

The experts of the writing and reviewing panels provided declaration of interest forms for all relationships that might be perceived as real or potential sources of conflicts of interest. Their declarations of interest were reviewed according to the ESC declaration of interest rules and can be found on the ESC website (<http://www.escardio.org/Guidelines>) and have been compiled in a report and simultaneously published in a supplementary document to the guidelines. This process ensures transparency and prevents potential biases in the development and review processes. Any changes in declarations of

Table 1 Classes of recommendations

		Definition	Wording to use
Classes of recommendations	Class I	Evidence and/or general agreement that a given treatment or procedure is beneficial, useful, effective.	Is recommended or is indicated
	Class II	Conflicting evidence and/or a divergence of opinion about the usefulness/efficacy of the given treatment or procedure.	
	Class IIa	Weight of evidence/opinion is in favour of usefulness/efficacy.	Should be considered
	Class IIb	Usefulness/efficacy is less well established by evidence/opinion.	May be considered
	Class III	Evidence or general agreement that the given treatment or procedure is not useful/effective, and in some cases may be harmful.	Is not recommended

©ESC 2022

Table 2 Levels of evidence

Level of evidence A	Data derived from multiple randomized clinical trials or meta-analyses.
Level of evidence B	Data derived from a single randomized clinical trial or large non-randomized studies.
Level of evidence C	Consensus of opinion of the experts and/or small studies, retrospective studies, registries.

©ESC 2022

interest that arose during the writing period were notified to the ESC and updated. The Task Force received its entire financial support from the ESC without any involvement from the healthcare industry.

The ESC CPG Committee supervises and coordinates the preparation of new guidelines. The Committee is also responsible for the approval process of these guidelines. The ESC Guidelines undergo extensive review by the CPG Committee and external experts, including a mix of members from across the whole of the ESC region and from relevant ESC Subspecialty Communities and National Cardiac Societies. After appropriate revisions, the guidelines are signed-off by all the experts involved in the Task Force. The finalized document is signed-off by the CPG Committee for publication in the *European Heart Journal*. The guidelines are developed after careful consideration of the scientific and medical knowledge and the evidence available at the time of their writing.

The task of developing the ESC Guidelines also includes creating educational tools and implementing programmes for the recommendations, including condensed pocket guidelines versions, summary slides, summary cards for non-specialists, and an electronic version for digital applications (smartphones, etc.). These versions are abridged and thus, for more detailed information, the user should always access the full text version of the guidelines, which is freely available via the ESC website and the *European Heart Journal*. The National Cardiac Societies of the ESC are encouraged to endorse, adopt, translate, and implement all ESC Guidelines. Implementation programmes are needed because it has been shown that the outcome of disease may be favourably influenced by the thorough application of clinical recommendations.

Health professionals are encouraged to take the ESC Guidelines fully into account when exercising their clinical judgment, and in determining and implementing preventive, diagnostic, or therapeutic medical strategies. However, the ESC Guidelines do not override, in any way whatsoever, the individual responsibility of health professionals to make appropriate and accurate decisions in considering each patient's health condition and in consulting with that patient or the patient's caregiver where appropriate and/or necessary. It is also the health professional's responsibility to verify the rules and regulations applicable in each country to drugs and devices at the time of prescription and, where appropriate, to respect the ethical rules of their profession.

Off-label use of medication may be presented in these guidelines if a sufficient level of evidence shows that it can be considered medically appropriate to a given condition and if patients could benefit from the recommended therapy. However, the final decisions concerning an individual patient must be made by the responsible health professional, giving special consideration to:

- (a) the specific situation of the patient. In this respect, it is specified that, unless otherwise provided for by national regulations, off-label use of medication should be limited to situations where it is in the patient's interest to do so, with regard to the quality, safety, and efficacy of care, and only after the patient has been informed and provided consent;
- (b) and country-specific health regulations, indications by governmental drug regulatory agencies, and the ethical rules to which health professionals are subject, where applicable.

2. Introduction

2.1. What is new

Table 3 New concepts and sections in the current guidelines

A new flowchart for general assessment of patients before NCS.
A new section on pre-operative assessment of patients with newly detected murmurs, dyspnoea, oedema, or angina.
A new section on the patient perspective.
A new section on assessment of frailty.
A revised and expanded focus on use of biomarkers in NCS
A revised and expanded section on peri-operative management of antiplatelet therapy.
A revised and expanded section on peri-operative management of oral anticoagulants.
A new section on peri-operative thromboprophylaxis.
A dedicated section on patient blood management.
A new section on management of cardiovascular risk in patients with cancer undergoing NCS.
A small section on NCS in patients with recent COVID-19.
A new section on diagnosis and management of post-operative complications during NCS.

COVID-19, coronavirus 2019; NCS, non-cardiac surgery

Table 4 What is new

Table 4A New recommendations

Recommendation	Class
Clinical risk evaluation—Section 3	
Patients scheduled for NCS	
In all patients scheduled for NCS, an accurate history, and clinical examination are recommended.	I
It is recommended to perform a pre-operative risk assessment, ideally at the same time as the NCS is proposed.	I
If time allows, it is recommended to optimize guideline-recommended treatment of CVD and CV risk factors before NCS.	I
Endovascular or video-assisted procedures should be considered for patients with high CV risk undergoing vascular or pulmonary surgery.	Ila
Patients aged <65 years without signs, symptoms, or history of CVD	
In patients with a family history of genetic cardiomyopathy, it is recommended to perform an ECG and TTE before NCS, regardless of age and symptoms.	I
In patients aged 45–65 years without signs, symptoms, or history of CVD, ECG and biomarkers should be considered before high-risk NCS.	Ila

Continued

Pre-operative assessment in patients with a newly detected murmur, chest pain, dyspnoea, or peripheral oedema	
In patients with a newly detected murmur <i>and</i> symptoms or signs of CVD, TTE is recommended before NCS.	I
In patients with a newly detected murmur suggesting clinically significant pathology, TTE is recommended before high-risk NCS.	I
In patients with a newly detected murmur, but without other signs or symptoms of CVD, TTE should be considered before moderate and high-risk NCS.	Ila
If a patient scheduled for elective NCS has chest pain or other symptoms suggestive of undetected CAD, further diagnostic work-up before NCS is recommended.	I
If a patient in need of acute NCS also has chest pain or other symptoms suggestive of undetected CAD, a multidisciplinary assessment approach is recommended to choose the treatment with lowest total risk for the patient.	I
In patients with dyspnoea and/or peripheral oedema, an ECG and an NT-proBNP/BNP test is indicated before NCS, unless there is a certain non-cardiac explanation.	I
In patients with dyspnoea and/or peripheral oedema and elevated NT-proBNP/BNP, TTE is recommended before NCS.	I
Patient information	
It is recommended to give patients individualized instructions for pre-operative and post-operative changes in medication, in verbal and written formats with clear and concise directions.	I
It should be considered to set up a structured information list (e.g. a checklist to help with common issues) for patients with CVD or at high risk of CV complications scheduled for NCS.	Ila
Pre-operative assessment tools—Section 4	
Frailty and functional capacity	
In patients aged ≥70 years, being scheduled to undergo intermediate- or high-risk NCS, frailty screening should be considered using a validated screening tool.	Ila
Adjusting risk assessments according to self-reported ability to climb two flights of stairs should be considered in patients referred for intermediate- or high-risk NCS.	Ila
Transthoracic echocardiography	
TTE is recommended in patients with poor functional capacity and/or high NT-proBNP/BNP, or if murmurs are detected before high-risk NCS, in order to undertake risk-reduction strategies.	I
TTE should be considered in patients with suspected new CVD or unexplained signs or symptoms before high-risk NCS.	Ila
TTE may be considered in patients with poor functional capacity, abnormal ECG, high NT-proBNP/BNP, or ≥1 clinical risk factor before intermediate-risk NCS.	Ilb
To avoid delaying surgery, a FOCUS exam performed by trained specialists may be considered as an alternative to TTE for pre-operative triage.	Ilb
Stress imaging	
Stress imaging should be considered before high-risk NCS in asymptomatic patients with poor functional capacity, and previous PCI or CABG.	Ila

Continued

Coronary angiography	
CCTA should be considered to rule out CAD in patients with suspected CCS or biomarker-negative NSTEMI-ACS in case of low-to-intermediate clinical likelihood of CAD, or in patients unsuitable for non-invasive functional testing undergoing non-urgent, intermediate-, and high-risk NCS.	Ila
General risk-reduction strategies—Section 5	
Cardiovascular risk factors and lifestyle interventions	
Smoking cessation >4 weeks before NCS is recommended to reduce post-operative complications and mortality.	I
Control of CV risk factors—including blood pressure, dyslipidaemia, and diabetes—is recommended before NCS.	I
Pharmacological treatment	
For patients on diuretics to treat hypertension, transient discontinuation of diuretics on the day of NCS should be considered.	Ila
It should be considered to interrupt SGLT-2 inhibitor therapy for at least 3 days before intermediate- or high-risk NCS.	Ila
Antiplatelets	
For patients undergoing high bleeding risk surgery (e.g. intracranial, spinal neurosurgery, or vitreoretinal eye surgery), it is recommended to interrupt aspirin for at least 7 days pre-operatively.	I
In high-risk patients with a recent PCI (e.g. STEMI patients or high-risk NSTEMI-ACS patients), a DAPT duration of at least 3 months should be considered before time-sensitive NCS.	Ila
Anticoagulants	
When an urgent surgical intervention is required, it is recommended that NOAC therapy is immediately interrupted.	I
In non-minor bleeding risk procedures in patients using a NOAC, it is recommended to use an interruption regimen based on the NOAC compound, renal function, and bleeding risk.	I
In minor bleeding risk surgery and other procedures where bleeding can easily be controlled, it is recommended to perform surgery without interruption of OAC therapy.	I
In patients using NOACs, it is recommended that minor bleeding risk procedures are performed at trough levels (typically 12–24 h after last intake).	I
LMWH is recommended, as an alternative to UFH, for bridging in patients with MHVs and high surgical risk.	I
For patients with mechanical prosthetic heart valves undergoing NCS, bridging with UFH or LMWH should be considered if OAC interruption is needed and patients have: (i) mechanical AVR and any thromboembolic risk factor; (ii) old-generation mechanical AVR; or (iii) mechanical mitral or tricuspid valve replacement.	Ila
Idarucizumab should be considered in patients on dabigatran and requiring urgent surgical intervention with intermediate to high bleeding risk.	Ila
For interventions with a very high risk of bleeding, such as spinal or epidural anaesthesia, interruption of NOACs for up to five half-lives and re-initiation after 24 h should be considered.	Ila

Continued

When specific reversal agents are unavailable, PCC or activated PCC should be considered for reversing NOAC effects.	IIa
If an urgent surgical intervention is required, specific coagulation tests and assessment of NOAC plasma levels should be considered to interpret routine coagulation tests and waning of anticoagulant effect.	IIa
If bleeding risk with resumption of full-dose anticoagulation outweighs the risk of thromboembolic events, postponing therapeutic anticoagulation 48–72 h after the procedure may be considered, using post-operative thromboprophylaxis until resumption of full OAC dose is deemed safe.	IIb
Bridging of OAC therapy is not recommended in patients with low/moderate thrombotic risk undergoing NCS.	III
Use of reduced-dose NOAC to attenuate the risk of post-operative bleeding is not recommended.	III
Thromboprophylaxis	
It is recommended that decisions about peri-operative thromboprophylaxis in NCS are based on individual and procedure-specific risk factors.	I
If thromboprophylaxis is deemed necessary, it is recommended to choose the type and duration of thromboprophylaxis (LMWH, NOAC, or fondaparinux) according to type of NCS, duration of immobilization, and patient-related factors.	I
In patients with a low bleeding risk, peri-operative thromboprophylaxis should be considered for a duration of up to 14 or 35 days, for total knee or hip arthroplasty, respectively.	IIa
NOACs in thromboprophylaxis dose may be considered as alternative treatments to LMWH after total knee and hip arthroplasty.	IIb
Patient blood management	
It is recommended to measure haemoglobin pre-operatively in patients scheduled for intermediate- to high-risk NCS.	I
It is recommended to treat anaemia in advance of NCS in order to reduce the need for RBC transfusion during NCS.	I
In patients undergoing surgery with expected blood loss of ≥ 500 mL, use of washed cell salvage is recommended.	I
It is recommended to use point-of-care diagnostics for guidance of blood component therapy, when available.	I
The use of an algorithm to diagnose and treat anaemic patients before NCS should be considered.	IIa
In patients undergoing NCS and experiencing major bleeding, administration of tranexamic acid should be immediately considered.	IIa
Use of closed-loop arterial blood sampling systems should be considered to avoid blood loss.	IIa
Application of meticulous haemostasis should be considered a routine procedure.	IIa
A feedback/monitoring programme or clinical decision support system should be considered to be assessed before blood transfusion.	IIa
Before allogenic blood transfusion, it should be considered to obtain an extensive consent about risks associated with transfusion.	IIa

Continued

Specific diseases—Section 6	
Coronary artery disease	
Pre-operative evaluation of patients with an indication for PCI by an expert team (surgeon and cardiologist) should be considered before elective NCS.	IIa
Heart failure	
In patients with HF undergoing NCS, it is recommended to regularly assess volume status and signs of organ perfusion.	I
A multidisciplinary team including VAD specialists is recommended for peri-operative management of patients with HF receiving mechanical circulatory support.	I
Valvular heart disease	
In patients with symptomatic severe AR or asymptomatic severe AR and LVESD >50 mm or LVESDi (LVESD/BSA) >25 mm/m ² (in patients with small body size) or resting LVEF $\leq 50\%$, valve surgery is recommended prior to elective intermediate- or high-risk NCS.	I
In patients with moderate-to-severe rheumatic MS and symptoms or SPAP >50 mmHg, valve intervention (PMC or surgery) is recommended before elective intermediate- or high-risk NCS.	I
In asymptomatic patients with severe AS who are scheduled for elective high-risk NCS, AVR (SAVR or TAVI) should be considered after Heart Team discussion.	IIa
In patients with symptomatic severe primary MR or asymptomatic severe primary MR with LV dysfunction (LVESD ≥ 40 mm and/or LVEF $\leq 60\%$), valve intervention (surgical or transcatheter) should be considered prior to intermediate- or high-risk NCS, if time allows.	IIa
In patients with severe secondary MR who remain symptomatic despite guideline-directed medical therapy (including CRT if indicated), valve intervention (transcatheter or surgical) should be considered before NCS, in eligible patients with an acceptable procedural risk.	IIa
In patients with severe symptomatic AS in need of time-sensitive NCS or in whom the TAVI and SAVR are unfeasible, BAV may be considered before NCS as a bridge to definitive aortic valve repair.	IIb
Arrhythmias	
In AF patients with acute or worsening haemodynamic instability undergoing NCS, emergency electrical cardioversion is recommended.	I
In patients with symptomatic, monomorphic, sustained VT associated with myocardial scar, recurring despite optimal medical therapy, ablation of arrhythmia is recommended before elective NCS.	I
It is recommended that all patients with CIEDs that are reprogrammed before surgery have a re-check and necessary reprogramming as soon as possible after the procedure.	I
If indications for pacing exist according to the 2021 ESC Guidelines on cardiac pacing and cardiac resynchronization therapy, NCS surgery should be deferred and implantation of a permanent pacemaker should be considered.	IIa

Continued

Ablation should be considered in symptomatic patients with recurrent or persistent SVT, despite treatment, prior to high-risk, non-urgent NCS.	IIa
In high-risk CIED patients (e.g. with ICD or being pacing-dependant) undergoing NCS carrying a high probability of electromagnetic interference (e.g. involving unipolar electrosurgery above the umbilical area), CIED check-up and necessary reprogramming immediately before the procedure should be considered.	IIa
Adult congenital heart disease	
In patients with ACHD, a consultation with an ACHD specialist is recommended before intermediate- or high-risk surgery.	I
In patients with ACHD, it is recommended that intermediate- and high-risk elective surgery is performed in a centre with experience in the care of ACHD patients.	I
Pericardial diseases	
In patients with acute pericarditis, deferring elective NCS until complete resolution of the underlying process should be considered.	IIa
Avoiding elective NCS procedures under general anaesthesia until colchicine or the immunosuppressive treatment course for pericardial disease is completed may be considered.	IIb
Pulmonary arterial hypertension	
Inodilator drugs (dobutamine, milrinone, levosimendan), which increase cardiac output and lower pulmonary vascular resistance, should be considered peri-operatively according to the haemodynamic status of the patient.	IIa
Peripheral artery disease and/or abdominal aortic aneurysm	
Routine referral for cardiac work-up, coronary angiography, or CPET prior to elective surgery for PAD or AAA is not recommended.	III
Renal disease	
In patients with known risk factors (age >65 years, BMI >30, diabetes, hypertension, hyperlipidaemia, CV disease, or smoking) undergoing intermediate- or high-risk NCS, it is recommended to screen for pre-operative renal disease by measuring serum creatinine and GFR.	I
In patients with renal disease requiring peri-operative contrast-enhanced radiography, balanced hydration with i.v. isotonic fluids, the use of a minimal volume of contrast media, and the use of low-osmolar or iso-osmolar contrast media should be considered.	IIa
If a cystatin C measurement assay is available, cystatin C measurement should be considered in patients with impaired eGFR (<45–59 mL/min/1.73 m ²) to confirm kidney disease.	IIa
Obesity	
It is recommended to assess cardiorespiratory fitness to estimate peri-operative CV risk in obese patients, with particular attention to those undergoing intermediate- and high-risk NCS.	I
In patients at high risk of obesity hypoventilation syndrome, additional specialist investigation before major elective NCS should be considered.	IIa

Continued

Diabetes mellitus	
A pre-operative assessment for concomitant cardiac conditions is recommended in patients with diabetes with suspected or known CAD, and those with autonomic neuropathy, retinopathy, or renal disease and scheduled to undergo intermediate- or high-risk NCS.	I
Peri-operative monitoring and anaesthesia—Section 7	
It is recommended to avoid post-operative acute pain.	I
Perioperative cardiovascular complications—Section 8	
It is recommended to have high awareness of peri-operative CV complications combined with surveillance for PMI in patients undergoing intermediate- or high-risk NCS.	I
Systematic PMI work-up is recommended to identify the underlying pathophysiology and to define therapy.	I
It is recommended to treat post-operative STEMI, NSTEMI-ACS, acute HF, and tachyarrhythmias in accordance with guidelines for the non-surgical setting, after interdisciplinary discussion with the surgeon about bleeding risk.	I
In patients with post-operative PE of high or intermediate clinical probability, initiation of anticoagulation is recommended without delay, while diagnostic work-up is in progress, if bleeding risk is low.	I
Post-operative oral anticoagulation for PE is recommended to be administered for a period of at least 3 months.	I
In patients with a post-operative indication for OAC, NOACs are generally recommended over VKA.	I
In patients with post-operative AF after NCS, long-term OAC therapy should be considered in all patients at risk of stroke, considering the anticipated net clinical benefit of OAC therapy, and informed patient preferences.	IIa
In patients with MINS and at low risk of bleeding, treatment with dabigatran 110 mg orally <i>b.i.d.</i> may be considered from about 1 week after NCS.	IIb
Routine use of beta-blocker for the prevention of post-operative AF in patients undergoing NCS is not recommended.	III

AAA, abdominal aortic aneurysm; ACHD, adults with congenital heart disease; AF, atrial fibrillation; AR, aortic valve regurgitation; AS, aortic valve stenosis; AVR, aortic valve replacement; BAV, balloon aortic valvuloplasty; *b.i.d.*, twice a day; BMI, body mass index; BNP, B-type natriuretic peptide; BSA, body surface area; CABG, coronary artery bypass graft; CAD, coronary artery disease; CCS, chronic coronary syndrome; CCTA, coronary computed tomography angiography; CIED, cardiac implantable electronic device; CPET, cardiopulmonary exercise testing; CRT, cardiac resynchronization therapy; CV, cardiovascular; CVD, cardiovascular disease; DAPT, dual antiplatelet therapy; ECG, electrocardiogram; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; ESC, European Society of Cardiology; FOCUS, focused cardiac ultrasound; GFR, glomerular filtration rate; HF, heart failure; i.v., intravenous; LMWH, low molecular weight heparin; LV, left ventricular; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; LVESD, left ventricular end-systolic diameter; LVESDI, left ventricular end-systolic dimension index; MHV, mechanical heart valve; MINS, myocardial injury following non-cardiac surgery; MR, mitral valve regurgitation; MS, mitral valve stenosis; NCS, non-cardiac surgery; NOAC, non-vitamin K antagonist oral anticoagulant; NSTEMI-ACS, non-ST-segment elevation acute coronary syndrome; NT-proBNP, N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide; OAC, oral anticoagulant; PAD, peripheral artery disease; PCC, prothrombin complex concentrate; PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention; PE, pulmonary embolism; PMC, percutaneous mitral commissurotomy; PMI, peri-operative myocardial infarction/injury; RBC, red blood cell; SAVR, surgical aortic valve replacement; SGLT-2, sodium-glucose co-transporter-2; SPAP, systolic pulmonary artery pressure; STEMI, ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction; SVT, supraventricular tachycardia; TAVI, transcatheter aortic valve implantation; TTE, transthoracic echocardiography; UFH, unfractionated heparin; VAD, ventricular assist device; VKA, vitamin K antagonist; VT, ventricular tachycardia.

Table 4B Revised recommendations

Recommendations in 2014 version	Class	Recommendations in 2022 version	Class
Preoperative assessment tools—Section 4			
Electrocardiography and biomarkers			
Pre-operative ECG is recommended for patients who have risk factor(s) and are scheduled for intermediate- or high-risk surgery.	I	In patients who have known CVD or CV risk factors (including age ≥ 65 years), or symptoms or signs suggestive of CVD it is recommended to obtain a pre-operative 12-lead ECG before intermediate- or high-risk NCS.	I
Assessment of cardiac troponins in high-risk patients, both before and 48–72 h after major surgery, may be considered.	IIb	In patients who have known CVD, CV risk factors (including age ≥ 65 years), or symptoms suggestive of CVD, it is recommended to measure hs-cTn T or hs-cTn I before intermediate- and high-risk NCS, and at 24 h and 48 h afterwards.	I
NT-proBNP and BNP measurements may be considered for obtaining independent prognostic information for peri-operative and late cardiac events in high-risk patients.	IIb	In patients who have known CVD, CV risk factors (including age ≥ 65 years), or symptoms suggestive of CVD, it should be considered to measure BNP or NT-proBNP before intermediate- and high-risk NCS.	IIa
Universal pre-operative routine biomarker sampling for risk stratification and to prevent cardiac events is not recommended.	III	In low-risk patients undergoing low- and intermediate-risk NCS, it is not recommended to routinely obtain pre-operative ECG, hs-cTn T/I, or BNP/NT-proBNP concentrations.	III
Coronary angiography			
Pre-operative ICA is not recommended in cardiac-stable patients undergoing low-risk surgery.	III	Routine pre-operative ICA is not recommended in stable CCS patients undergoing low- or intermediate-risk NCS.	III
General risk-reduction strategies—Section 5			
Pharmacological treatment			
Transient discontinuation of ACEIs or ARBs before NCS in hypertensive patients should be considered.	IIa	In patients without HF, withholding RAAS inhibitors on the day of NCS should be considered to prevent peri-operative hypotension.	IIa
Antiplatelets			
Consideration should be given to performing non-urgent NCS in patients who have had recent DES implantation no sooner than 12 months following the intervention. This delay may be reduced to 6 months for the new-generation DES.	IIa	It is recommended to delay elective NCS until 6 months after elective PCI and 12 months after an ACS.	I
It is recommended that aspirin be continued for 4 weeks after BMS implantation and for 3–12 months after DES implantation, unless the risk of life-threatening surgical bleeding on aspirin is unacceptably high.	I	After elective PCI, it is recommended to delay time-sensitive NCS until a minimum of 1 month of DAPT treatment has been given.	I
Continuation of aspirin, in patients previously thus treated, may be considered in the peri-operative period, and should be based on an individual decision that depends on the peri-operative bleeding risk, weighed against the risk of thrombotic complications.	IIb	In patients with a previous PCI, it is recommended to continue aspirin peri-operatively if the bleeding risk allows.	I
Discontinuation of aspirin therapy, in patients previously treated with it, should be considered in those in whom haemostasis is anticipated to be difficult to control during surgery.	IIa	In patients without a history of PCI, interruption of aspirin at least 3 days before NCS may be considered if the bleeding risk outweighs the ischaemic risk, to reduce the risk of bleeding.	IIb
In patients treated with P2Y ₁₂ inhibitors, who need to undergo surgery, postponing surgery for at least 5 days after cessation of ticagrelor and clopidogrel—and for 7 days in the case of prasugrel—if clinically feasible, should be considered unless the patient is at high risk of an ischaemic event.	IIa	If interruption of P2Y ₁₂ inhibitor is indicated, it is recommended to withhold ticagrelor for 3–5 days, clopidogrel for 5 days, and prasugrel for 7 days prior to NCS.	I
Specific diseases—Section 6			
Coronary artery disease			
If PCI is indicated before semi-urgent surgery, the use of new-generation DES, BMS or even balloon angioplasty is recommended.	I	If PCI is indicated before NCS, the use of new-generation DES is recommended over BMS and balloon angioplasty.	I

Continued

Arrhythmias			
Patients with ICDs, whose devices have been pre-operatively deactivated, should be on continuous cardiac monitor throughout the period of deactivation. External defibrillation equipment should be readily available.	I	It is recommended that patients with temporarily deactivated ICDs have continuous ECG monitoring, and during the peri-operative period are accompanied by personnel skilled in early detection and treatment of arrhythmias. In high-risk patients (e.g. pacemaker-dependant or ICD patients), or if access to the torso will be difficult during the procedure, it is recommended to place transcutaneous pacing/defibrillation pads prior to NCS.	I
Hypertension			
Large peri-operative fluctuations in blood pressure in hypertensive patients should be avoided.	IIa	In patients with chronic hypertension undergoing elective NCS, it is recommended to avoid large peri-operative fluctuations in blood pressure, particularly hypotension, during the peri-operative period.	I
Clinicians may consider not deferring NCS in patients with grade 1 or 2 hypertension (systolic blood pressure <180 mmHg; diastolic blood pressure <110 mmHg).	IIb	It is not recommended to defer NCS in patients with stage 1 or 2 hypertension.	III
Peripheral artery disease			
Patients with PAD should be clinically assessed for ischaemic heart disease and, if more than two clinical risk factors are present, they should be considered for pre-operative stress or imaging testing.	IIa	In patients with poor functional capacity or with significant risk factors or symptoms (such as moderate-to-severe angina pectoris, decompensated HF, valvular disease and significant arrhythmia), referral for cardiac work-up and optimization is recommended prior to elective surgery for PAD or AAA.	I
Diabetes mellitus			
In patients at high surgical risk, clinicians should consider screening for elevated HbA1c before major surgery and improving pre-operative glucose control.	IIa	In patients with diabetes or disturbed glucose metabolism, a pre-operative HbA1c test is recommended, if this measurement has not been performed in the previous 3 months. In case of HbA1c ≥8.5% (≥69 mmol/mol), elective NCS should be postponed, if safe and practical.	I
Peri-operative monitoring and anaesthesia—Section 7			
Patients with high cardiac and surgical risk should be considered for goal-directed therapy.	IIa	In order to preserve optimal CV stability, it is recommended to apply goal-directed haemodynamic therapy in patients undergoing high-risk NCS.	I
Avoiding arterial hypotension (mean arterial pressure <60 mmHg) for prolonged cumulative periods (>30 min) may be considered.	IIb	In order to minimize the risk of post-operative organ dysfunction, it is recommended to avoid an intra-operative mean arterial pressure decrease of >20% from baseline values or <60–70 mmHg for ≥10 min.	I
Avoiding non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (especially cyclo-oxygenase-2 inhibitors) as the first-line analgesics in patients with IHD or stroke may be considered.	IIb	Non-aspirin NSAIDs are not recommended as first-line analgesics in patients with established or high risk of CVD.	III

AAA, abdominal aortic aneurysm; ACEI, angiotensin-converting-enzyme inhibitor; ARB, angiotensin receptor blocker; BNP, B-type natriuretic peptide; BMS, bare metal stent; CCS, chronic coronary syndrome; CV, cardiovascular; CVD, cardiovascular disease; DAPT, dual antiplatelet therapy; DES, drug-eluting stent; ECG, electrocardiogram; HbA1c, glycated haemoglobin A1c; HF, heart failure; hs-cTn, high-sensitivity cardiac troponin; ICA, invasive coronary angiography; ICD, implantable cardioverter-defibrillator; IHD, ischaemic heart disease; NCS, non-cardiac surgery; NSAID, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug; NT-proBNP, N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide; PAD, peripheral artery disease; PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention; RAAS, renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system.

2.2. The magnitude of the problem

The annual volume of major surgery worldwide is estimated to be more than 300 million patients (about 5% of the world population), which is a 34% increase from 2004 to 2012.^{1,2} Nearly 74% of these operations are performed in countries spending substantial amounts on health care. When applied to European Union countries, which

had an overall population of 448 million in 2020 (27 countries), this figure translates into a crude estimate of nearly 22 million major procedures annually.²

Nearly 85% of major operations are non-cardiac surgical procedures.³ In a recent report from the USA National Inpatient Sample database, nearly half of adults aged ≥45 years undergoing major non-

cardiac surgery (NCS) presented with at least two cardiovascular (CV) risk factors, 18% had coronary artery disease (CAD), 4.7% had a history of stroke, and 7.7% had a modified Revised Cardiac Risk Index (RCRI) score ≥ 3 (range 0–6) in 2012–13. These prevalence rates show a substantial increase compared with the equivalent rates in 2008–09.⁴ In a large registry including 37 915 consecutive patients undergoing percutaneous coronary interventions (PCIs) with drug-eluting stent (DES), the rates of NCS after PCI were 11% and 24%, 1 and 3 years after PCI respectively. The cut-off ages at which NCS was more likely to occur within 1 and 3 years of PCI were 62 and 73 years respectively.⁵

The prevalence of comorbidities, the clinical condition of patients before surgery, and the urgency, magnitude, type, and duration of the surgical procedure determine the risk of peri-operative complications. In a recent cohort study of 40 000 patients aged ≥ 45 years undergoing inpatient NCS, one of seven experienced a major cardiac or cerebrovascular complication at 30 days.⁶ Cardiovascular complications can particularly occur in patients with documented or asymptomatic coronary heart disease, left ventricular (LV) dysfunction, valvular heart disease (VHD), and arrhythmias, who undergo surgical procedures that are associated with prolonged haemodynamic and cardiac stress. In the case of peri-operative myocardial ischaemia, three mechanisms are important: (i) oxygen supply–demand mismatch on the background of coronary artery stenosis that may become flow-limiting by peri-operative haemodynamic fluctuations; (ii) acute coronary syndrome (ACS) due to stress-induced erosion or rupture of a vulnerable atherosclerotic plaque in combination with pro-inflammatory and hypercoagulable states induced by surgery, and the haemodynamic distress resulting from fluid shifts and anaesthesia; and (iii) surgery-associated bleeding risk requiring interruption of antiplatelet therapies, which might lead to stent thrombosis among patients undergoing NCS after recent coronary stent placement. Left ventricular dysfunction and arrhythmias may occur for various reasons at all ages. Because the prevalence of CAD, VHD, heart failure, and arrhythmias increases with age, peri-operative CV mortality and morbidity are predominantly an issue in the adult population undergoing major NCS.

In Europe, recent systematic data on the annual number and type of operations, and on patient outcomes are unfortunately lacking. Additionally, data definitions vary, as do data quantity and quality. Based on the estimates outlined above, nearly 6.6 million procedures are performed annually in European patients with CAD, peripheral artery disease (PAD), and cerebrovascular disease who are at high risk of CV complications. In a 7 day cohort study, the European Surgical Outcomes Study (EuSOS) group investigated the outcomes of NCS in 498 hospitals across 27 European nations and the UK; up to 8% of patients undergoing NCS required critical care admission, while in-hospital mortality ranged 1.4–21.5% (mean 4.0%), depending on safety precautions.⁷ In a recent prospective study of 2265 high-risk patients undergoing NCS in Switzerland, one out of five developed major adverse events within 365 days.⁸ When applied to the population in European Union countries, these figures translate into at least 660 000 major cardiac or cerebrovascular complications occurring annually due to NCS procedures.

The 2022 ESC Guidelines on cardiovascular assessment and management of patients undergoing NCS focus on the pre-operative CV risk assessment and peri-operative management of patients in whom

cardiovascular disease (CVD) is a potential source of complications during NCS.

2.3. Change in demographics

Within the next 30 years, the ageing of the population will have a major impact on peri-operative patient management. Patients undergoing NCS are older than the rest of the population. Furthermore, it is estimated that by 2030, one-fifth of individuals aged >75 years will undergo surgery each year. In addition, between 2018 and 2050, the number of people in Europe aged 75–84 years is projected to increase by $\sim 60\%$. The total number of surgical procedures may increase even faster because of the greater need for interventions with increasing age. Demographics of patients undergoing surgery show trends towards increasing numbers of elderly patients and increasing numbers of patients with comorbidities, particularly CVDs. Thus, adults aged ≥ 75 years have a greater risk of peri-operative major adverse cardiovascular events (MACEs) (9.5% vs. 4.8% for younger adults [$P < 0.001$]).⁹ However, age per se seems to be responsible for a small increase in the risk of complications; greater risks are associated with urgency and significant CV, pulmonary, and renal disease.

2.4. Purpose

As many years have passed and new evidence has become available since the publication of the 2014 ESC/European Society of Anaesthesiology (ESA) Guidelines on non-cardiac surgery: cardiovascular assessment and management,¹⁰ the ESC has decided to revise the guidelines on NCS. These new guidelines are based on the 2014 edition, but all sections have been revised or rewritten, and several new sections have been added. Some of the old recommendations are unchanged or have been revised, and new recommendations have been added.

These guidelines are intended for physicians, healthcare workers, and collaborators involved in the pre-operative, operative, and post-operative care of patients undergoing NCS. The objective is to endorse a standardized and evidence-based approach to peri-operative CV management. The guidelines recommend a stepwise evaluation of the patient that integrates clinical risk factors and test results with the estimated stress of the planned surgical procedure and the risks involved with the discontinuation of drugs. This results in an individualized risk assessment, with the opportunity of initiating medical therapy, coronary interventions, and specific surgical and anaesthetic techniques, or withholding medical therapy, in order to optimize the patient's peri-operative condition. Further, it should be discussed in which institutions (specialized small hospital vs. tertiary care) the NCS will be performed. It is important that patients' values and preferences with respect to the benefits and risks of surgery are taken into consideration, and that patients are involved in the decisions. This is particularly important when it comes to decisions about undergoing elective surgery or not, the timing of surgery, and choice of surgical and anaesthetic techniques.

Compared with non-surgical settings, randomized controlled trials (RCTs) are scarce in this field. However, since the publication of the 2014 ESC/ESA Guidelines on non-cardiac surgery: cardiovascular assessment and management there has been a significant increase in RCTs that are relevant in this setting. When no trials are available on a specific CV management regimen in the surgical setting, data from the non-surgical setting may be extrapolated and similar recommendations made, but with different levels of evidence.

These guidelines have the potential to improve peri- and post-operative outcomes and highlight the existence of a clear opportunity for improving the quality of care. Following the publication of these updated guidelines on NCS, their effects on outcomes should be monitored. The objective evaluations of the quality of the assessments and the outcomes are described in quality indicators (Section 13).

2.5. The outcomes we want to prevent

The recommendations in these guidelines are intended to prevent peri-operative CV morbidity and mortality, for example: peri-operative myocardial infarction/injury (PMI), stent thrombosis, acute heart failure (HF), haemodynamically relevant arrhythmias, pulmonary embolism (PE), ischaemic stroke, and death. It is also important to prevent bleeding complications, especially associated with antithrombotic treatment, since bleeding is associated with an increased risk of MI and death.^{6,11–13}

3. Clinical risk evaluation

Cardiovascular morbidity and mortality in patients undergoing NCS are determined by two main factors: patient-related risk and type of surgery or procedure, including the circumstances under which it

takes place (experience of institution, elective vs. emergency procedure).¹⁴ The risk may be reduced by an adequate pre-operative evaluation and proper selection of type and timing of the surgical procedure (Figure 1).

3.1. Surgery-related risk

The surgery-related risk is determined by the type and duration of the surgery, and the urgency of the procedure or intervention. The type of anaesthesia and anaesthetic drugs may also influence the risk of complications in patients at intermediate to high cardiac risk undergoing NCS (see Section 7).¹⁵ The surgical risk estimate is a broad approximation of 30 day risk of CV death, MI, and stroke, which only takes into account the specific surgical intervention without considering the patient's comorbidities (Table 5).^{10,16}

Any surgical procedure may increase the level of cortisol and catecholamines as stress responses due to tissue injury and inflammation, and neuro-endocrine and sympathovagal imbalance. Changes in body core temperature, blood loss, and fluid shifts may cause a rise in vascular resistance as well as hypotension,¹⁷ leading to imbalance between myocardial oxygen demand and delivery. Bleeding, transfusion of blood products, tissue injury, and inflammatory response may affect the coagulation system, inducing a prothrombotic state.




Figure 1 Total risk is an interaction of patient-related and surgery-related risk. Ideally, the total risk should be as close as possible to the lower left corner, by choosing surgery/procedure/anaesthesia/institution with the lowest possible risk along with efforts to mitigate the patient's CV risk.

Table 5 Surgical risk estimate according to type of surgery or intervention

Low surgical risk (<1%)	Intermediate surgical risk (1–5%)	High surgical risk (>5%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Breast • Dental • Endocrine: thyroid • Eye • Gynaecological: minor • Orthopaedic minor (meniscectomy) • Reconstructive • Superficial surgery • Urological minor: (transurethral resection of the prostate) • VATS minor lung resection 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Carotid asymptomatic (CEA or CAS) • Carotid symptomatic (CEA) • Endovascular aortic aneurysm repair • Head or neck surgery • Intraperitoneal: splenectomy, hiatal hernia repair, cholecystectomy • Intrathoracic: non-major • Neurological or orthopaedic: major (hip and spine surgery) • Peripheral arterial angioplasty • Renal transplants • Urological or gynaecological: major 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Adrenal resection • Aortic and major vascular surgery • Carotid symptomatic (CAS) • Duodenal-pancreatic surgery • Liver resection, bile duct surgery • Oesophagectomy • Open lower limb revascularization for acute limb ischaemia or amputation • Pneumonectomy (VATS or open surgery) • Pulmonary or liver transplant • Repair of perforated bowel • Total cystectomy

CAS, carotid artery stenting; CEA, carotid endarterectomy; CV, cardiovascular; MI, myocardial infarction; VATS, video-assisted thoracic surgery.

Surgical risk estimate is a broad approximation of 30 day risk of CV death, MI, and stroke that takes into account only the specific surgical intervention, without considering the patient's comorbidities.

Adapted from data in Glance *et al.*, Muller *et al.*, Bendixen *et al.*, and Falcoz *et al.*^{18–23}

3.1.1. Timing of surgery

In general, acute procedures carry a higher risk of complications than elective procedures. Uniform timing definitions are unfeasible, as the time spans may vary between diseases. These guidelines use the timing definitions below.

Immediate: surgery/intervention should be performed without any delay to save life or organ function.

Urgent: surgery/intervention should be performed without unnecessary delay to save life, limb, or organ function.

Time-sensitive: surgery/intervention should be performed as soon as possible as there is a time-dependent risk of losing limb or organ function, or increased risk of complications. Cancer surgery is typically time-sensitive, as is carotid surgery to prevent stroke in a symptomatic case. The time window for time-sensitive surgery will vary depending on the underlying disease.

Elective: surgery/intervention can be performed electively (not further defined) without significant risk of losing limb, or organ function, or increased risks of complications.

Many factors affect outcomes when comparing acute or time-sensitive vs. elective surgery: the general condition of the patient vs. the stage of the acute illness, and how far it has progressed. The best interests of the patient should be considered before deciding on treatment, informed consent to management should be obtained, if at all possible, and decisions should be clearly recorded.²⁴

The degree of urgency should also be considered (i.e. does the procedure need to be performed outside working hours or can it wait until the next day?). In general, competences and supportive functions are not always present in the evenings or during the night; thus, an overall evaluation of what best serves the patient is necessary. The optimal timing of NCS should be discussed within the multidisciplinary team, including

an anaesthesiologist, in order to achieve optimized anaesthesia for each patient (see [Section 7](#)).

3.2. Type of surgical approach

New surgical techniques have been introduced to replace open surgery and to reduce the overall risk for the patient.

3.2.1. Laparoscopy

Laparoscopic procedures, compared with open surgical procedures, have the advantage of causing less tissue trauma and intestinal paralysis, resulting in less incisional pain, better post-operative pulmonary function, significantly fewer wall complications, and diminished post-operative fluid shifts related to bowel paralysis.²⁵ However, the pneumoperitoneum required for these procedures results in elevated intra-abdominal pressure and a reduction in venous return. Typical physiological sequelae are secondary to increased intra-abdominal pressure and absorption of the gaseous medium used for insufflation.

While healthy individuals on controlled ventilation typically tolerate pneumoperitoneum, patients with CVD, some types of adults with congenital heart disease (ACHD), and obese patients may experience adverse consequences.²⁶ Pneumoperitoneum and Trendelenburg position result in increased mean arterial pressure, central venous pressure, mean pulmonary artery pressure, pulmonary capillary wedge pressure, and systemic vascular resistance impairing cardiac function.^{27,28} Therefore, compared with open surgery, the CV risk in patients with CVD is not necessarily reduced in patients undergoing laparoscopy, and both should be evaluated in the same way. This is especially true in patients undergoing interventions for morbid obesity, but also in other types of surgery, considering the risk of conversion to an open procedure.^{29,30} Superior short-term outcomes of laparoscopic vs. open procedures have been reported, depending on type of surgery, operator experience,

and hospital volume; however, few studies provide direct measures of cardiac complications.^{31–33} The benefit of laparoscopic procedures is probably greater in elderly patients, with reduced length of hospital stay, intra-operative blood loss, incidence of post-operative pneumonia, time to return of normal bowel function, incidence of post-operative cardiac complications, and wound infections.³⁴

3.2.1.1. *Vascular and endovascular procedures*

Endovascular abdominal aortic aneurysm repair (EVAR) is a procedure using femoral artery access only, and is therefore associated with lower operative mortality and morbidity than open repair. It minimizes the surgical risk in simultaneous surgery for the treatment of abdominal aortic aneurysm (AAA) and a non-cardiac disorder, and shortens the time delay from the treatment of AAA and the non-cardiac disorder in patients undergoing two-phase surgery.^{35–37} The early gain in mortality from EVAR procedures is lost after 3–4 years, compared with open surgical treatment, due to general morbidity (especially CV mortality) of AAA patients.

Various vascular and non-vascular NCS procedures bear different operative risks. While aortic and infra-inguinal vascular surgical procedures are both regarded as high-risk procedures, their risk can be modified by adequate peri-operative measures.³⁸ For patients undergoing treatment of femoropopliteal artery disease, an endovascular-first approach may be advisable in case of additional significant comorbidity. A meta-analysis of studies comparing open surgery with PCI for the treatment of femoropopliteal arterial disease showed that femoral bypass surgery was associated with higher morbidity (odds ratio [OR] 2.93; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.34–6.41) but similar mortality at 30 days compared with endovascular treatment.³⁹

3.2.1.2. *Video-assisted non-cardiac surgery*

Video-assisted thoracic surgery (VATS) is supported by a trial showing fewer peri-operative complications and a better quality of life in the first year following surgery for stage 1 lung cancer compared with anterolateral thoracotomy.²⁰ Also, a large propensity matched study conducted by the European Society of Thoracic Surgeons (ESTS) showed fewer post-operative complications following VATS compared with open thoracotomy.²¹ Overall, the benefits seem greatest in patients with reduced functional lung capacity.

Recommendation Table 1 — Recommendations for selection of surgical approach and impact on risk

Recommendations	Class ^a	Level ^b
Endovascular or video-assisted procedures should be considered for patients with high CV risk undergoing vascular or pulmonary surgery. ^{21,35–39}	IIa	B

CV, cardiovascular.
^aClass of recommendation.
^bLevel of evidence.

© ESC 2022

3.3. Patient-related risk

3.3.1. Initial assessment

Patient-related risk is determined by patient’s age, the presence or absence of CV risk factors (e.g. smoking, hypertension, diabetes, dyslipidaemia, family disposition)⁴⁰ or established CV disease, and comorbidities.⁴¹

Identification of patients at risk of CV complications is of paramount importance to choice of therapy when non-surgical options are available, or when the type of surgery or anaesthesia impacts the risk of complications. When emergency surgery is needed, the evaluation must necessarily be limited; however, most clinical circumstances allow a systematic approach.

As an initial assessment, it is recommended that all patients scheduled for NCS are evaluated by accurate history and physical examination, with special emphasis on CV risk factors, established CV disease, and comorbidities.⁴⁰ It is also recommended to measure standard laboratory tests (e.g. haemoglobin and renal function) in all patients undergoing intermediate- to high-risk surgery. Based on this information, further assessment of patient-related risk can proceed depending on the surgery-related risk, as shown in *Figure 2*. It is recommended to perform an electrocardiogram (ECG), assess the functional capacity, and/or measure biomarkers (cardiac troponins and/or N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide [NT-proBNP]/B-type natriuretic peptide [BNP]) depending on the patient-related and surgery-related risk (*Figure 2*). Detailed information on available tools for risk assessment, their prognostic ability, and indications to perform them is given in *Section 4*. More details on pre-operative management of patients with specific CV diseases are given in *Section 6*.

3.3.1.1. *Patients aged <65 years without a history of cardiovascular disease or cardiovascular risk factors*

Patients aged <65 years without signs, symptoms, or history of CVD or CV risk factors are considered to be of low risk, and can proceed to low- and moderate-risk surgery without additional pre-operative risk assessment.⁴¹ Before high-risk surgery, ECG and biomarkers should be considered (see *Sections 4.3 and 4.4*).⁴²

Patients without signs or symptoms of CVD, but with a family history of genetic cardiomyopathy (i.e. dilated, hypertrophic, arrhythmic, or restrictive cardiomyopathy, or LV non-compaction) should be evaluated with an ECG and an echocardiographic examination to rule out the presence of the disease, irrespective of the age.⁴³ No specific data are available in the literature regarding risk of family members without the phenotype; however, they are at risk of developing the disease, which may be subclinical at the time of the NCS.⁴³

3.3.1.2. *Patients aged ≥65 years or with cardiovascular risk factors*

Patients who are aged ≥65 years and patients with risk factors for CVD—such as hypertension, dyslipidaemia, or smoking—have an increased risk of having undetected CVD. The SCORE2 risk-prediction tool can be used to estimate their 10 year CVD risk outside the setting of NCS.⁴⁰ Patients who are aged ≥65 years and patients with risk factors for CVD also have an increased risk of peri-operative complications during NCS.^{41,44} These patients need additional assessment before intermediate- and high-risk surgery (*Figure 2*) and optimal treatment of risk factors.




Figure 2 Pre-operative assessment before non-cardiac surgery. CV, cardiovascular; CVD, cardiovascular disease; ECG, electrocardiogram; N, no; NCS, non-cardiac surgery. Y, yes; ^aCV risk factors: hypertension, smoking, dyslipidaemia, diabetes, family history of CVD. ^bBiomarkers: hs-cTn T/I (Class I) and/or BNP/NT-proBNP (Class IIa). If pathological, consult a cardiologist. ^cFunctional capacity based on Duke Activity Status Index (DASI) or the ability to climb two flights of stairs. ^dFor diagnostic and therapeutic efforts to be considered, see [Section 6](#). ^eClose follow-up after intervention and subsequent management of heart disease are advised.

This is also the case for patients with other diseases known to be associated with a high risk of concomitant undetected or known CVD ([Sections 6.8 and 6.11–6.14](#)).

3.3.1.3. Patients with established cardiovascular disease

The surgical procedure has the potential to aggravate the disease and increase morbidity and mortality in patients with established

CVD. This may be preventable by implementing appropriate CV risk stratification prior to NCS and individually tailoring peri-operative therapy to reduce the risk.⁴⁵ If time allows, it is also recommended to optimize guideline-recommended treatment of the disease before NCS. See [Section 6](#) for a detailed discussion of risk assessment and management of patients with known CVD.

Recommendation Table 2 — Recommendations for all patients scheduled for non-cardiac surgery

Recommendations	Class ^a	Level ^b
In all patients scheduled for NCS, an accurate history and clinical examination are recommended.	I	C
It is recommended to perform a pre-operative risk assessment, ideally at the same time as the NCS is proposed. ^{46–53}	I	B
If time allows, it is recommended to optimize guideline-recommended treatment of CVD and CV risk factors before NCS.	I	C

CV, cardiovascular; CVD, cardiovascular disease; NCS, non-cardiac surgery.

^aClass of recommendation.

^bLevel of evidence.

© ESC 2022

Recommendation Table 3 — Recommendations for patients aged <65 years without signs, symptoms, or history of cardiovascular disease

Recommendations	Class ^a	Level ^b
In patients with a family history of genetic cardiomyopathy, it is recommended to perform an ECG and TTE before NCS, regardless of age and symptoms.	I	C
In patients aged 45–65 years without signs, symptoms, or history of CVD, ECG and biomarkers should be considered before high-risk NCS.	Ila	C

ECG, electrocardiogram; NCS, non-cardiac surgery; TTE, transthoracic echocardiography.

^aClass of recommendation.

^bLevel of evidence.

© ESC 2022

3.3.2. Patients with murmurs, chest pain, dyspnoea, or peripheral oedema

Patients without known CVD and scheduled for elective or acute NCS are often referred to a cardiologist because of symptoms or signs that may be caused by CVD. Murmurs, chest pain, dyspnoea, and oedema may suggest severe CVD, but may also be caused by non-cardiac disease. Thus, the medical history, family history, and risk factors have to be obtained and considered. The patient’s physical capacity should be assessed. The need for further evaluation of the patient should be decided according to the risk of the planned procedure or surgery.

3.3.2.1. Murmurs

In a patient with a heart murmur, but without any symptoms of CVD, the value of performing an echocardiogram is not well-established and consensus is missing.^{54–56} However, if a heart murmur suggesting clinically significant pathology is present before high-risk NCS, it is recommended to perform an echocardiogram, even in patients without any symptoms of CVD. Old age or increased NT-proBNP may

increase the pre-test probability of haemodynamically significant but asymptomatic valvular disease. If the patient with the murmur also has symptoms of CVD, an echocardiogram is indicated before all NCS. The pre-operative setting is challenging, as the need for NCS and the risk of CVD have to be considered as independent factors. Thus, an echocardiogram may be useful in risk stratification for some patients, but whether it would improve outcome is uncertain. It is important to bear in mind that the time delay when performing additional but unnecessary examinations may worsen the patient’s prognosis.⁵⁷ It has also been discussed that a focused cardiac ultrasound (FOCUS) could replace auscultation in general in the pre-operative evaluation of patients.⁵⁸ While cardiac auscultation has severe limitations,^{59,60} the value of performing a FOCUS as a standard pre-operative evaluation remains uncertain. Cardiac auscultation should not be replaced by FOCUS.

3.3.2.2. Chest pain

Patients scheduled for NCS may also present with previously unrecognized symptoms suggestive of CAD. The disease leading to the need for NCS may aggravate a subclinical CAD, or the patient may have a concomitant undetected CAD. In an elective setting, if the symptoms are suggestive of CAD, the guidelines for CAD patients in the non-surgical setting should be followed (see [Sections 4.5.3 and 6.1.2](#)). If immediate, urgent, or time-sensitive NCS is needed, the time for and access to adequate diagnostic tools may be limited. However, ECG and troponins can be used to detect or exclude ACS (see [Sections 4.3 and 4.4](#)).

3.3.2.3. Dyspnoea

Dyspnoea is a symptom of a wide range of diseases and conditions. In a large series of patients, self-reported dyspnoea identified a subgroup of otherwise asymptomatic patients at increased risk of death from CVD and any cause.⁶¹ In the diagnostic work-up to find the reason for dyspnoea, spirometry, D-dimer, NT-proBNP/BNP, arterial blood gases, and transthoracic echocardiography (TTE) have diagnostic utility⁶¹ but limited specificity. If NT-proBNP/BNP is elevated, an echocardiogram should be performed. If NT-proBNP/BNP is not elevated, other reasons for dyspnoea should be explored.

3.3.2.4. Peripheral oedema

Increased hydrostatic pressure leading to oedema is a feature of a wide range of CV diseases, but an upright position is also a common cause of oedema. There is a spectrum of other diseases that can result in peripheral oedema not listed here.

Recommendation Table 4 — Recommendations for pre-operative assessment in patients with previously unknown murmur, angina, dyspnoea, or peripheral oedema

Recommendations	Class ^a	Level ^b
Newly detected murmur		
In patients with a newly detected murmur and symptoms or signs of CVD, TTE is recommended before NCS.	I	C

Continued

In patients with a newly detected murmur suggesting clinically significant pathology, TTE is recommended before high-risk NCS.	I	C
In patients with a newly detected murmur, but without other signs or symptoms of CVD, TTE should be considered before moderate-risk NCS.	IIa	C
Previously unknown angina		
If a patient scheduled for elective NCS has chest pain or other symptoms suggestive of undetected CAD, further diagnostic work-up before NCS is recommended.	I	C
If a patient in need of acute NCS also has chest pain or other symptoms suggestive of undetected CAD, a multidisciplinary assessment approach is recommended to choose the treatment with lowest total risk for the patient.	I	C
Dyspnoea and/or peripheral oedema		
In patients with dyspnoea and/or peripheral oedema, an ECG and an NT-proBNP/BNP test is indicated before NCS, unless there is a certain non-cardiac explanation.	I	C
In patients with dyspnoea and/or peripheral oedema and elevated NT-proBNP/BNP, TTE is recommended before NCS. ^c	I	C

© ESC 2022

BNP, B-type natriuretic peptide; CAD, coronary artery disease; CVD, cardiovascular disease; ECG, electrocardiogram; NCS, non-cardiac surgery; NT-proBNP, N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide; TTE, transthoracic echocardiography.

^aClass of recommendation.

^bLevel of evidence.

^cIf BNP/NT-proBNP testing is unavailable, TTE should be considered.

3.4. Timing of adequate risk evaluation

Pre-operative CV assessment should be performed prior to surgery, ideally at the time when the decision for NCS has been made. Accurate estimates of the risks and benefits of surgery is a prerequisite for informed decision-making by both physicians and patients about the appropriateness of surgery. These estimates should also help in guiding surgical (endovascular/endoscopic vs. open approach) and monitoring (intermediate care, screening for CV complications) approaches, and help to detect an unexpectedly high CV risk.⁴⁷ Therefore, the prognostic value of pre-operative CV risk assessment is much higher in elective vs. immediate or urgent surgery. Explicit communication of peri-operative CV risk, on the basis of the expected event rates,⁴⁷ and risk communication tools such as the A to Z Inventory of Decision Aids (<https://decisionaid.ohri.ca/AZinvent.php>) are recommended.

3.5. Avoidance or allowance for surgery in the individual patient

In the clinical setting it can be difficult to decide whether CVD represents a contraindication to NCS. In general, the risk for the patient if not operated on must be considerably higher than the risk of the treatment. Ideally, an unstable cardiac patient should be stabilized before NCS, but waiting can be detrimental for acute surgical disease. No definite list can be made for which cardiac disease is a clear contraindication to NCS, but in patients with severe HF (New York Heart

Association [NYHA] class IV), cardiogenic shock, severe pulmonary hypertension, or patients with severe frailty (see [Section 4.1.2](#) for frailty assessment), high-risk NCS should probably be avoided. Life expectancy and quality of life should also be taken into consideration. However, the decision should be made after discussions between the surgeon, anaesthesiologist, cardiologist, and also a geriatrician for elderly patients, along with the patient and relatives.

3.6. The patient perspective

Patients with established CVD may face concerns about their underlying disease and current CV medication, co-ordination between the surgical team and their cardiologist (examples provided in [Figure 3](#)), and the potential excessive risk compared with the expected outcome of the surgery. Time should be allowed to address concerns and to provide evidence-based information on the risk–benefit trade-offs and the surgical treatment options (including non-surgical or ‘do nothing’ alternatives) to ensure informed consent, and to allow patients to engage in shared decision-making with the aim of supporting the best decision. The team needs to understand the patient’s concerns and expectations about the treatment and short- and long-term goals, as the risk-benefits of the intervention may not be aligned with patient preferences and wishes. Communicating in plain language (oral and written) and targeting communication to fit the individual level of health literacy is pivotal. Several studies have indicated a relatively high prevalence of limited health literacy in patients with CVD (e.g. with HF),⁶² and limited health literacy is associated with adverse outcomes.⁶³ An example of a patient information sheet to be used in the communication with patients is given in the [Supplementary data, Table S1](#).

Recent systematic reviews and meta-analyses have focused on shared decision-making in the field of surgery across disease areas.^{64–67} In general, shared decision-making positively impacts decisional conflicts, knowledge gained, satisfaction, and decisional anxiety (although cultural variations may exist).⁶⁷ In the breast cancer/ endocrine and urology specialties, decision-making and communication aids appear to be effective methods for supporting patients’ involvement in decision-making when undergoing elective surgery. Moreover, educational information, provided through interactive multimedia, computer, or on DVD, used prior to the surgical consultation could enhance the decision-making process in addition to face-to-face communication.⁶⁶

In Europe, the prevalence of pre-operative anxiety among patients undergoing surgical procedures varies from 27–80%.⁶⁸ Although a certain level of anxiety in patients must be expected, peri-operative anxiety is associated with worse surgical outcomes and longer recovery,^{69–72} which highlights the importance of pre-surgical assessment and, in some patients, treatment of anxiety. Factors associated with pre-operative anxiety are complex and include, among others, age, sex, educational level, type of surgery, and fear of post-operative complications or the outcome.⁶⁸ Psychological reactions in patients undergoing high- or medium-high-risk procedures and/or patients with previous negative experiences of NCS may warrant particular attention. Concerns and fears expressed by patients and relatives should be taken seriously. A number of reviews and meta-analyses have summarized the effects of interventions on surgical outcomes in abdominal, cardiac, and orthopaedic surgery, which may also be applicable to patients with CV conditions in these settings.^{73–75}

Recommendation Table 5 — Recommendations for patient information

Recommendations	Class ^a	Level ^b
It is recommended to give patients individualized instructions for pre-operative and post-operative changes in medication, in verbal and written formats with clear and concise directions.	I	C
It should be considered to set up a structured information list (e.g. a check list to help with common issues) for patients with CVD or at high risk of CV complications scheduled for NCS.	Ila	C

© ESC 2022

CV, cardiovascular; CVD, cardiovascular disease; NCS, non-cardiac surgery.

^aClass of recommendation.

^bLevel of evidence.

4. Pre-operative assessment tools

4.1. Risk scores

4.1.1. General risk calculators

Several risk indices have been developed based on multivariable analyses of observational data and have been validated during the last decade (Table 6).^{47,49,76} Most risk calculators integrate both patient-related and surgery-related risk factors, but none of them include biomarkers among their variables. Calculators for most of the commonly used risk indices are available online (Table 6). The risk calculators can be used in addition, or as an alternative, to the assessment of surgery-related and patient-related risk factors described in Section 3.3. The Task Force decided against recommending one specific risk score. The Task Force also decided that the selection criteria for further pre-operative testing should be clinical criteria, and not based on a specific score.

- ? Do I need to take any cardioprotective medication before surgery?
- ? Who will inform my cardiologist about my surgery?
- ? Do I need to pause or reduce any of my medications, and what are the risks if I do so?
- ? Can my heart medications cause any problems during surgery?
- ? Are there any interactions or contraindications between my medications and drugs given during surgery?
- ? Who will take care of me and how will they communicate my history and needs during my hospital stay?
- ? Can you give me information on how I will be monitored before, during, and after surgery?
- ? How will the healthcare professionals involved in my care be informed about my heart condition?





Figure 3 Examples of questions and concerns expressed by patients.

Table 6 Risk score calculators

	Revised Cardiac Risk Index (RCRI) (1999)^a	Surgical Risk Calculator (2011)	The American College of Surgery National Surgical Quality Improvement Program (ACS NSQIP) (2013)	Surgical Outcome Risk Tool (SORT) (2014)	The American University of Beirut (AUB)-HAS2 Cardiovascular Risk Index (2019)^b
Variables	Ischaemic heart disease Cerebrovascular disease History of congestive heart failure Insulin therapy for diabetes Serum creatinine level ≥ 2 mg/dL High-risk surgery (each assigned 1 point)	Age ASA-PS grade Pre-operative dependent functional status Creatinine >1.5 mg/dL Type of surgery	Age Sex Functional status Emergency case ASA class Current steroid use Ascites within 30 days Systemic sepsis within 48 h Ventilator dependence Disseminated cancer Diabetes Hypertension on treatment Congestive HF Dyspnoea Current smoker History of severe COPD Dialysis Acute renal failure Body mass index Surgery code	ASA-PS grade Urgency of surgery High-risk surgical specialty Surgical severity (from minor to complex major) Cancer Age ≥ 65 years or over	History of H eat disease Symptoms of H eat disease (angina or dyspnoea) A ge ≥ 75 years A naemia (haemoglobin <12 g/dL) Vascular S urgery Emergency S urgery (2 H, 2 A and 2 S) (each assigned 1 point)
Score range	Score 1; risk 6.0% (4.9–7.4) Score 2; risk 10.1% (8.1–10.6) Score ≥ 3 ; risk 15% (11.1–20.0)	Absolute risk: 0–100%	Absolute risk: 0–100%	Absolute risk: 0–100%	Low risk (score 0–1); (0.3 and 1.6%) ^c Intermediate risk (score 2–3); (7.1 and 17%) ^c High risk (score >3); ($>17\%$) ^c
Outcome	30 day MI, cardiac arrest, death	Intra-operative and 30 day MI or cardiac arrest	Serious complications and any complications at 30 days	30 day mortality	30 day death, MI, or stroke
Derivation population	1422	211 410	1 414 006	11 219	3284
Validation population	Externally validated in various surgical populations	257 385	Externally validated in various surgical populations	22 631	1 167 414
Model performance (AUC)	0.68–0.76	0.81–0.85	0.73	0.81–0.92	0.82
Interactive calculator	https://www.mdcalc.com/reviced-cardiac-risk-index-pre-operative-risk	http://www.surgicalriskcalculator.com/miorcardiacarrest	https://riskcalculator.facs.org	http://www.sortsurgery.com	

AUC, area under curve; ASA-PS, American Society of Anesthesiology Physical Status; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; HF, heart failure; MI, myocardial infarction; RCRI, Revised Cardiac Risk Index.

^aThe RCRI was updated January 2019.

^bDakik *et al.* 2019, 2020, and 2022, and Msheik *et al.*^{49–51,81}

^cThe percentages relate to general surgeries.⁵⁰

The RCRI estimates the risk of 30 day mortality, MI, or cardiac arrest, and is based on six variables.^{46,47} It has been validated in several countries and is easy to use.⁴⁷ A score of 0 indicates a 4% risk of 30 day mortality, MI, or cardiac arrest; a

score of 1 indicates a 6% risk; a score of 2, 10%; and a score of ≥ 3 , 15%.⁴⁷
The American College of Surgery National Surgical Quality Improvement Program (ACS NSQIP) developed an interactive risk

calculator providing an estimate of the absolute 30 day probability of serious complications and any complications compared with the average patient.⁷⁶ Evaluated in the US surgical database, the ACS NSQIP model performed better than the RCRI, but an external validation in the Philippines found both to have excellent discriminative abilities for predicting any MACE.⁴⁸ The RCRI can be used without a web connection, whereas the ACS NSQIP is procedure-specific and is only available on the web. For clinical use, the RCRI is more accessible, but the ACS NSQIP offers procedure-specific absolute risk estimates, which are valuable in patient-guided decision-making. In vascular surgery, both risk calculators have shown moderate accuracy with an area under curve (AUC) of 0.64 (95% CI, 0.57–0.70) for ACS NSQIP and 0.60 (95% CI, 0.54–0.65) for RCRI, due to underestimation of the risk of MI. Attempts to generate procedure-specific vascular calculators have not given better predictions in validation cohorts.⁷⁷

The Surgical Outcome Risk Tool (SORT) estimates 30 day mortality after NCS based on the American Society of Anesthesiologists Physical Status (ASA–PS) grade, urgency of surgery, surgical speciality and severity, cancer, and age ≥65 years. In the validation study, combining subjective assessment with the SORT was significantly better than using either alone.^{78,79} The Surgical Risk Calculator is another tool that predicts intra-operative and 30 day risk of MI or cardiac arrest based on age, ASA–PS grade, pre-operative dependent functional status, creatinine, and type of surgery.⁸⁰

The American University of Beirut (AUB)-HAS2 Cardiovascular Risk Index is the most recently developed index to assess 30 day event risk (death, MI, or stroke), and stratifies patients undergoing NCS into low (score 0–1), intermediate (score 2–3), and high risk (score >3) based on six data elements (see Table 6); scores >3 denote a post-operative event rate of >10%.⁴⁹ The AUB-HAS2 Index has been tested in a broad spectrum of surgical subpopulations and demonstrated superior discriminatory power compared with the commonly utilized RCRI (Table 6).^{50,51,81}

There is significant variability in the predicted risk of cardiac complications using different risk-prediction tools; none can be disqualified with current evidence.⁸²

4.1.2. Frailty

Frailty is an age-related, multidimensional state of decreased physiological reserve that results in diminished resiliency, loss of adaptive capacity, and increased vulnerability to stressors.^{83,84} The peri-operative evaluation of elderly patients (>70 years) who require elective intermediate- or high-risk NCS should include frailty screening, which has proven to be an excellent predictor of unfavourable health outcomes in the older surgical population.

Frailty has a relevant impact on mortality and MI risk but does not add to risk estimation derived from the ACS NSQIP calculator, as frailty is associated with variables already in the main model. By adding six variables, the ACS NSQIP predicts the risk of post-operative delirium, functional decline, need of a new mobility aid, or pressure ulcer.⁸⁵ The use of this broader-inclusive score identifies cases that profit the most by involving a geriatrician in the pre- and post-operative team.^{86,87} A measure of frailty informs the patient and surgeon about further life expectancy and the chance of post-operative delirium, dependency of mobility support, and need of nursing home or other care support after planned surgery.

Of the available screening tools for frailty, the Frailty Index and the Frail Phenotype are the most commonly recommended.^{88,89} Of note, the Frailty Index includes cognitive testing, while both scores assess physical function.^{90,91} A simpler approach is offered by the Clinical Frailty Scale, which relies on information from the history taking. The Clinical Frailty Scale has been validated against the Frailty Index.⁸⁸ For cognitive screening to incorporate with the Frailty Index, Mini-Cog[®] is a simple and fast screening tool validated for pre-operative screening⁹² (Supplementary data, Figure S1, and Tables S2 and S3).

Once a diagnosis of frailty is confirmed, the prognosis of a frail patient can be improved by shared decision-making between at least a treating physician (e.g. surgeon), anaesthesiologist, geriatrician, the patient, and the patient’s relatives. During the shared decision-making process, a careful discussion with a frail patient about goals of care could help them to have realistic expectations and make better informed decisions before surgery. After a shared decision to go ahead with a planned NCS, multimodal pre-habilitation programmes—including exercise, nutrition, and psychological interventions—could potentially improve the peri-operative prognosis of frail patients by an individualized approach tailored to the patient’s baseline functional status, comorbidities, and cognitive/psychological function.⁹⁰

4.2. Functional capacity

Quantifying functional capacity has been a pivotal step in pre-operative cardiac risk assessment.¹⁰ Although the validity of interview-based assessment of functional capacity has been questioned,⁹³ a recent large prospective cohort study of high-risk patients undergoing NCS found self-reported inability to climb two flights of stairs added incremental value to the 30 day cardiac event rate when added to the RCRI.⁹⁴

Metabolic equivalents (METs) <4 have long been considered to indicate poor functional capacity; however, studies using METs have been based on subjective interviews and not shown proven value. In the Measurement of Exercise Tolerance before Surgery (METS) study, the Duke Activity Status Index (DASI) (<https://www.mdcalc.com/duke-activity-status-index-dasi#evidence>) had a more precise estimation of cardiac risk than subjectively assessed functional capacity, improving risk estimation using RCRI.⁹⁵ A DASI score <34 was associated with increased odds of 30 day death or MI.⁹⁶ From the DASI score, METs can be calculated as $VO_2 \text{ max (maximal oxygen consumption)}/3.5$; where $VO_2 \text{ max (mL/kg/min)} = 0.43 \times \text{DASI} + 9.6$. Furthermore, cardiopulmonary exercise testing (CPET) did not predict 30 day mortality, post-operative MI, or cardiac arrest.^{94,95} It should be noted that a relatively low number of primary outcome events limited the statistical power of the analysis.

Recommendation Table 6 — Recommendations for pre-operative assessment of frailty and functional capacity

Recommendations	Class ^a	Level ^b
In patients aged ≥70 years and scheduled to undergo intermediate- or high-risk NCS, frailty screening should be considered using a validated screening tool. ^{84–87,90,91}	IIa	B

Continued

Adjusting risk assessments according to self-reported ability to climb two flights of stairs should be considered in patients referred for intermediate- or high-risk NCS.⁹⁴

IIa	B
-----	---

© ESC 2022

NCS, non-cardiac surgery.
^aClass of recommendation.
^bLevel of evidence.

4.3. Electrocardiography

The 12-lead ECG is a widely available, simple, and inexpensive tool that is able to semi-quantitatively assess cardiac risk (e.g. Q waves indicative of previous MI), and detect unknown CV conditions requiring therapy (e.g. atrial fibrillation [AF] or AV-block).^{97–99} It is recommended to obtain a pre-operative 12-lead ECG in patients who are aged ≥65 years or have known CVD, CV risk factors, or

symptoms suggestive of cardiac disorders, and scheduled to undergo intermediate- or high-risk surgery. It is not recommended to routinely obtain a pre-operative ECG in low-risk patients undergoing low-risk NCS.¹⁰⁰

Comparison with previous ECG recordings is helpful whenever relevant abnormalities are identified. Pre-operative recording of ECG also enables identification of intra- and post-operative ECG changes.

4.4. Biomarkers

As the peri-operative risk for cardiac complications depends on the presence and extent of cardiac disease, widely available and simple biomarkers that detect and quantify essential prognostic aspects of cardiac involvement may aid in the evaluation. High-sensitivity cardiac troponin T/I (Hs-cTn T/I) quantifies myocardial injury, and BNP and NT-proBNP quantify haemodynamic cardiac wall stress (Figure 4).




Figure 4 Recommended measurements to assess and detect the risk of post-operative cardiac complications. ECG, electrocardiogram; hs-cTn, high-sensitivity cardiac troponin; PMI, peri-operative myocardial infarction/injury; ULN, upper limit of normal. In patients scheduled to undergo intermediate- or high-risk surgery, pre-operative risk assessment is complemented by ECG, hs-cTn, and BNP/NT-proBNP. An absolute increase in hs-cTn concentration of more than the ULN on days 1 or 2 after surgery compared to the pre-operative level is defined as PMI.^{109–111} In the absence of a pre-operative hs-cTn T/I concentration, a very high hs-cTn T/I concentration on day 1 (e.g. more than five-times the ULN) or a relevant change from day 1 to day 2 (absolute increase or decrease more than the ULN vs. day 1) would also achieve a reliable diagnosis of PMI. Detection of PMI should trigger ECG recording and detailed clinical evaluation for PMI work-up and therapy. The differential diagnosis of PMI according to the fourth universal definition of MI is discussed in Section 8. The ESC 0/1/2 h algorithm has not been validated for the peri-operative setting and cannot be used here.

Both Hs-cTn T/I and BNP/NT-proBNP complement clinical assessment and ECG in risk prediction.^{9,52,53,101–103} Hs-cTn T/I and, to a lesser extent, BNP/NT-proBNP concentrations are higher in patients with stress-induced myocardial ischaemia vs. those without, and very low hs-cTn T/I concentrations achieve a very high negative predictive value to rule out myocardial ischaemia.^{104–107}

Several large prospective studies have shown that both hs-cTn T/I and BNP/NT-proBNP have high and incremental prognostic value for peri-operative cardiac complications, including CV death, cardiac arrest, acute HF, and tachyarrhythmias. In a cohort of nearly 1000 subjects undergoing major elective NCS, individuals with pre-operative hs-cTn T concentrations of >14 ng/L had an in-hospital mortality of 6.9% vs. 1.2% in patients with hs-cTn T concentrations ≤14 ng/L (*P* < 0.001; AUC 0.81).⁵³ In a large prospective cohort study including 10 402 patients from 16 centres, NT-proBNP improved risk prediction beyond the RCRI.⁵² Among 1923 patients undergoing NCS, NT-proBNP outperformed both RCRI and echocardiographic parameters in the prediction of peri-operative CV events.¹⁰³ Overall, hs-cTn T/I and BNP/NT-proBNP seem to have comparable accuracy in the prediction of cardiac complications.^{52,53,98–103,108} However, Hs-cTn T/I has four advantages over BNP/NT-proBNP: (i) it is more widely available; (ii) it is less expensive; (iii) if normal, it enables acute MI to be ruled out in the preceding days; and (iv) availability of pre-operative hs-cTn T/I concentration enables accurate diagnosis of PMI on Day 1 after surgery.^{109–111} See Section 8 for more details on diagnosis and treatment of PMI.

B-type natriuretic peptide/NT-proBNP has two advantages. First, if elevated, evidence from randomized controlled screening studies performed outside the peri-operative setting has supported the concept that BNP/NT-proBNP-triggered cardiac work-up and intensification of therapy improve outcomes.^{112,113} Second, HF is a frequently undiagnosed condition in the elderly population most often undergoing NCS.^{47,114} Interpreting BNP/NT-proBNP concentrations as quantitative markers of HF with evolving rule-in cut-offs may facilitate detection of HF, optimal intra-operative monitoring, and initiation or optimization of HF therapy after surgery.¹¹⁴

To date, there is insufficient evidence in support of other CV biomarkers for this specific indication.^{115,116}

Recommendation Table 7 — Recommendations for pre-operative risk assessment—electrocardiography and biomarkers

Recommendations	Class ^a	Level ^b
In patients who have known CVD or CV risk factors (including age ≥65 years), or symptoms or signs suggestive of CVD it is recommended to obtain a pre-operative 12-lead ECG before intermediate- and high-risk NCS. ^{97–99}	I	C
In patients who have known CVD, CV risk factors (including age ≥65 years), or symptoms suggestive of CVD it is recommended to measure hs-cTn T or hs-cTn I before intermediate- and high-risk NCS, and at 24 h and 48 h afterwards. ^{53,105–107,109–111,117}	I	B

Continued

In patients who have known CVD, CV risk factors (including age ≥65 years), or symptoms suggestive of CVD, it should be considered to measure BNP or NT-proBNP before intermediate- and high-risk NCS. ^{52,104,112–114}	IIa	B
In low-risk patients undergoing low- and intermediate-risk NCS, it is not recommended to routinely obtain pre-operative ECG, hs-cTn T/I, or BNP/NT-proBNP concentrations. ^{109,111,117–119}	III	B

© ESC 2022

BNP, B-type natriuretic peptide; CV, cardiovascular; CVD, cardiovascular disease; ECG, electrocardiogram; hs-cTn I, high-sensitivity cardiac troponin I; hs-cTn T, high-sensitivity cardiac troponin T; NCS, non-cardiac surgery; NT-proBNP, N-terminal pro-BNP; ULN, upper limit of normal.

Abnormal ECG: pathological Q wave, ST-T wave changes, non-sinus rhythm, left bundle branch block. Abnormal pre-operative hs-cTn T/I: more than ULN. Age, sex, and known cardiac disease should also be considered when interpreting the pre-operative concentration.⁹⁸

Abnormal BNP: ≥35 pg/mL; abnormal NT-proBNP: ≥125 pg/mL. BNP/NT-proBNP should be interpreted as quantitative markers of heart failure, and also take into account age, sex, obesity, and known cardiac disease.^{52,114} Please note that age, renal dysfunction, and obesity are important confounders in the age group in which measurement of BNP/NT-proBNP is recommended, while sex has less impact.

^aClass of recommendation.

^bLevel of evidence.

4.5. Non-invasive and invasive procedures

4.5.1. Resting transthoracic echocardiography

In large retrospective cohorts, routine pre-operative TTE before high-risk NCS did not reduce the risk of post-operative MACE or provide more information than clinical risk models.^{120–122} Poor exercise tolerance, abnormal ECG, suspected new or significant CVDs without follow-up within the last 90 days, unexplained dyspnoea, or coexisting clinical risk factors are appropriate indications for TTE.^{123,124} Pre-operative TTE provides information on three main risk markers for post-operative cardiac events: LV dysfunction, VHDs, and cardiomyopathies. Left ventricular systolic dysfunction is an important predictor of post-operative HF.¹²⁵ However, low ventricular ejection fraction is a borderline independent predictor of major post-operative CV complications.^{126–128}

Pre-operative FOCUS examination—with a hand-held ultrasound device for the assessment of murmurs, haemodynamic instability, ventricular function, and dyspnea—may impact patient management by improving the diagnostic accuracy of clinical assessment, and help to triage candidates for standard TTE, plan surgery and anaesthesia technique, and with post-operative monitoring.^{129–131} However, current evidence remains mostly confined to uncontrolled or retrospective observational studies with no clear benefits on the outcome, despite a favourable impact on peri-operative management.^{130,132} In a multicentre randomized trial, preliminary results showed that pre-operative FOCUS significantly reduced all-cause mortality.¹³³ Notably, because of the lack of spectral Doppler capabilities, the FOCUS examination is only accurate for assessing main structural and functional abnormalities.

Patients with diastolic dysfunction are usually old, more hypertensive, obese, diabetic, and likely to have AF or chronic renal disease. Several studies with different clinical end-points have underlined

the association of diastolic dysfunction with post-operative adverse events, including pulmonary oedema, AF, and mortality.^{134–138} A meta-analysis including 3876 patients undergoing NCS found pre-operative diastolic dysfunction to be an independent risk factor for pulmonary oedema, congestive HF, and MI after surgery.¹³⁹ However, a recent retrospective study, including 7312 patients, showed no association between the degree of diastolic dysfunction and in-hospital mortality or hospital length of stay in NCS patients.¹⁴⁰ Awareness of diastolic dysfunction or high LV filling pressure (e.g. pulmonary hypertension, left atrial volume, E/e' ratio) seems necessary to optimize peri-operative patient management; however, evidence does not support screening for diastolic dysfunction.

Recommendation Table 8 — Recommendations for transthoracic echocardiography

Recommendations	Class ^a	Level ^b
TTE is recommended in patients with poor functional capacity ^c and/or high NT-proBNP/BNP, ^d or if murmurs are detected before high-risk NCS, in order to undertake risk-reduction strategies. ^{121,124,127,141–143}	I	B
TTE should be considered in patients with suspected new CVD or unexplained signs or symptoms before high-risk NCS. ^{59,124,125}	IIa	B
TTE may be considered in patients with poor functional capacity, abnormal ECG, high NT-proBNP/BNP, ^d or ≥ 1 clinical risk factor before intermediate-risk NCS. ^{126–128}	IIb	B
To avoid delaying surgery, a FOCUS exam performed by trained specialists may be considered as an alternative to TTE for pre-operative triage. ^{129,130,132,133,144}	IIb	B
Routine pre-operative evaluation of LV function is not recommended. ^{122,145}	III	C

BNP, B-type natriuretic peptide; CVD, cardiovascular disease; ECG, electrocardiogram; FOCUS, focused cardiac ultrasound; LV, left ventricular; NCS, non-cardiac surgery; NT-proBNP, N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide; TTE, transthoracic echocardiography.

^aClass of recommendation.

^bLevel of evidence.

^cSee Section 4.2.

^d ≥ 125 pg/mL/35 pg/mL.

4.5.2. Stress tests

4.5.2.1. Exercise stress test

Physical exercise, using a treadmill or bicycle ergometer, provides an estimate of functional capacity, evaluates blood pressure (BP) and heart rate response, and detects myocardial ischaemia through pathological ST-segment changes with poor sensitivity (61–73%) and specificity (60–80%).¹⁴⁶ An exercise stress test alone should only be considered a valuable alternative to diagnose obstructive CAD if non-invasive imaging tests are unavailable.¹⁴⁶ An exercise stress test is of no diagnostic value in patients with pre-existing ST-segment abnormalities (i.e. left bundle branch block, paced

rhythm, Wolff–Parkinson–White [WPW] syndrome, ≥ 0.1 mV ST-segment depression on resting ECG, or taking digitalis). In addition, an exercise test is unsuitable for patients with limited exercise capacity, owing to their inability to reach their target heart rate. Therefore, an exercise stress test alone should only be considered a valuable alternative to diagnose obstructive CAD if non-invasive imaging tests are unavailable, or for assessing functional capacity when clinical history is ambiguous.¹⁴⁶

4.5.2.2. Stress imaging

The use of stress imaging is appropriate for risk assessment in patients with clinical risk factors and poor functional capacity.^{147,148} The choice of the test is driven by local expertise. Selection, optimal, and safe performance of stress imaging should comply with related guidelines and recommendations.^{146,148,149} Stress imaging is not recommended in patients undergoing urgent surgery or with an unstable clinical condition. Evidence on the role of stress imaging for peri-operative risk prediction and patient management is largely based on inducible ischaemia by pharmacological stress testing, although no evidence indicates the superiority of pharmacological stress to exercise stress imaging in patients who are able to perform an adequate level of physical exercise. Several studies and meta-analyses have consistently defined clinical utility of pharmacological stress imaging for peri-operative risk assessment in patients undergoing NCS.^{150–154} Although RCTs related to post-operative outcome are lacking, there are large-scale prospective studies showing a risk-adjusted association of stress imaging results with peri-operative cardiac complications.^{155–157}

Studies and meta-analyses have demonstrated similar prognostic value of stress echocardiography and myocardial perfusion imaging for peri-operative risk assessment with slightly higher negative predictive value of stress echocardiography,¹⁵² but the overall accuracy varies with ischaemic heart disease (IHD) prevalence.¹⁵¹ A moderate-to-large perfusion defect on either test is highly sensitive for post-operative cardiac events.^{152,158} Normal stress imaging exams without resting abnormalities have high negative predictive value.^{159,160} However, positive predictive value of stress imaging for peri-operative cardiac events is relatively low and requires confirmation by other tests.^{150,152,161}

In a recent retrospective study including 4494 patients, dobutamine stress echocardiography (DSE) provided modest incremental predictive value for peri-operative CV complications over clinical variables and was found to be useful as part of a stepwise approach in the risk stratification of patients undergoing intermediate- to high-risk NCS.¹⁵⁷ The strongest predictors of post-operative adverse events determined so far are significant ischaemia (more than four ventricular segments) during DSE, ischaemic threshold (60% of age-predicted maximal heart rate), and a history of congestive HF.^{162,163}

A negative DSE without resting wall motion abnormality has excellent negative predictive value, even when target heart rate cannot be achieved despite an aggressive DSE regimen.¹⁶⁴ In asymptomatic patients if functional capacity is unknown, stress echocardiography also enables dynamic evaluation of LV systolic and diastolic function; valvular diseases such as aortic valve stenosis (AS), mitral valve stenosis (MS), and hypertrophic obstructive cardiomyopathy; and pulmonary hypertension.¹⁶⁵ However, the role of DSE for risk

estimation in non-*ischaemic* heart diseases before NCS has yet to be studied.

Myocardial perfusion imaging is particularly suitable if patients have poor acoustic windows for DSE. Meta-analyses of patients undergoing major NCS have demonstrated that, compared with fixed defects, reversible perfusion defects were associated with higher risk of cardiac death or non-fatal MI. The risk of cardiac events correlates with the extent of reversible perfusion abnormalities (severe: >20% of myocardium). Normal myocardial perfusion imaging in high-risk patients identifies a low-risk subgroup comparable with those without clinical risk factors for adverse cardiac outcomes.^{154,159,160}

Stress cardiac magnetic resonance (CMR) imaging and late gadolinium enhancement are also accurate tools for detection of IHD and prognostication.¹⁶⁶

Recommendation Table 9 — Recommendations for stress imaging

Recommendations	Class ^a	Level ^b
Stress imaging is recommended before high-risk elective NCS in patients with poor functional capacity ^c and high likelihood of CAD ^d or high clinical risk. ^{e,146,156–158}	I	B
Stress imaging should be considered before high-risk NCS in asymptomatic patients with poor functional capacity, ^d and previous PCI or CABG. ¹⁴⁷	IIa	C
Stress imaging may be considered before intermediate-risk NCS when ischaemia is of concern in patients with clinical risk factors and poor functional capacity. ^{d,152,157,158}	IIb	B
Stress imaging is not recommended routinely before NCS.	III	C

© ESC 2022

CABG, coronary artery bypass graft; CAD, coronary artery disease; ECG, electrocardiogram; LV, left ventricular; NCS, non-cardiac surgery; PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention.

^aClass of recommendation.

^bLevel of evidence.

^cPhysical capacity based on Duke Activity Status Index (DASI) or inability to climb two flights of stairs.

^dPre-test probability >15% based on age, sex, and nature of symptoms, or two or more risk factors for CVD (dyslipidaemia, diabetes, hypertension, smoking, family history of CVD), or resting ECG changes (Q wave or ST-segment/T wave changes), or LV dysfunction suggestive of CAD.¹⁴⁶

^eOne or more clinical risk factor according to the Revised Cardiac Risk Index (ischaemic heart disease, cerebrovascular disease, history of congestive heart failure, serum creatinine level >2 mg/dL, diabetes requiring insulin therapy).^{46,47}

4.5.3. Angiography

4.5.3.1. Coronary computed tomography angiography

Coronary computed tomography angiography (CCTA) is recommended as an initial test for diagnosing CAD in stable patients with a low clinical likelihood or no previous diagnosis of CAD, and characteristics associated with a high likelihood of good image quality.¹⁴⁶ In addition, CCTA is recommended as an alternative to invasive coronary angiography (ICA) for excluding non-ST-segment elevation acute coronary syndrome (NSTEMI-ACS) when there is low-to-intermediate likelihood of CAD, and when cardiac troponin and/or ECG are normal or inconclusive.⁹⁸ The practical utility of CCTA is reduced when a high coronary calcium score is present.¹⁶⁷

In patients undergoing NCS, the role of pre-operative CCTA to rule out CAD has been investigated in small- to medium-sized observational studies. The Coronary Computed Tomographic Angiography and Vascular Events in Noncardiac Surgery Patients Cohort Evaluation (Coronary CTA VISION) trial prospectively investigated the incremental predictive value of CCTA over RCRI in 955 patients with a history of or risk factors for CAD, or a history of congestive HF undergoing NCS.¹⁶⁸ Coronary computed tomography angiography improved the risk estimation for the primary outcome of post-operative CV death and non-fatal MI within 30 days, although CCTA was associated with more than five times inappropriate risk overestimations among patients not experiencing the primary outcome. The predictive value of CCTA further improved when associated with non-invasive functional testing, such as myocardial perfusion imaging, with a positive and negative predictive value of 50% (95% CI, 21–79) and 100% (95% CI, 79–100), respectively.¹⁶¹

Coronary computed tomography angiography associated with additional functional assessment of coronary stenosis with fractional flow reserve (FFR) with computed tomography (CT) was able to identify functionally severe coronary stenosis in 57% of the asymptomatic patients with no history of cardiac disease undergoing carotid endarterectomy (CEA).¹⁶⁹ In 135 asymptomatic patients with no history of cardiac disease undergoing peripheral vascular surgery, pre-operative FFR with CT facilitated the identification of functionally severe coronary stenosis in 53% of the patients. These patients benefited from further revascularization, with a 1 year lower rate of CV death and MI.¹⁷⁰

4.5.3.2. Invasive coronary angiography

There is a lack of information from RCTs relating to the usefulness of ICA in patients scheduled for NCS. Adopting an ICA assessment may also cause an unnecessary and unpredictable delay in an already planned surgical intervention, and adding an independent procedural risk to the overall risk. Despite the fact that CAD may be present in a significant number of patients requiring NCS, indications for pre-operative coronary angiography and revascularization are similar to angiography indications in the non-surgical setting.^{98,146,171} Pre-operative treatment of patients with myocardial ischaemia, either medically or with intervention, is recommended.

Recommendation Table 10 — Recommendations for coronary angiography

Recommendations	Class ^a	Level ^b
It is recommended to use the same indications for ICA and revascularization pre-operatively as in the non-surgical setting. ^{98,146}	I	C
CCTA should be considered to rule out CAD in patients with suspected CCS or biomarker-negative NSTEMI-ACS in case of low-to-intermediate clinical likelihood of CAD, or in patients unsuitable for non-invasive functional testing undergoing non-urgent, intermediate-, and high-risk NCS.	IIa	C

Continued

Pre-operative ICA may be considered in stable CCS patients undergoing elective surgical CEA. ¹⁷²	IIb	B
Routine pre-operative ICA is not recommended in stable CCS patients undergoing low- or intermediate-risk NCS.	III	C

© ESC 2022

CAD, coronary artery disease; CCS, chronic coronary syndrome; CCTA, coronary computed tomography angiography; CEA, carotid endarterectomy; ICA, invasive coronary angiography; NCS, non-cardiac surgery; NSTEMI-ACS, non-ST-segment elevation acute coronary syndrome.

^aClass of recommendation.

^bLevel of evidence.

5. General risk-reduction strategies

5.1. Cardiovascular risk factors and lifestyle interventions

Control of CV risk factors—including BP, dyslipidaemia, and diabetes—is important before NCS. For pre-operative management of BP and diabetes, see [Sections 6.8 and 6.13](#), respectively.

While lifestyle modifications before intervention reduce the risk of several peri-operative complications, the impact on CV complications has not been adequately explored. Of the lifestyle changes recommended before surgery, smoking cessation is the best documented in RCTs. Smoking has been associated with a higher rate of post-operative complications at 30 days.^{173,174} Reviews of RCTs have shown an effect of smoking cessation up to 6 months post-operatively, with a clear reduction in any post-operative complications by hazard ratio (HR) 0.42 (95% CI, 0.27–0.65), particularly wound infections (HR, 0.43; 95% CI, 0.21–0.85).^{173,175} Regarding timing of cessation, reviews of observational studies have shown consistent associations with better surgical outcome for cessation >4 weeks before surgery, with each additional week resulting in a further improvement of 19%.^{176–178}

Pre-operative exercise programmes have only been tested in small RCTs, and recent reviews have shown a relative risk (RR) reduction in post-operative complications of 67% (RR, 0.33; 95% CI, 0.17–0.61).¹⁷⁹ Referral to a pre-operative exercise programme may be considered for patients scheduled for major or complex elective surgery.^{176,179,180} Weight reduction of obese patients immediately prior to surgery is not recommended.

Recommendation Table 11 — Recommendations for lifestyle and cardiovascular risk factors

Recommendations	Class ^a	Level ^b
Smoking cessation >4 weeks before NCS is recommended to reduce post-operative complications and mortality. ^{181,182}	I	B
Control of CV risk factors—including blood pressure, dyslipidaemia, and diabetes—is recommended before NCS. ^{173,176–178,183}	I	B

© ESC 2022

CV, cardiovascular; NCS, non-cardiac surgery.

^aClass of recommendation.

^bLevel of evidence.

5.2. Pharmacological

5.2.1. Beta-blockers

Beta-blockers reduce myocardial oxygen consumption by reducing contractile force and heart rate. Beta-blockers are also effective anti-arrhythmic agents. In addition, some beta-blockers such as metoprolol have an effect on acute inflammatory responses by inhibiting neutrophil hyperactivation in acute settings.¹⁸⁴ These properties mean that beta-blockers have been some of the most frequently tested cardioprotective agents in patients undergoing NCS. Several RCTs have evaluated the effects of peri-operative beta-blockade on clinical end-points in patients with different risk profiles (see [Supplementary data, Section 3.1.1.](#)). Type, dosing and titration, timing of initiation, duration of beta-blocker therapy, type of surgery, and risk profile of subjects significantly differ between studies, making comparisons complex.

The question about pre-surgery initiation of beta-blockers has been a matter of intense controversy (see [Supplementary data, Section 3.1.1.1.](#)). The largest and latest trial on the topic, the Perioperative Ischemic Evaluation (POISE-1) trial, enrolled 8351 patients with or at risk of atherosclerotic disease, and not on beta-blockers before NCS. Patients were randomized to extended-release metoprolol succinate 200 mg daily or placebo.¹⁸⁵ Treatment was initiated 2–4 h before surgery and maintained for 30 days. The primary outcome (composite of CV death, non-fatal MI, and non-fatal cardiac arrest) was significantly lower in the metoprolol arm (5.8% vs. 6.9% [$P=0.04$]). Metoprolol was associated with significant reductions in MIs, coronary revascularizations, and AF. However, the incidences of all-cause death, stroke, and clinically significant hypotension or bradycardia were significantly higher in the metoprolol arm. Post hoc analysis showed that hypotension carried the greatest attributable risk of death and stroke.¹⁸⁶ The high dose of extended metoprolol might have played a role in the adverse events seen at follow-up.

Several meta-analyses, systematic reviews, and observational studies have also been reported (see [Supplementary data, Table S4.](#))^{187–189} Overall, initiation of beta-blockers before NCS was not associated with a net clinical benefit in most analyses, but they might be beneficial in patients with high CV risk profiles or who are undergoing high-risk surgical interventions (including vascular interventions).^{188,190–192} When oral beta-blockade is initiated in CAD patients who undergo NCS, the use of atenolol or bisoprolol as a first choice may be considered.^{190,193–195}

In patients who are on chronic beta-blocker therapy before surgery, it is recommended to maintain these in the peri-operative period. Increased mortality following pre-operative beta-blocker withdrawal has been reported in five observational studies.^{190,196–199} Interruption of this therapy for >2 days post-operatively may double the risk of AF.²⁰⁰

Post-operative tachycardia should initially lead to treatment of the underlying cause—such as hypovolaemia, pain, blood loss, or infection—rather than simply increasing the beta-blocker dose. When beta-blockers are indicated, the optimal duration of the peri-operative beta-blockade cannot be derived from randomized trials.

According to a meta-analysis of RCTs including 14 967 patients, beta-blockers can reduce the risk of post-operative AF after NCS;²⁰¹ however, this comes at the cost of an increased risk of bradycardia, hypotension, and stroke.¹⁸⁷

The ultra-short-acting beta-blockers esmolol and landiolol have the theoretical advantages of very fast onset of effects and short half-lives. Notably, landiolol may lower BP to a lower extent than esmolol. Evidence of prevention of AF with landiolol after NCS is less robust and inconsistent than in the context of cardiac surgery.^{202–205} The timing of the initiation of beta-blockers to prevent AF remains unclear, with most prophylactic regimens using short-acting agents being started intra-operatively.¹⁸⁷

5.2.2. Amiodarone

Amiodarone is the most frequently used agent for prevention of post-operative AF,²⁰⁶ with a risk reduction of 58% in NCS in a meta-analysis evaluating different antiarrhythmic drugs (AADs), but may induce relevant non-cardiac side effects.²⁰¹ In another meta-analysis, amiodarone (oral or intravenous [i.v.]) and beta-blockers were equally effective in reducing post-operative AF.²⁰⁷ In another prospective RCT, a combination of beta-blocker plus amiodarone outperformed beta-blockers alone in reducing post-operative AF.²⁰⁸ It should be noted that the two latter studies were undertaken in patients undergoing cardiac surgery.

Overall, while preventive amiodarone seems to reduce the incidence of AF, the clinical benefits associated with its routine use are unclear.

5.2.3. Statins

Despite the wide-ranging use of statins in patients undergoing surgery, RCTs assessing the effects of initiating statin therapy during the peri-operative period are scarce. This should be viewed separately from patients already on statin therapy. The long-term use of statins in patients with CVD or high risk of CVD is well-established.⁴⁰

Observational data suggest a potential benefit of statins in the peri-operative phase. In a large, retrospective, and observational cohort, which included 180 000 veterans undergoing NCS, the use of statins on the day of or the day after surgery was associated with a reduction in mortality (RR, 0.82; 95% CI, 0.75–0.89).²⁰⁹ Similar results were seen in a retrospective cohort study using hospital discharge and pharmacy records.²¹⁰ Although both studies used propensity matching to reduce bias, these analyses are prone to confounding, especially when discharge and pharmacy records are used. As such, RCTs give a more reliable effect estimation, for example: the Lowering the Risk of Operative Complications Using Atorvastatin Loading Dose (LOAD) trial studied 648 statin-naïve patients, of whom 24% had a history of CVD and 49% had diabetes.²¹¹ In this randomized, placebo-controlled trial, patients received a loading dose of atorvastatin 80 mg within 18 h before surgery followed by 40 mg daily for 7 days. Use of atorvastatin did not reduce the risk of major events (all-cause mortality, non-fatal MI, or stroke at 30 days [HR, 0.87; 95% CI, 0.60–1.26; $P=0.46$]). However, the trial was underpowered to draw definite conclusions. In addition, several meta-analyses have shown ambiguous results and most studies are of a limited size with less than 100 patients.^{212,213}

Therefore, routine peri-operative initiation of statin therapy is not recommended. However, in patients in whom statin use is already indicated, treatment should be considered peri-operatively, particularly in patients scheduled for high-risk surgery (e.g. vascular surgery).

5.2.4. Renin–angiotensin–aldosterone system inhibitors

Data on peri-operative use of renin–angiotensin–aldosterone system (RAAS) inhibitors are inconclusive. The majority of studies suggest that continued use of RAAS inhibitors is associated with a higher risk of peri-operative hypotension and, as a consequence, higher use of vasopressors and inotropes. Furthermore, intra-operative hypotension and its duration is associated with end-organ damage, including kidney injury, myocardial damage, and stroke.²¹⁴ In a small trial of 275 subjects, randomized to either continuation of their angiotensin-converting-enzyme inhibitors (ACEIs) or omission of the final pre-operative ACEI dose, patients randomized to omission of the last dose before surgery experienced intra-operative hypotension less frequently (76/137 [55%] vs. 95/138 [69%]) and vasopressor use was less likely.²¹⁵ On the other hand, post-operative hypertension was more frequent in the omission group. Furthermore, in an observational cohort study consisting of 4802 patients undergoing NCS and using an ACEI or angiotensin receptor blocker (ARB), discontinuation of these drugs in the 24 h before surgery was associated with a lower risk of intra-operative hypotension (adjusted RR, 0.80; 95% CI, 0.72–0.93; $P < 0.001$), and associated with a reduction in the composite end-point consisting of all-cause mortality, stroke, and MI (adjusted RR, 0.82; 95% CI, 0.70–0.96; $P = 0.01$);²¹⁶ 8% of the patients in this cohort were diagnosed with HF, in whom RAAS inhibitors are the cornerstone of medical therapy. A systematic review, including nine studies (five RCTs and four cohort studies), revealed that withholding ACEI/ARB on the morning of surgery was not associated with mortality or MACE;²¹⁷ however, it did confirm that withholding therapy was associated with less intra-operative hypotension (OR, 0.63; 95% CI, 0.47–0.85). If an ACEI/ARB is withheld prior to NCS, it should be restarted as soon as possible in order to prevent unintended long-term omission. No data on peri-operative effects of angiotensin receptor neprilysin inhibitors (ARNI) exist, but hypotension is more common compared with patients on ACEI.²¹⁸

Some important RCTs in this field are ongoing: the impact of renin–angiotensin system inhibitors continuation vs. discontinuation on outcome after major surgery trial STOPorNOT²¹⁹ (NCT03374449), and the POISE-3 trial (NCT03505723) are both assessing a hypotension-avoidance strategy vs. a hypertension-avoidance strategy on the risk of vascular death and major vascular events in patients who are followed for 30 days after NCS.

5.2.5. Calcium channel blockers

The effects of calcium channel blockers (CCBs) on the balance between myocardial oxygen supply and demand makes them theoretically suitable for risk-reduction strategies. The relevance of randomized trials assessing the peri-operative effects of CCBs is limited by their small size, lack of risk stratification, and the absence of systematic reporting of cardiac death and MI. A meta-analysis pooled 11 randomized trials totalling 1007 patients.²²⁰ Treatment with CCBs significantly reduced the number of episodes of myocardial ischaemia and supraventricular tachycardia (SVT) in the pooled analyses. However, the decrease in mortality and MI reached statistical significance only when both end-points were combined (RR, 0.35; 95% CI, 0.08–0.83; $P = 0.02$). In contrast, a matched case-control study of 1000 patients undergoing acute or elective

aortic aneurysm surgery suggested that dihydropyridine use was independently associated with an increased incidence of peri-operative mortality.²²¹ These observational data may be biased by the indications for the use of CCBs. In patients already on CCBs, particularly in those with vasospastic angina, it is recommended to continue CCBs during the peri-operative period, but withholding the dose on the day of surgery in order to avoid post-operative hypotension.

5.2.6. Alpha-2 receptor agonists

Alpha-2 receptor agonists reduce post-ganglionic noradrenaline output and might therefore reduce catecholamine surge during surgery. The European Mivazerol trial randomized 1897 patients with IHD who underwent intermediate- or high-risk NCS.²²² Mivazerol did not decrease the incidence of death or MI in the whole population. However, it did decrease the incidence of death in a subpopulation of 904 patients undergoing vascular surgery.²²² The international Peri-Operative ISchemic Evaluation 2 (POISE-2) trial randomized 10 010 patients undergoing NCS to clonidine or placebo.²²³ Clonidine did not reduce the rate of death or non-fatal MI in general or in patients undergoing vascular surgery (RR, 1.08; 95% CI, 0.93–1.26; $P=0.29$), but it did increase the risk of clinically important hypotension (RR, 1.32; 95% CI, 1.24–1.40; $P<0.001$) and non-fatal cardiac arrest (RR, 3.20; 95% CI, 1.17–8.73; $P=0.02$).

5.2.7. Diuretics

Diuretics are frequently used in patients with hypertension or HF. In general, therapy for treatment of hypertension should be continued to the day of surgery and resumed orally when possible. However, the benefit for continuing diuretics as antihypertensive therapy is unclear, and alternative antihypertensive agents may be considered. In HF, the dosage of diuretics should be adjusted well in advance for an optimal fluid balance before surgery, and to avoid fluid retention or dehydration.

The possibility of electrolyte disturbance should be considered in any patient receiving diuretics. Hypokalaemia is reported to occur in up to 36% of patients undergoing surgery (mostly NCS).^{224,225} Special attention should be given to patients prone to developing arrhythmias. Any electrolyte disturbance, especially hypokalaemia and hypomagnesaemia, should be corrected in due time before surgery. Acute pre-operative repletion in asymptomatic patients may be associated with more risks than benefits; thus, minor asymptomatic electrolyte disturbances should not delay acute surgery.

In the peri-operative period, volume status in patients with HF should be carefully monitored and optimized by loop diuretics or fluids. However, retrospective data suggest that intra-operative prescription of diuretics may increase the risk of acute kidney injury (AKI) after NCS.²²⁶

5.2.8. Ivabradine

Heart rate is an independent and modifiable risk factor for periprocedural MI (and maybe death) after NCS. Ivabradine is a negative chronotropic agent without associated hypotensive effect, and is

therefore a possible alternative to beta-blockers. However, there are few studies about the value of ivabradine for high-risk patients undergoing NCS.²²⁷ The small (78 patients) PeRi-OperaTiVe CardioproTection With Ivabradine in Non-cardiac Surgery (PROTECTIN) (NCT04436016) trial is ongoing.

5.2.9. Sodium–glucose co-transporter-2 inhibitors

The use of sodium–glucose co-transporter-2 (SGLT-2) inhibitors is increasing, due to proven CV benefits for patients with type-2 diabetes mellitus (DM) and a beneficial effect on outcomes for patients with HF and renal insufficiency. Euglycaemic diabetic ketoacidosis (EDKA) is a rare but serious complication. While the incidence was not significantly increased with SGLT-2 inhibitors in RCTs, several case reports indicate that EDKA may occasionally occur after (non-cardiac) surgery in patients on SGLT-2 inhibitors.²²⁸ A systematic review indicated that precipitating factors include diabetes medication changes, diet modifications, and intercurrent illnesses.²²⁹ The US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) recommends interrupting SGLT-2 inhibitor therapy for at least 3–4 days before scheduled surgery and to be vigilant for symptoms related to EDKA, prompting measurement of ketones.

Recommendation Table 12 — Recommendations for pharmacological treatment

Recommendations	Class ^a	Level ^b
Initiation		
In patients with an indication for statins, it should be considered to initiate statins peri-operatively.	IIa	C
Pre-operative initiation of beta-blockers in advance ^c of high-risk NCS may be considered in patients who have two or more clinical risk factors, ^d in order to reduce the incidence of peri-operative myocardial infarction. ^{188,190–192}	IIb	A
Pre-operative initiation of beta-blocker in advance of NCS may be considered in patients who have known CAD or myocardial ischaemia. ^{e,230–232}	IIb	B
Routine initiation of beta-blocker peri-operatively is not recommended. ^{185,187,189,233,234}	III	A
Continuation		
Peri-operative continuation of beta-blockers is recommended in patients currently receiving this medication. ^{190,196–199}	I	B
In patients already on statins, it is recommended to continue statins during the peri-operative period. ²³⁵	I	B
In patients with stable HF, peri-operative continuation of RAAS inhibitors may be considered.	IIb	C

Continued

Interruption		
In patients without HF, withholding RAAS inhibitors on the day of NCS should be considered to prevent peri-operative hypotension. ^{215,216}	Ila	B
For patients on diuretics to treat hypertension, transient discontinuation of diuretics on the day of NCS should be considered. ²³⁶	Ila	B
It should be considered to interrupt SGLT-2 inhibitor therapy for at least 3 days before intermediate- and high-risk NCS.	Ila	C

© ESC 2022

b.p.m., beats per minute; CAD, coronary artery disease; HF, heart failure; NCS, non-cardiac surgery; RAAS, renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system; RCRI, Revised Cardiac Risk Index; SGLT-2, sodium-glucose co-transporter 2.

^aClass of recommendation.

^bLevel of evidence.

^cIdeally at least 1 week before surgery, starting with a low dose with dose titration for target heart rate.^{185,197,230,237} The target is a resting heart rate 60–70 b.p.m.¹⁹¹ with a systolic blood pressure >100 mmHg.^{230,238}

^dIschaemic heart disease, cerebrovascular disease, renal insufficiency, or diabetes mellitus, according to the RCRI score.²³⁹

^eTreatment should ideally be initiated between 30 and (at least) 2 days before surgery, starting at a low dose, and should be continued post-operatively.^{197,230,237}

5.3. Peri-operative handling of antithrombotic agents

Management of patients taking antithrombotic agents and needing surgery or an invasive procedure should consider patient- and procedure-related risk of bleeding and thrombosis. Furthermore, the pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic characteristics of the

antithrombotic drugs in use must be considered (Tables 7 and 8). The risk of bleeding associated with different types of interventions is shown in Table 9. Risk estimation and decision-making in patients requiring long-term antithrombotic therapy is challenging, since relevant associations exist between peri-operative antithrombotic management, bleeding, thrombotic events (MI and stroke), and mortality.^{6,11–13} Thus, interdisciplinary risk assessment ahead of the intervention is crucial, in order to classify the patient-related ischaemic and bleeding risks (e.g. cardiologist, neurologist, vascular specialist, and haematologist), and the surgical risk (surgeon and anaesthesiologist). Information on timing of intervention by indicated duration of antithrombotic therapy should be communicated with the patient and treating general physician.

5.3.1. Antiplatelets

5.3.1.1. Single antiplatelet therapy

In patients taking aspirin for primary prevention, the risk of ischaemic events is low and aspirin can be withdrawn prior to NCS. Permanent discontinuation should be considered post-operatively in low- and moderate-risk atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD) risk patients and/or in patients with high bleeding risk based on negative/neutral trials and the recommendations for primary prevention of CVD in the 2021 ESC Guidelines on cardiovascular disease prevention in clinical practice.^{40,241}

Due to the better risk–benefit ratio, aspirin has an established role for the long-term prevention of new cardiovascular events in patients with established CVD.²⁴² The POISE-2 trial is the largest, randomized, placebo-controlled trial of peri-operative aspirin in patients undergoing NCS.²⁴³

Table 7 Pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic characteristics of antiplatelets

	ASA	Clopidogrel	Prasugrel	Ticagrelor	Cangrelor	Eptifibatide	Tirofiban
Target (type of blockade)	COX-1 (irreversible)	P2Y ₁₂ (irreversible)	P2Y ₁₂ (irreversible)	P2Y ₁₂ (reversible)	P2Y ₁₂ (reversible)	GPIIb/IIIa (reversible)	GPIIb/IIIa (reversible)
Application	Oral	Oral	Oral	Oral	i.v.	i.v.	i.v.
Time to C_{max}	0.5–1.0 h	2 h (after 600 mg LD) ^a	0.5 h (after 60 mg LD) ^a	0.5 h (after 180 mg LD) ^a	2 min	5 min	5 min
Prodrug	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Bioavailability (%)	~50	~50	80	36	100	100	100
Drug interactions	NSAIDs (in particular ibuprofen + naproxen)	CYP3A4, CYP3A5, or CYP2C19 inhibitors or inducers	CYP3A4/A5 and CYP2B6 inhibitor	CYP3A4 inducers or inhibitors	None	None	None
Plasma half-life	20 min	0.5–1 h (active metabolite)	0.5–1 h (active metabolite)	6–12 h	3–6 min	2.5–2.8 h	1.2–2 h
Duration of action after last dose	7–10 days	3–10 days ^b	7–10 days ^b	3–5 days	1–2 h	4 h	8 h
Renal clearance of the active metabolite (%)	NR	NR	NR	NR	58	~50	65
Dose regimen	<i>o.d.</i>	<i>o.d.</i>	<i>o.d.</i>	<i>b.i.d.</i>	Bolus, infusion	Bolus, infusion	Bolus, infusion

© ESC 2022

ASA, acetylsalicylic acid; *b.i.d.*, twice a day; C_{max}, maximum serum concentration; i.v., intravenous; LD, loading dose; NR, non-relevant; *o.d.*, once a day.

^aTime to C_{max} for may be delayed by 8 h or more following a dose of opiate.

^bDepending on response status.

Table 8 Pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic characteristics of oral anticoagulants

	Warfarin	Phenprocoumon	Apixaban	Dabigatran	Edoxaban	Rivaroxaban
Target (type of blockade)	VKORC1	VKORC1	FXa	FIIa	FXa	FXa
Application	Oral	Oral	Oral	Oral	Oral	Oral
Time to C_{max}	2–6 h	1.52 h ± 1.52	3–4 h	1.25–3 h	1–2 h	2–4 h
Prodrug	No	No	No	Yes	No	No
Bioavailability (%)	>95	100	50	6.5	62	80–100
Drug interactions	CYP2C9, CYP2C19, CYP2C8, CYP2C18, CYP1A2, CYP3A4, vitamin K	CYP2C9, CYP2C8, vitamin K	CYP3A4 inhibitors or inducers, P-glycoprotein inhibitors or inducers	P-glycoprotein inhibitors or inducers	P-glycoprotein inhibitors	CYP3A4 inhibitors or inducers, P-glycoprotein inhibitors or inducers
Plasma half-life	36–48 h	~100 h	12 h	12–14 h	6–11 h	7–11 h (11–13 h in the elderly)
Duration of action after last dose	~5 days	~7 days	24 h	24 h	24 h	24 h
Renal clearance of the active metabolite (%)	Non-renal	Non-renal	27	85	37–50	33
Dose regimen	Adjusted according to INR	Adjusted according to INR	<i>b.i.d.</i>	<i>b.i.d.</i>	<i>o.d.</i>	<i>o.d./b.i.d.</i>

b.i.d., twice a day; C_{max}, maximum serum concentration; FIIa, factor IIa; FXa, factor Xa; INR, International normalized ratio; LD, loading dose; NOAC, non-vitamin K antagonist oral anticoagulant; *o.d.*, once a day; VKORC1, vitamin K epoxide reductase complex 1.

Table 9 Bleeding risk according to type of non-cardiac surgery

Surgery with minor bleeding risk	Surgery with low bleeding risk (infrequent or with low clinical impact)	Surgery with high bleeding risk (frequent or with significant clinical impact)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Cataract or glaucoma procedure Dental procedures: extractions (1–3 teeth), periodontal surgery, implant positioning, endodontic (root canal) procedures, subgingival scaling/cleaning Endoscopy without biopsy or resection Superficial surgery (e.g. abscess incision, small skin excisions/ biopsy) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Abdominal surgery: cholecystectomy, hernia repair, colon resection Breast surgery Complex dental procedures (multiple tooth extractions) Endoscopy with simple biopsy Gastroscopy or colonoscopy with simple biopsy Large-bore needles procedures (e.g. bone marrow or lymph node biopsy) Non-cataract ophthalmic surgery Small orthopaedic surgery (foot, hand arthroscopy) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Abdominal surgery with liver biopsy, extracorporeal shockwave lithotripsy Extensive cancer surgery (e.g. pancreas, liver) Neuraxial (spinal or epidural) anaesthesia Neurosurgery (intracranial, spinal) Major orthopaedic surgery Procedures with vascular organ biopsy (kidney or prostate) Reconstructive plastic surgery Specific interventions (colon polypectomy, lumbar puncture, endovascular aneurysm repair) Thoracic surgery, lung resection surgery Urological surgery (prostatectomy, bladder tumour resection) Vascular surgery (e.g. AAA repair, vascular bypass)

AAA, abdominal aortic aneurysm.
Adapted from Steffel et al.²⁴⁰




Figure 5 Recommendations for management of antiplatelet therapy in patients undergoing non-cardiac surgery. ACS, acute coronary syndrome; DAPT, dual antiplatelet therapy; GPI, glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitors; PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention; N, no; NCS, non-cardiac surgery. Y, yes; ^aHigh risk of peri-operative stent thrombosis defined by at least one of the following: history of stent thrombosis under antiplatelet therapy, reduced left ventricular ejection fraction (<40%), poorly controlled diabetes, severely impaired renal function/haemodialysis, recent complex PCI (i.e. severely calcified lesion, left main PCI, chronic total occlusion, bifurcational/crush technique, bypass graft PCI), or stent malapposition/residual dissection. ^bTiming of resumption after interdisciplinary risk assessment as soon as possible (within 48 h) after surgery. ^cFor dosing, see [Figure 7](#).

The trial randomized 10 010 patients undergoing NCS with established CVD, or who were at increased CV risk, to aspirin or placebo. Patients were stratified according to whether they had not been taking aspirin before the study or were already on aspirin; 33% of the patients had known vascular disease (23% CAD, 9% PAD, and 5% stroke). Aspirin did not reduce the rates of death or non-fatal MI at 30 days (7.0% vs. 7.1% in the placebo group [HR, 0.99; 95% CI, 0.86–1.15; $P = 0.92$]). Major bleeding was more common in the aspirin group than in the placebo group (4.6% vs. 3.8% [HR, 1.23; 95% CI, 1.01–1.49; $P = 0.04$]). The primary outcome results were similar, irrespective of whether or not patients had been taking aspirin before the study, and were also similar in patients with and without vascular disease.

In a post hoc analysis of 470 patients (<5% who had undergone previous PCI, aspirin use was associated with a significant reduction in death or MI (HR, 0.50; 95% CI, 0.26–0.95; $P = 0.036$) and MI alone (HR, 0.44; 95% CI, 0.22–0.87; $P = 0.021$), while the risk of major or life-threatening bleeding was not significantly increased in this setting.²⁴⁴ Although the analysis carries several limitations, it supports

the perception that the ischaemic benefit of peri-operative aspirin use outweighs the bleeding risk in patients with previous PCI. Thus, among patients with previous PCI, in the absence of a very high bleeding risk, low-dose aspirin should be continued during the peri-operative period.

In patients undergoing transcatheter aortic valve implantation (TAVI) who have no other indication for oral anticoagulant (OAC) therapy, low-dose aspirin has been recommended as standard therapy by recent guidelines based on an RCT.^{245,246} There are no randomized data available assessing the withdrawal vs. continuation of aspirin in patients after TAVI on aspirin alone undergoing NCS.

If the bleeding risk outweighs the potential CV benefit, aspirin should be discontinued. For patients with high peri-operative bleeding risk (e.g. undergoing spinal surgery or certain neurosurgical or ophthalmological operations) aspirin should be discontinued for at least 7 days.

On rare occasions, chronic coronary syndrome (CCS) patients might be on clopidogrel monotherapy due to the results of recent trials²⁴⁷ and the recommendations of the 2020 ESC Guidelines for




Figure 6 P2Y₁₂ inhibitor interruption after percutaneous coronary intervention before elective non-cardiac surgery. LoE, level of evidence; MI, myocardial infarction; N, no; PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention. Y, yes; ^aAvailability of 24 h cath-lab service is suggested in case of major surgery within 6 months in non-ACS/non-high-risk patients and within 12 months in ACS/high-risk patients. ^bHigh risk of peri-operative stent thrombosis defined by at least one of the following: history of recurrent MI, history of stent thrombosis under antiplatelet therapy, reduced left ventricular ejection fraction (<40%), poorly controlled diabetes, severely impaired renal function/haemodialysis, recent complex PCI (i.e. severely calcified lesion, left main PCI, chronic total occlusion, bifurcational/crush technique, bypass graft PCI), stent malapposition/residual dissection. ^cClass III LoE C. ^dClass IIa LoE B ^{250,265, 266,267}. ^eClass I LoE A ^{268,146}. ^fClass III LoE B ²⁶⁹. ^gClass IIb LoE B ^{270, 271}. ^hClass IIa LoE B ^{272,273,274, 275, 276,277,278}. ⁱClass I LoE A ^{279, 280, 281, 98}.

the management of acute coronary syndromes in patients presenting without persistent ST-segment elevation;⁹⁸ therefore, periprocedural management of clopidogrel-based single antiplatelet therapy (SAPT) is required. Consensus has been reached that a short interruption of P2Y₁₂ inhibitor monotherapy is recommended in patients at high risk of bleeding.

Patients treated with P2Y₁₂ inhibitor monotherapy as part of a de-escalation strategy after PCI/ACS, or due to a recent stroke, PAD, or aspirin intolerance, might require peri-operative management of this monotherapy.^{248–250} A careful interdisciplinary evaluation of peri-operative bleeding vs. ischaemic risk is warranted in these situations, and individual decisions based on the peri-operative bleeding and ischaemic risk (e.g. surgery under P2Y₁₂ monotherapy, switching to aspirin, short interruption, or bridging in the peri-operative phase) may be applicable, although evidence for these different regimens is

missing. It should be recognized that the effects of ticagrelor or clopidogrel monotherapy on haemostasis are considerably less than when they are combined with aspirin.

5.3.1.2. Dual antiplatelet therapy

P2Y₁₂ inhibitors in addition to aspirin are recommended for patients after PCI.^{98,146} The frequency of major NCS in the first year after PCI is ~4%; most frequently orthopaedic, abdominal, and vascular surgery.²⁵¹ Other observational data report cumulative incidences of NCS after PCI for 30 days, 6 months, and 1 year of 1%, 5%, and 9%, respectively.²⁵²

Observational studies have reported a substantial rate of MACE—including cardiac death, MI, and stent thrombosis—ranging between 2–8%^{251,253,254} in PCI patients undergoing NCS, with a more than two-fold increased risk compared with non-stented patients.^{255,256}




Figure 7 Bridging with intravenous antiplatelet agents. ASA, acetylsalicylic acid; FU, follow-up; LD, loading dose; NCS, non-cardiac surgery; o.d., once a day. ^aTirofiban: 0.1 µg/kg/min; if creatinine clearance <50 mL/min, adjust to 0.05 µg/kg/min. Eptifibatide: 2.0 µg/kg/min; if creatinine clearance is <50 mL/min, adjust to 1.0 µg/kg/min. ^bUntil oral P2Y₁₂ inhibitor therapy is possible. ^cInitiate within 72 h from P2Y₁₂ inhibitor discontinuation at a dose of 0.75 µg/kg/min for a minimum of 48 h and a maximum of 7 days.

The proportion of risk attributable to underlying CVD or stent implantation remains uncertain.²⁵⁴ Risk factors for MACE after NCS are: time from PCI to surgery, with the highest risk in the first month; primary PCI for ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI); dual antiplatelet therapy (DAPT) interruptions/discontinuation; and lesion characteristics, including ostial and distal lesions.^{252,257–259} Urgency of surgery is a further risk factor. The ESC/ESA classification of NCS is a validated tool with which to predict the impact of the type of surgery on MACE.¹⁶

A meta-analysis of observational data indicated that discontinuation of clopidogrel for at least 5 days reduced the risk of re-operation for major bleeding by 50%, without increasing the risk of MACE or death.²⁶⁰ Other observational data indicate an increase in MACE with brief DAPT interruptions.²⁶¹ However, these non-randomized data may have been biased by the type and urgency of surgery.²⁶⁰ Of note, the prognosis of stent thrombosis appears to

be worse than for *de novo* coronary occlusion (and depends on the site of stent deployment), and premature interruption of DAPT in patients with recent coronary stent implantation is the strongest predictor for stent thrombosis.

The preferred management of patients on DAPT due to PCI is to delay elective NCS until completion of the full course of DAPT (6 months after elective PCI and 12 months after ACS).^{98,146} However, several recent trials have indicated that shortening DAPT duration to 1–3 months after implantation of modern DES is associated with acceptable rates of MACE and stent thrombosis in low- and moderate-risk patients. Based on these newer data, it is recommended to delay time-sensitive NCS until a minimum of 1 month of DAPT treatment has been effectuated. In high-risk CV patients, for example due to an ACS, a DAPT duration of at least 3 months should be considered before time-sensitive NCS. See [Figure 5](#) for the recommended duration of DAPT before

time-sensitive NCS. Once the P2Y₁₂ inhibitor has been discontinued, surgery should be performed while the patient is still on aspirin.

Indications for long-term DAPT have recently emerged. Long-term DAPT (beyond 1 year) with clopidogrel, prasugrel, or ticagrelor in addition to aspirin should be considered in patients with high ischaemic risk, and may be considered in patients with moderate ischaemic risk, both in the absence of increased risk of major or life-threatening bleeding.⁹⁸ When NCS is required, discontinuation of P2Y₁₂ inhibitors is recommended for 3–7 days (depending on the P2Y₁₂ inhibitor) for these additional indications for DAPT.

5.3.1.3. De-escalation of antiplatelet effect

The management of antiplatelet therapy in patients who have undergone recent PCI and are scheduled for NCS should be discussed by the surgeon and cardiologist, so that the balance between the risk of life-threatening surgical bleeding on antiplatelet therapy—best understood by the surgeon—and the risk of life-threatening stent thrombosis due to premature DAPT discontinuation—best understood by the cardiologist—can be considered (Figure 5 and Figure 6). An increased risk of MACE as a consequence of (major) bleeding also needs to be taken into consideration when balancing risk.

When time-sensitive surgery cannot be postponed and be performed with the recommended DAPT on board, de-escalation or shortening of DAPT is recommended. This may encompass either a switch from the more potent P2Y₁₂ inhibitors prasugrel or ticagrelor to clopidogrel, or cessation of aspirin and use of prasugrel or ticagrelor monotherapy. If neither of these options is deemed to be sufficient, premature discontinuation of the P2Y₁₂ inhibitor may be considered. If discontinuation is required, ticagrelor needs to be withheld for 3–5 days, clopidogrel for 5 days, and prasugrel for 7 days prior to surgery.^{262–264}

Whenever possible, in patients with an indication for DAPT, surgery should be performed without discontinuation of aspirin. Aspirin might be discontinued as a last measure only with very high bleeding risk and a comparably low ischaemic risk. However, such surgical procedures should be performed in hospitals where 24/7 catheterization laboratories are available so as to treat patients immediately in case of peri-operative ischaemic events.

Although generally not recommended, bridging with i.v. compounds (eptifibatide/tirofiban or cangrelor) might be applicable in rare cases when DAPT cannot be interrupted before NCS (e.g. in patients with very high risk of stent thrombosis, history of recurrent MI, recent PCI) (see Figure 5 and Figure 7).²⁸²

For patients receiving antiplatelet therapy, who have excessive or life-threatening peri-operative bleeding, transfusion of platelets is recommended as a bail-out strategy. However, ticagrelor and its active metabolite may also inhibit aggregation of transfused platelets. Experimental data indicate that administration of albumin binds ticagrelor and reduces its inhibitory effect on platelet aggregation.²⁸³ A monoclonal antibody fragment (PB2452) for neutralizing ticagrelor is in development but is not yet clinically available.²⁸⁴

5.3.1.4. Platelet function guided peri-operative management of antiplatelet therapy

Platelet function testing has several theoretical advantages in the peri-operative setting, including: (i) the identification of patients on antiplatelet therapy who are at increased risk of surgery-related

bleeding; (ii) individualized timing of elective surgery after antiplatelet therapy cessation; and (iii) guiding therapy in bleeding complications.^{285–287} However, neither the optimal assay nor a universal cut-off value associated with bleeding has been defined and validated in patients undergoing NCS.

Recommendation Table 13 — Recommendations for use of antiplatelet therapy in patients undergoing non-cardiac surgery

Recommendations	Class ^a	Level ^b
It is recommended to delay elective NCS until 6 months after elective PCI and 12 months after an ACS. ^{264,271}	I	A
After elective PCI, it is recommended to delay time-sensitive NCS until a minimum of 1 month of DAPT treatment has been given. ^{266,271,288,289}	I	B
In patients with a recent PCI scheduled for NCS, it is recommended that management of antiplatelet therapy is discussed between the surgeon, anaesthesiologist, and cardiologist.	I	C
In high-risk patients with a recent PCI (e.g. STEMI patients or high-risk NSTEMI-ACS patients), a DAPT duration of at least 3 months should be considered before time-sensitive NCS.	IIa	C
Continuation of medication		
In patients with a previous PCI, it is recommended to continue aspirin peri-operatively if the bleeding risk allows. ²⁴⁴	I	B
Recommended time interval for drug interruption before NCS		
If interruption of P2Y ₁₂ inhibitor is indicated, it is recommended to withhold ticagrelor for 3–5 days, clopidogrel for 5 days, and prasugrel for 7 days prior to NCS. ^{262–264}	I	B
For patients undergoing high bleeding risk surgery (e.g. intracranial, spinal neurosurgery, or vitreoretinal eye surgery), it is recommended to interrupt aspirin for at least 7 days pre-operatively.	I	C
In patients without a history of PCI, interruption of aspirin at least 3 days before NCS may be considered if the bleeding risk outweighs the ischaemic risk, to reduce the risk of bleeding. ²⁴³	IIb	B
Resumption of medication		
If antiplatelet therapy has been interrupted before a surgical procedure, it is recommended to restart therapy as soon as possible (within 48 h) post-surgery, according to interdisciplinary risk assessment.	I	C

ACS, acute coronary syndrome; DAPT, dual antiplatelet therapy; NCS, non-cardiac surgery; NSTEMI-ACS, non-ST-segment elevation acute coronary syndrome; PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention; STEMI, ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction.

^aClass of recommendation.

^bLevel of evidence.

5.3.2. Oral anticoagulants

Approximately one in four patients taking anticoagulant therapy will require a surgical or invasive procedure within 2 years.²⁹⁰ Peri-operative management of oral anticoagulant (OAC) therapy depends on surgery- and patient-related factors and the specific OAC agent (vitamin K antagonist [VKA] or a non-vitamin K antagonist oral anticoagulant [NOAC]). See [Figure 8](#) for a summary of recommendations for the management of OACs in patients undergoing NCS.

Surgery-related factors include urgency of the intervention and the procedure-related bleeding risk (reflecting both the risk of bleeding occurrence and the risk of adverse outcome if bleeding occurs) (see [Table 8](#)). Procedures where mechanical compression is unfeasible carry a high risk of serious bleeding complications.

Patient-related factors include age, individual thrombotic risk, history of bleeding complications, renal function, concomitant

medication, comorbidity, etc. Patients requiring a reversal agent need careful monitoring of haemostatic parameters and evaluation of thrombotic and bleeding risk in the peri-operative phase, as reversal might be insufficient or prothrombotic rebound might occur. In the latter case, an interdisciplinary decision should be made with regard to early resumption of anticoagulation treatment.

5.3.2.1. Vitamin K antagonists

Three drugs are currently used: warfarin (half-life 36–48 h), acenocoumarol (half-life 12 h), and phenprocoumon (half-life 100 h).

5.3.2.1.1. Vitamin K antagonists in patients with mechanical heart valves. Maintenance of therapeutic international normalized ratio (INR) is crucial for patients with mechanical heart valves (MHVs).




Figure 8 Recommendations for management of oral anticoagulation therapy in patients undergoing non-cardiac surgery. CHA₂DS₂-VASc, congestive heart failure, hypertension, age ≥75 years, diabetes mellitus, stroke, vascular disease, age 65–74 years, sex category (female); N, no; NCS, non-cardiac surgery; NOAC, non-vitamin K antagonist oral anticoagulant; VKA, vitamin K antagonist; VTE, venous thromboembolism. Y, yes; ^aMechanical aortic valve replacement (AVR) and any thromboembolic risk factor (atrial fibrillation, previous thromboembolism, severe left ventricular dysfunction, hypercoagulable state), or older-generation mechanical AVR, or a mechanical mitral valve replacement. ^bRecent stroke <3 months, high risk of VTE recurrences (e.g. antithrombin 3 deficiency or protein C and/or S deficiency), left ventricular apex thrombus, atrial fibrillation with a very high stroke risk. ^cBridging with unfractionated heparin or low molecular weight heparin. ^dE.g. >3 months after stroke/VTE. ^eFor NOAC management during NCS, see [Figures 9](#) and [10](#).

Minor surgical procedures and procedures where bleeding is easily controlled can be performed without VKA interruption. The INR should be monitored and maintained at the lower level of the therapeutic range. Major surgical procedures needing INR ≤ 1.5 require VKA interruption, and heparin bridging should be considered. However, the evidence to support bridging therapy is limited and derived from cohort studies with poor or no comparator groups.²⁹¹ Furthermore, the current-generation mechanical prosthetic valves in the aortic position are associated with a lower risk of thromboembolism compared with the older ones.²⁹¹ Randomized controlled trials of bridging vs. no bridging therapy for patients with AF who do not have an MHV have shown higher risk of bleeding without a change in incidence of thromboembolic events, and increasing concerns have been raised that bridging therapy exposes patients to higher bleeding risks without reducing the risk of thromboembolism.^{292,293} The recently published PERI-OP trial compared bridging therapy vs. placebo in patients with either an MHV, AF, or atrial flutter who required interruption of OAC therapy for surgery, and found no significant benefit for post-operative dalteparin bridging to prevent major thromboembolism.²⁹⁴ The results were consistent for the AF ($n = 1166$) and MHVs groups ($n = 350$). Therefore, in patients with MHVs with a low risk of thromboembolism (e.g. mechanical bileaflet aortic valve in patients with sinus rhythm), bridging may not be needed. In patients with MHVs with a high risk of thromboembolism (mechanical aortic valve replacement [AVR] and any thromboembolic risk factor, or an older-generation mechanical AVR, or a mechanical mitral or tricuspid valve replacement), bridging with heparin should be considered during the peri-operative time interval when the INR is subtherapeutic (Figure 8). In all situations, the risks of bleeding should be weighed against the benefits of thromboembolism prevention.

Intravenous unfractionated heparin (UFH) is the only heparin treatment approved for bridging in patients with MHVs. Subcutaneous low molecular weight heparin (LMWH), although used off-label, has supplanted the use of UFH as a bridging therapy, owing to the lower incidence of thrombocytopenia, greater convenience, more predictable dose–response relationship, and significant cost saving resulting from outpatient administration. A meta-analysis of nine studies of 1042 patients with MHVs showed no differences between LMWH and UFH in the risks of thromboembolic events or major bleeding events.²⁹⁵ When LMWH is used, it should be given at a therapeutic dose twice a day and adjusted for renal impairment, when applicable. Anti-factor Xa (FXa) activity monitoring with target levels from 0.5–1.0 U/mL may be useful when the dosage may be difficult to determine (e.g. in patients with renal dysfunction or obesity). Vitamin K antagonist bridging strategies are shown in Supplementary data, Figure S2.

5.3.2.1.2. Vitamin K antagonists for atrial fibrillation/venous thromboembolism. In patients using VKA for AF or venous thromboembolism (VTE), invasive procedures with a low bleeding risk can be performed without VKA interruption.^{296–299} The INR should be monitored and maintained at the lower level of the therapeutic range. When interruption is necessary due to high bleeding risk procedures, the BRIDGE trial in AF patients showed that 3–5 days of warfarin interruption without bridging was superior to heparin bridging, having the same incidence of arterial and venous thromboembolism and significantly lower incidence of major bleeding.²⁹²

Bridging therapy may be considered for patients with a high thrombotic risk (i.e. AF with CHA₂DS₂-VASc (congestive heart failure, hypertension, age ≥ 75 years, diabetes mellitus, stroke, vascular disease, age 65–74 years, sex category [female]) score >6 , recent cardioembolic stroke <3 months, or high risk of VTE recurrence, weighing the risk of bleeding against the risk of thromboembolism^{291,294,300} (see Supplementary data, Figure S3).

5.3.2.1.3. Restarting vitamin K antagonists after invasive procedures or surgery. Patients who have interrupted VKA treatment before surgery should restart the OAC 12–24 h after the invasive procedure, if the bleeding is well-controlled and gastric and intestinal reabsorption have been re-established. The restarting dose should be the maintenance dose plus a boosting dose of 50% for 2 days. Patients managed with bridging therapy should start LMWH or UFH together with VKA 24 h after surgery, if the bleeding is well-controlled and maintained, until the INR has reached the therapeutic range. In patients undergoing surgery with a high bleeding risk, therapeutic dose LMWH should be delayed for 48–72 h after haemostasis has been secured.

5.3.2.1.4. Reversal of vitamin K antagonists. Reversal of VKA can be managed with vitamin K, prothrombin complex concentrates (PPCs), and plasma administration. Vitamin K (from 2–10 mg depending on the INR value) can be used orally, with a predictable reduction in INR in 18–24 h or i.v. (in 25–50 mL normal saline over 15–30 min) for more rapid INR reduction (4–6 h). It should be noted that coagulation factors can still be below normal despite INR normalization, which means that bleeding risk might not yet be normalized. In patients needing reversal for immediate major surgery, PPCs or plasma should be used. Four-factor PPCs are the preferred option³⁰¹ and are dosed on the basis of INR and body weight (INR 2–4 at 25 U/kg, INR 4–6 at 35 U/kg, INR >6 at 50 U/kg, with a maximum dose of 5000 U at 100 kg of body weight). When four-factor PPCs are unavailable, three-factor PPCs or plasma may be used. Patients requiring a reversal agent need careful monitoring of haemostatic parameters and evaluation of thrombotic and bleeding risks in the peri-operative phase, as reversal might be insufficient or a prothrombotic rebound might occur. In the latter case, an interdisciplinary decision should be made with regard to early resumption of anticoagulation treatment.

5.3.2.2. Non-vitamin K antagonist oral anticoagulants

Four drugs are currently used: dabigatran (factor IIa inhibitor), apixaban, rivaroxaban, and edoxaban (FXa inhibitors). The pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic characteristics of these drugs are shown in Table 8.

5.3.2.2.1. Unplanned surgery in patients on non-vitamin K antagonist oral anticoagulants and reversal for emergency procedures.

When an urgent surgical intervention is required, it is recommended that NOAC therapy is immediately interrupted. Peri-operative management of NOAC therapy in specific procedural settings and suggested strategies for potential reversal of NOAC anticoagulant effect are shown in Figures 9–11^{99,240,302} (see Supplementary data, Table S5).




Figure 9 Peri-operative management of non-vitamin K antagonist oral anticoagulant according to the periprocedural risk of bleeding. NCS, non-cardiac surgery; NOAC, non-vitamin K antagonist oral anticoagulant. ^aIn patients/circumstances favouring NOAC accumulation (e.g. renal dysfunction, older age, concomitant medication), the NOAC should be paused 12–24 h earlier. ^bIn patients on rivaroxaban or edoxaban taking the dose in the evening, the evening dose may be skipped. ^cNOACs have predictable weaning of the anticoagulant effect. Owing to the increase in bleeding risk associated with bridging, it is generally not recommended to use bridging in patients taking NOACs. Very few circumstances when bridging with heparin may be considered in patients taking a NOAC include high thromboembolic risk conditions, such as: 1) patients with a recent (within 3 months) thromboembolic event (stroke, systemic embolism, or VTE); 2) patients who experienced a thromboembolic event during previous interruption of NOAC therapy.

Whereas the open-label prospective trial testing the specific reversal agent idarucizumab in patients on dabigatran enrolled participants experiencing acute major bleeding or requiring urgent surgical intervention,³⁰³ the trial with the reversal agent andexanet alpha for FXa inhibitors included only patients with acute major bleeding under therapy, but not those requiring urgent surgery.³⁰⁴ However, the off-label use of andexanet alpha in life-threatening situations requiring an immediate intervention may be considered, bearing in mind that andexanet alpha non-specifically binds all FXa inhibitors, which may have important implications for further treatment, including the administration of UFH or LMWH.²⁴⁰ When specific reversal

agents are unavailable, prothrombin complex concentrate (PCC) or activated PCC should be considered, although there is a lack of evidence on their efficacy and safety for emergency procedures in patients taking a NOAC.^{290,305} Performing immediate or urgent surgery under general rather than spinal anaesthesia is prudent, in order to reduce the risk of epidural haematoma.

Prior to unplanned surgery, the full panel of coagulation blood tests (see [Supplementary data, Table S6](#)) should be obtained in order to assess the patient's coagulation status. The indication for reversal (and/or non-specific haemostatic) agents is primarily governed by the patient's clinical presentation, but initial assessment of coagulation




Figure 10 Timing of last non-vitamin K antagonist oral anticoagulant dose before elective NCS according to renal function. GFR, glomerular filtration rate; LMWH, low molecular weight heparin; NCS, non-cardiac surgery; NOAC, non-vitamin K antagonist oral anticoagulant; UFH, unfractionated heparin.

status may have important implications for treatment in the next few hours or days. Specific coagulation tests such as diluted thrombin time (dTT) or ecarin clotting assay for dabigatran and antiFXa chromogenic assays for FXa inhibitors, and the assessment of NOAC plasma levels, may help in interpreting routine coagulation tests and waning of anticoagulant effect.²⁴⁰

5.3.2.2.2. Planned interventions in patients on non-vitamin K oral anticoagulants. Invasive surgical interventions may require temporary discontinuation of NOAC therapy, while many less-invasive procedures with a relatively low risk of bleeding may be performed under minimally interrupted or uninterrupted NOAC therapy (Figure 9).²⁴⁰

5.3.2.2.3. Bridging. In patients taking a NOAC, peri-operative bridging using heparin or LMWH was associated with increased risk of bleeding without reduction in thromboembolic events.^{290,306–308} Therefore, when NOAC interruption is required for surgery, bridging

is not recommended, except in a few high thrombotic risk circumstances (see Figure 9). However, post-operative thromboprophylaxis with LMWH should be considered in patients in whom NOAC therapy cannot be quickly restarted. In patients receiving bridging with LMWH, monitoring of antiFXa activity and dose adjustment to a target level of 0.5–1.0 U/mL may be considered.

5.3.2.2.4. Laboratory testing before surgery. Pre-operative assessment of anticoagulation status in patients on a NOAC undergoing planned surgery provides a direct assessment of residual drug concentration. Shorter NOAC interruption time intervals in patients undergoing low-risk procedures may result in mildly or moderately elevated NOAC levels, as seen in the Perioperative Anticoagulant Use for Surgery Evaluation (PAUSE) trial,³⁰⁹ whereas creatinine clearance <50 mL/min, standard NOAC dose (compared with reduced dose), body weight <70 kg, and female sex were associated with elevated NOAC levels among patients undergoing high-risk surgery.




Figure 11 Suggested strategy for potential reversal of non-vitamin K oral anticoagulants effect. aPTT, activated partial thromboplastin time; dTT, diluted thrombin time; FXa, factor Xa; N, no; NOAC, non-vitamin K antagonist oral anticoagulant; PT, prothrombin time; UFH, unfractionated heparin. Y, yes; ^aConditions that are potentially life-threatening or that may threaten the survival of limb or organ. ^bConditions that can be managed and procedure delayed for several days. ^c>24 h in case of significantly reduced renal function (i.e. eGFR <50 mL/min). ^dIf specific reversal agent is unavailable, consider non-specific haemostatic agents (prothrombin complex concentrate [PCC] or activated PCC [aPCCs]). Idarucizumab has only been tested in patients undergoing urgent surgery. Andexanet has not been tested in patients requiring urgent surgery. Andexanet binds all FXa inhibitors (including UFH) non-specifically. ^eUpon re-check.

The use of amiodarone, verapamil, or diltiazem was also associated with elevated pre-operative NOAC levels in the prospective Per-procedural Concentration of Direct Oral Anticoagulants (CORIDA) trial.³¹⁰ Importantly, elevated NOAC levels were not found to be independently associated with bleeding complications.^{309,310}

The evidence base for modifying the duration of pre-operative NOAC interruption time intervals according to residual NOAC plasma levels is unavailable, and 'safe' plasma levels of NOACs for different procedures are largely unknown. The time-based NOAC interruption (Figure 9) appears safe in most patients undergoing surgery.^{311,312} When NOACs are interrupted for >72 h, the likelihood of any residual NOAC plasma levels is very low.^{309,310}

5.3.2.2.5. Considerations for specific procedures. Before interventions that carry a very high risk of bleeding—such as spinal or epidural anaesthesia, or lumbar puncture requiring intact haemostasis—interruption of NOACs for up to five half-lives (i.e. 3 days for FXa inhibitors or 4–5 days for dabigatran) should be considered, whereas NOACs can usually be restarted 24 h after the intervention.^{313,314}

Dental procedures are generally considered to be associated with minor bleeding risk, and adequate local haemostasis is usually easily achieved. Hence, most dental procedures can be performed in an outpatient setting, with uninterrupted NOAC (or a single skipped dose) and using specific local haemostatic measures (such as the application of oxidized cellulose or absorbable gelatine sponge, sutures,

tranexamic acid mouthwash or compressive gauze). Most professional statements on dental surgery advise uninterrupted NOAC, but these recommendations are mostly based on expert consensus, while some studies are currently ongoing.^{315–317}

5.3.2.2.6. When to restart non-vitamin K antagonist oral anticoagulants after interventions. In general, NOAC can be restarted 6–8 h after interventions with rapid and complete haemostasis. When the bleeding risk with full-dose anticoagulation resumption outweighs the risk of thromboembolic events, therapeutic anticoagulation may be postponed to >48–72 h after the procedure, using prophylactic post-operative thromboprophylaxis until the resumption of full-dose NOAC is deemed safe (Figure 9).²⁴⁰ Post-operative heparin administration should also be considered in patients unable to take oral therapy. Off-label use of reduced-dose NOACs to attenuate the risk of post-operative bleeding is not recommended, as there is no evidence informing such an approach.

5.3.2.3. Combination therapy (antiplatelet and anticoagulant)

In general, according to the 2020 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of atrial fibrillation developed in collaboration with the European Association for Cardio-Thoracic Surgery (EACTS), dual antithrombotic therapy should be adopted in most patients with AF and a recent PCI.⁹⁹ Elective surgery should be postponed until the period when antiplatelet therapy can be safely discontinued in combination therapy (6 months after elective PCI or 12 months after ACS).²⁶⁸ Peri-operative handling of NOACs should follow the above recommendations (Figures 9 and 10). In urgent/emergency surgery with high bleeding risk, operative measures to reduce bleeding and/or reversal strategies of anticoagulation might be applied. In patients receiving combination therapy for other indications (e.g. TAVI and AF), according to recent trial results, antiplatelet therapy can be safely discontinued before NCS.³¹⁸ In patients receiving low-dose OACs as part of a vascular protection strategy, rivaroxaban should be paused for at least 24 h before surgery and resumed according to the post-operative bleeding risk.

Recommendation Table 14 — Recommendations for interruption and resumption of anticoagulants in patients undergoing non-cardiac surgery

Recommendations	Class ^a	Level ^b
Interruption of anticoagulation		
When an urgent surgical intervention is required, it is recommended that NOAC therapy is immediately interrupted.	I	C
Idarucizumab should be considered in patients on dabigatran and requiring urgent surgical intervention with intermediate to high bleeding risk. ³⁰³	Ila	B

Continued

In non-minor bleeding risk procedures in patients using a NOAC, it is recommended to use an interruption regimen based on the NOAC compound, renal function, and bleeding risk. ^{310,311}	I	B
For interventions with a very high risk of bleeding, such as spinal or epidural anaesthesia, interruption of NOACs for up to five half-lives and re-initiation after 24 h should be considered.	Ila	C
When specific reversal agents are unavailable, PCC or activated PCC should be considered for reversing NOAC effects.	Ila	C
If an urgent surgical intervention is required, specific coagulation tests and assessment of NOAC plasma levels should be considered to interpret routine coagulation tests and waning of anticoagulant effect.	Ila	C
Continuation of medication		
In minor bleeding risk surgery and other procedures where bleeding can be easily controlled, it is recommended to perform surgery without interruption of OAC therapy. ^{240,296–299}	I	B
LMWH is recommended, as an alternative to UFH, for bridging in patients with MHVs and high surgical risk. ²⁹⁵	I	B
In patients using NOACs, it is recommended that minor bleeding risk procedures are performed at trough levels (typically 12–24 h after last intake).	I	C
For patients with mechanical prosthetic heart valves undergoing NCS, bridging with UFH or LMWH should be considered if OAC interruption is needed and patients have: (i) mechanical AVR and any thromboembolic risk factor; (ii) old-generation mechanical AVR; or (iii) mechanical mitral or tricuspid valve replacement.	Ila	C
Bridging of OAC therapy is not recommended in patients with low/moderate thrombotic risk undergoing NCS. ^{290,292,293,306–308,311}	III	B
Start/resumption of medication		
If bleeding risk with resumption of full-dose anticoagulation outweighs the risk of thromboembolic events, postponing therapeutic anticoagulation 48–72 h after the procedure may be considered, using post-operative thromboprophylaxis until resumption of full OAC dose is deemed safe.	Ilb	C
Use of reduced-dose NOAC to attenuate the risk of post-operative bleeding is not recommended.	III	C

AVR, aortic valve replacement; OAC, oral anticoagulant; LMWH, low molecular weight heparin; MHV, mechanical heart valve; NCS, non-cardiac surgery; NOAC, non-vitamin K oral anticoagulant; PCC, prothrombin complex concentrate; UFH, unfractionated heparin.

^aClass of recommendation.

^bLevel of evidence.

5.4. Peri-operative thromboprophylaxis

Trends show that the case-fatality of peri-operative VTE has declined over the past few decades.^{319,320} Its causal relationship with preventable mortality has been challenged by a recent meta-analysis.³²¹ Thus, peri-operative VTE should be regarded as a marker of increased mortality risk rather than a causal factor. Careful pre-operative assessment is essential to identify patients with increased VTE risk who might benefit from peri-operative thromboprophylaxis. Procedure-related (e.g. type of surgery and likelihood of post-operative immobilization) and patient-related factors contribute to the risk of VTE. For non-orthopaedic surgical patients at low risk of VTE, mechanical methods of VTE prophylaxis (graduated compression stockings, intermittent pneumatic compression, or venous foot pump) rather than pharmacologic prophylaxis or no prophylaxis are recommended. Patients with CV disease (e.g. patients with recent MI or HF) have increased risk of peri-operative VTE.³²² The Caprini score has been developed for risk stratification,³²³ and validated in different surgical settings (see [Supplementary data, Table S7](#)).^{324–327}

Thromboprophylaxis should be considered for patients with moderate (i.e. 5–8 points) and high scores (i.e. ≥ 9 points). Thromboprophylaxis should be initiated during the hospital stay until 12 h before NCS and continued post-operatively based on individual risk assessment for bleeding. In most cases, thromboprophylaxis should be continued until the patient becomes fully mobilized or until hospital discharge (usually up to 10 days). Extended pharmacological VTE prophylaxis beyond discharge is not routinely recommended in most non-orthopaedic surgical patients. Although there are insufficient data regarding thromboprophylaxis after cancer surgery (particularly major abdominal and/or pelvic surgery for cancer), the consensus is to extend treatment duration with preferred use of LMWH for 3–4 weeks. Decisions on prophylaxis in populations for which the Caprini score has not been validated (such as orthopaedic surgery) should be based on individual and procedure-specific risk factors. Among those, a previous VTE is the strongest risk predictor (see [Supplementary data, Table S7](#)).³²⁸ For special situations and populations (e.g. neurosurgery, elderly, obese), please refer to available specific practice guidelines.^{329–332}

Large phase 3 and phase 4 studies comparing NOACs with LMWH have shown similar results regarding efficacy and safety after major orthopaedic surgery.³³³ The usual time period for thromboprophylaxis after total knee and hip arthroplasty was up to 14 days and 35 days in RCTs, respectively,^{334–339} but large-scale data suggest safety of foreshortening the duration restricted to the hospital stay after fast-track surgery.³⁴⁰ Recent practice guidelines and a meta-analysis suggest a rationale for the use of aspirin as thromboprophylaxis in modern elective total hip and knee arthroplasty.^{341,342} However, there is a need for more adequately powered clinical trials with appropriate end-points comparing aspirin with other pharmacological methods. Aspirin should not be used as the sole initial agent for VTE prophylaxis, but switching to aspirin following a short course (e.g. 5 days) of rivaroxaban may be suitable for selected low-risk patients.³⁴³ It is recommended to implement patient care programmes—including post-operative mobilization, electronic prophylaxis recommendations, and teaching sessions regarding daily use of thromboprophylaxis—as these have been shown to be beneficial in reducing the risk of post-operative VTE complications.³⁴⁴

Recommendation Table 15 — Recommendations for thromboprophylaxis

Recommendations	Class ^a	Level ^b
It is recommended that decisions about peri-operative thromboprophylaxis in NCS are based on individual and procedure-specific risk factors. ^{328,332}	I	A
If thromboprophylaxis is deemed necessary, it is recommended to choose the type and duration of thromboprophylaxis (LMWH, NOAC, or fondaparinux) according to type of NCS, duration of immobilization, and patient-related factors. ^{328,332}	I	A
In patients with a low bleeding risk, peri-operative thromboprophylaxis should be considered for a duration of up to 14 or 35 days, for total knee or hip arthroplasty, respectively. ^{334–337}	IIa	A
NOACs in thromboprophylaxis dose may be considered as alternative treatments to LMWH after total knee and hip arthroplasty. ³³³	IIb	A

LMWH, low molecular weight heparin; NCS, non-cardiac surgery; NOAC, non-vitamin K oral anticoagulant.

^aClass of recommendation.

^bLevel of evidence.

5.5. Patient blood management

Major surgery is associated with a high risk of peri-operative blood loss. Preferred treatment of acute anaemia related to peri-operative blood loss is transfusion of allogenic blood products. However, a large body of evidence indicates that inappropriate transfusion of red blood cells (RBCs) may be associated with inherent complications and impaired surgical outcome. Therefore, it is important to identify at-risk patients pre-operatively and manage peri-operative bleeding in any patients undergoing major surgery.

A hallmark study including >200 000 major surgical patients showed that even mild anaemia significantly increased the risk of morbidity—including respiratory, urinary, wound, septic, and thromboembolic complications—and mortality across all age groups.³⁴⁵ Moreover, Baron and colleagues analysed >39 000 surgical patients and showed that anaemia was significantly associated with increased mortality rate, hospital length of stay, and post-operative admission to intensive care.³⁴⁶ Up to 48% of surgical patients suffer from anaemia, and therefore anaemia should be considered to be a risk factor any time during hospitalization.³⁴⁷ Von Heymann and colleagues analysed 4494 cardiac surgical patients and showed that pre-operative anaemia and intra-operative transfusion were independently associated with decreased long-term survival.³⁴⁸ In addition, long-term survival was decreased by 50% in anaemic patients receiving blood transfusion compared with those without blood transfusion.

Anaemia may contribute to myocardial ischaemia, particularly if CAD is present. Iron deficiency (ID) is the underlying cause of anaemia in ~50% of all cases.³⁴⁷ It was recently shown that ID was associated with increased risk of 90 day mortality both in patients with (4–14%) and without (2–5%) anaemia.³⁴⁹ In addition, the incidence

of serious adverse events, major cardiac and cerebrovascular events, allogenic blood transfusion requirements, and length of stay were increased in patients with ID.

Based on the possibility of preserving the patients' own blood resources and to enable safe handling of donor blood, the World Health Assembly (WHA) has endorsed the Patient Blood Management (PBM) approach (WHA63.12). Patient Blood Management is a patient-centred and multidisciplinary approach to manage anaemia, minimize iatrogenic blood loss and bleeding, and harness tolerance to anaemia in an effort to improve patient outcome.^{350–355} A comprehensive PBM programme addressing all three PBM pillars was associated with reduced transfusion need of RBC units, and lower complication and mortality rates.³⁵⁰

5.5.1. Pre-operative anaemia—diagnosis and treatment

A serum ferritin level <30 ng/mL, transferrin saturation <20%, and/or microcytic hypochromic red cells (mean corpuscular volume <80 fl, mean corpuscular haemoglobin <27 g/dL) are indicative of ID. In the presence of inflammation or transferrin saturation <20%, a ferritin level of <100 ng/mL points to functional ID (iron sequestration) (Table 10).

Apart from compromised bone marrow function, most types of anaemia are correctable within a period of 2–4 weeks. Oral and i.v. iron therapy can be used to treat ID. Intravenous iron products consist of an iron core embedded in a carbohydrate shell, which influences the stability of the drug, for example: iron sucrose comprises a less stable shell, allowing a maximum dose of 200 mg per infusion, whereas ferric carboxymaltose, ferric derisomaltose, and ferumoxytol have a stable shell associated with slow iron release and allowing a higher dose. Administration of i.v. iron has been shown to effectively reverse anaemia in ID patients.^{356,357}

Intravenous iron is efficacious and safe³⁵⁹ and should be used in patients in whom oral iron is not tolerated, or if surgery is planned in short notice after the diagnosis of ID. A prospective observational study of 1728 major surgical patients showed that the prevalence of ID was 50%, 46.3%, and 52.7% in patients with haemoglobin <8.0, 8.0–8.9, and 9.0–9.9 g/dL, respectively.³⁵⁷ Furthermore, all iron supplemented iron-deficient anaemic patients required fewer RBC transfusions during the post-operative period, and a reduced intra-operative transfusion rate was observed if iron was supplemented >7 days before surgery. In addition, the length of stay was

significantly reduced by 2.8 days for iron supplemented patients. In the recent PREVENTT trial studying patients with anaemia undergoing major abdominal surgery, pre-operative iron transfusion failed to improve outcomes;³⁶⁰ however, due to a fault in the study design, all anaemic patients received i.v. iron but not all (~50–70%) were suffering from ID.

Recombinant human erythropoietin (rHuEPO) has frequently been used together with iron supplementation to increase pre-operative haemoglobin concentrations. A recent Cochrane review found that the administration of rHuEPO + iron to anaemic patients prior to NCS, compared with control treatment, reduced the need for RBC transfusion and increased the haemoglobin concentration pre-operatively; however, there were no important differences in the risk of adverse events or mortality within 30 days, or in length of hospital stay.³⁶¹ Well-designed, adequately powered RCTs are required to more precisely estimate the impact of this combined treatment.

Pre-operative management of patients with anaemia can be simplified by making use of standard operating procedures or algorithms in which thresholds for diagnosis and treatment are depicted.³⁶² An example of such an algorithm can be found in the PBM programme³⁶³ (see Supplementary data, Figure S4) and in the British Committee for Standards in Haematology (BCSH) Guidelines on the Identification and Management of Pre-Operative Anaemia.³⁶⁴

Recommendation Table 16 — Recommendations for intra- and post-operative complications associated with anaemia

Recommendations	Class ^a	Level ^b
It is recommended to measure haemoglobin pre-operatively in patients scheduled for intermediate- to high-risk NCS. ^{350,354}	I	B
It is recommended to treat anaemia in advance of NCS, in order to reduce the need for RBC transfusion during NCS. ^{357,361}	I	A
The use of an algorithm to diagnose and treat anaemic patients before NCS should be considered.	IIa	C

NCS, non-cardiac surgery; RBC, red blood cell.

^aClass of recommendation.

^bLevel of evidence.

5.5.2. Bleeding and reduction of iatrogenic diagnostic/surgery-related blood loss

Blood loss associated with laboratory testing can either cause or aggravate hospital-acquired anaemia, which is associated with increased length of stay and complications. In 1867 patients undergoing cardiac surgery, an average of 115 tests per patient were performed, with a cumulative median volume of 454 mL.³⁶⁵ A reduction in blood drawn for laboratory analyses can be achieved by lowering the frequency of sampling and using paediatric-size collection tube sizes, for example. To decrease blood loss, blood-saving bundles could be used (e.g. a closed-loop arterial blood sampling system, smaller sampling tubes, reduction of frequency of blood

Table 10 Laboratory parameters for the diagnosis of absolute iron-deficiency anaemia

Parameter	Normal	Iron deficiency
Mean corpuscular haemoglobin (g/dL)	28–33	<27
Mean cellular volume (fL)	80–96	<80
Transferrin saturation (%)	16–45	<20
Ferritin (ng/mL)	18–360	<30 ^a
Reticulocytes haemoglobin (ng/mL)	18–360	<30

^aIn cases of chronic kidney disease, chronic heart failure or infections, iron deficiency is diagnosed with ferritin level <100 ng/mL or transferrin saturation <20%.³⁵⁸

drawings, and sample numbers). Such a strategy decreased mean blood loss per intensive care unit (ICU) day from 43.3 mL to 15.0 mL ($P < 0.001$),³⁶⁶ mainly due to the introduction of closed-loop arterial blood sampling systems. In addition, units of transfused RBCs per 100 observation days decreased from 7 to 2.3 ($P < 0.001$).³⁶⁶

A reduction in surgery-related blood loss starts from the pre-operative stage, with appropriate cessation strategies for anticoagulation and antiplatelet therapy. Intra-operative approaches to avoid blood loss include: (i) advanced anaesthetic; (ii) advanced surgical techniques with meticulous haemostasis, such as minimally invasive surgery and laparoscopic surgery; (iii) judicious use of diathermy dissection; (iv) physician’s mindfulness regarding limiting blood loss; and (v) application of topical haemostatic agents.^{367–369}

Adequate coagulation management to minimize blood loss needs to be a pre-condition before RBC transfusion is considered. In this respect, the use of a coagulation algorithm is recommended,^{370,371} encompassing pre-operative assessment,³⁷² and ensuring basic conditions for haemostasis, reversal of anticoagulants, point-of-care diagnostics in bleeding patients, and optimized coagulation management with the use of clotting factor concentrates.^{373,374}

Tranexamic acid is an antifibrinolytic agent that is widely used for prophylaxis and treatment of bleeding caused by hyperfibrinolysis. A meta-analysis including 129 trials encompassing more than 10 000 patients to assess the effect of tranexamic acid on blood transfusion showed that administration of tranexamic acid reduced allogeneic blood transfusion by 38% ($P < 0.001$).³⁷⁵ In the recent POISE-3 study, 9535 patients undergoing NCS were randomized to tranexamic acid (1 g i.v. bolus) or placebo at the start and end of surgery. The incidence of the primary efficacy outcome (composite bleeding outcome) at 30 days was significantly lower with tranexamic acid than with placebo (HR, 0.76; 95% CI, 0.67–0.87).³⁷⁶ With respect to the primary safety outcome (composite CV outcome), the results did not meet the non-inferiority criteria (HR, 1.02; 95% CI, 0.92–1.14; $P = 0.04$ for non-inferiority).

The use of (washed) cell recovery is highly recommended in surgical settings where blood loss is routinely or anticipated to be > 500 mL, as it reduces the rate of exposure to allogeneic RBCs, risk of infection, and length of stay. A meta-analysis including 47 trials encompassing 3433 patients of all surgical disciplines showed that the use of washed cell salvage reduced the rate of exposure to allogeneic RBC transfusion by 39% ($P < 0.001$), risk of infection by 28% ($P = 0.03$), and length of stay by 2.31 days ($P < 0.001$).³⁷⁷

Recommendation Table 17 — Recommendations for intra- and post-operative complications associated with blood loss

Recommendations	Class ^a	Level ^b
In patients undergoing surgery with expected blood loss of ≥ 500 mL, use of washed cell salvage is recommended. ^{377,378}	I	A
It is recommended to use point-of-care diagnostics for guidance of blood component therapy, when available. ^{370,379–383}	I	A

Continued

In patients undergoing NCS and experiencing major bleeding, administration of tranexamic acid should be immediately considered. ^{375,376,384–386}	IIa	A
Use of closed-loop arterial blood sampling systems should be considered to avoid blood loss. ^{366,378,387,388}	IIa	B
Application of meticulous haemostasis should be considered a routine procedure. ^{350,389}	IIa	B

NCS, non-cardiac surgery.
^aClass of recommendation.
^bLevel of evidence.

© ESC 2022

5.5.3. Optimal blood component use with patient-centred clinical decision support

In order to optimize utilization of allogeneic blood products and to ensure guideline-adherent transfusion strategies, computerized physician order-entry systems should be considered.^{390,391} For example, Kaserer and colleagues evaluated the effectiveness of a monitoring and feedback programme and compared transfusion rates of $> 210 000$ patients before and after implementation;³⁹² overall, transfusion of RBCs was reduced by 40%.

Informed consent should be obtained from patients prior to transfusion of allogeneic blood products. It is necessary to effectively communicate the risks and benefits of the various potential interventions to the patient. It may further be recommended that any transfusion of allogeneic blood products should be mentioned in the discharge summary. In addition, the patient’s own preferences and values should be considered when developing a medical plan.

Recommendation Table 18 — Recommendations for intra- and post-operative complications associated with allogeneic blood transfusion

Recommendations	Class ^a	Level ^b
A feedback/monitoring programme or clinical decision support system should be considered to be assessed before blood transfusion. ^{392–396}	IIa	B
Before allogeneic blood transfusion, it should be considered to obtain an extensive consent about risks associated with transfusion.	IIa	C

^aClass of recommendation.
^bLevel of evidence.

© ESC 2022

6. Specific diseases

Patients with CVD have an increased risk of peri-operative CV complications.⁴⁵ Both the risk of complications and the peri-operative management depend on the specific type of CVD.

6.1. Coronary artery disease

6.1.1. Risk for patients with coronary artery disease

The peri-operative risk of CV complications for patients with established CAD depends on the baseline CV risk, type of surgery, and

degree of urgency of the NCS. Older patients have a higher risk than younger patients, and patients with a recent ACS have a higher risk than those with CCS. The presence of comorbidities may also influence the risk.

6.1.2. Pre-operative risk assessment and management

The diagnostic evaluation and pre-operative management of patients with CCS undergoing NCS are outlined in [Section 4](#). In particular, the value of CCTA and ICA are discussed in [Sections 4.5.3.1. and 4.5.3.2.](#)

In patients in need of immediate NCS, the operation must be performed without further delay and the time for pre-operative assessment is limited.

In patients scheduled for elective NCS who present with an ACS, the management of ACS should follow the guidelines for ACS patients in the non-surgical setting.^{98,171} In such settings, it would be reasonable to consider treating the culprit lesion only before NCS. Potential changes in timing of surgery and the peri-operative management (e.g. type of surgery, anaesthesia, medical therapy, and peri-operative monitoring) should be considered.

In patients with known CAD, it is recommended to collect information regarding previous invasive and non-invasive diagnostic examinations, and therapeutic interventions for CAD, within a sufficient time interval before the NCS, ideally at the time the proposal for NCS is made.

6.1.3. Revascularization strategies

The indication for coronary revascularization depends on the clinical presentation of CAD (ACS vs. CCS), urgency, and cardiac risk of NCS. In general, there is clear evidence that routine revascularization improves outcomes in ACS patients and less support for such a strategy in patients with CCS. The process of decision-making related to revascularization in CCS should be individualized, in order to prioritize revascularization in case of involvement of a significant amount of ischaemic myocardium or refractory symptoms, while medical management is a valuable option in patients with less relevant CAD manifestations.

6.1.3.1. Chronic coronary syndromes

The rationale for coronary revascularization before NCS is to prevent peri-operative myocardial ischaemia leading to acute MI, haemodynamic instability, and arrhythmia. Data from autopsy studies following fatal peri-operative MI showed that more than two-thirds of the patients had significant left main or three-vessel disease.³⁹⁷ A retrospective registry based on the Coronary Artery Surgery Study (CASS) study found that coronary artery bypass graft (CABG) reduced the risk of peri-operative mortality and MI in patients undergoing major NCS, particularly in subjects with three-vessel disease and reduced left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF).³⁹⁸ However, the evidence in support of routine prophylactic revascularization before NCS is based on relatively small clinical trials and retrospective registries unrepresentative of current clinical practice.

In the Coronary Artery Revascularization Prophylaxis (CARP) trial, 510 patients with CCS were randomized to either optimal medical therapy or coronary revascularization (surgical or percutaneous) before major vascular surgery.³⁹⁹ In this study, patients receiving coronary revascularization compared with those who were medically

treated did not differ in terms of acute MI after 30 days (8.4% vs. 8.4%, respectively) and mortality after 2.7 years (22% vs. 23%, respectively). Of note, this study excluded patients with significant left main disease, while one-third of the patients presented with three-vessel disease.³⁹⁹ In another randomized trial, 426 patients without evidence of CAD and scheduled for CEA were randomized to either routine coronary angiography with provisional revascularization before CEA or CEA without previous coronary angiography. There were no significant differences between the treatment groups in all-cause mortality, acute MI, and stroke at 30 days.¹⁷² A subsequent meta-analysis including 3949 patients showed no clinical benefit associated with routine prophylactic revascularization before NCS.⁴⁰⁰ A recent retrospective analysis on 4414 patients undergoing total joint arthroplasty found that the risk of adverse CV events was increased in patients with CAD, regardless of coronary revascularization before surgery. However, in patients receiving coronary revascularization, the risk of adverse CV events decreased, as the interval between revascularization and total joint arthroplasty was >2 years.⁴⁰¹

The lack of evidence in support of routine prophylactic revascularization in CCS does not preclude a decision-process based on individual risk–benefit assessment in patients with a significant amount of ischaemic myocardium (as in the case of left main disease) and/or with refractory symptoms. The International Study of Comparative Health Effectiveness with Medical and Invasive Approaches (ISCHEMIA) trial randomized 5179 patients with stable CAD and moderate or severe ischaemia to an initial invasive strategy (consisting of angiography plus revascularization, as appropriate) or to an initial strategy of medical therapy alone, with bail-out angiography if medical therapy failed.⁴⁰² This trial did not find a significant difference in the primary composite end-point of death and MI between treatment groups. Interestingly, these neutral results were inapplicable to patients with severe left main disease, since these patients were excluded after a pre-randomization CCTA. It has yet to be demonstrated whether CCTA represents a valuable tool with which to select patients with stable CAD and/or moderate or severe ischaemia who might benefit from an initial invasive strategy. A large angiography-based registry of 9016 CCS patients with high-risk coronary anatomy (three-vessel disease with $\geq 70\%$ stenosis in all three epicardial vessels or left main disease $\geq 50\%$ stenosis) showed improved outcomes (all-cause mortality or MI) in patients undergoing revascularization (both for PCI or CABG) vs. conservative medical therapy (HR, 0.62; 95% CI, 0.58–0.66; $P < 0.001$).⁴⁰³

The 2018 ESC/EACTS Guidelines on myocardial revascularization and the 2019 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of chronic coronary syndromes generally apply to this population of patients, as long as the NCS can be postponed long enough to allow safe discontinuation of DAPT.^{146,404} Similarly, the choice between PCI and CABG should follow the general rules outlined in the above-mentioned guidelines.^{268,404} The use of intravascular imaging for planning and optimization of PCI is encouraged.^{405,406}

6.1.3.2. Acute coronary syndromes

There are no trials specifically addressing the strategy of revascularization in ACS patients scheduled for NCS. High- and very high-risk




Figure 12 Management of patients with acute or chronic coronary syndrome scheduled for non-cardiac surgery. ACS, acute coronary syndrome; BNP, B-type natriuretic peptide; CABG, coronary artery bypass graft; CAD, coronary artery disease; CCS, chronic coronary syndrome; ECG, electrocardiogram; FFR, fractional flow reserve; hs-cTn, high-sensitivity cardiac troponin; ICA, invasive coronary angiography; iwFR, instantaneous wave-free ratio; N, no; NCS, non-cardiac surgery; NT-proBNP, N-terminal proBNP; PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention; TTE, transthoracic echocardiography. Y, yes; The figure provides a schematic representation of diagnostic tools and therapy to be implemented according to surgical risk and underlying cardiac condition. ^aBiomarkers: hs-cTn T/I (Class I) ± BNP/NT-proBNP (Class IIa). ^bFunctional capacity based on Duke Activity Status Index (DASI) or the ability to climb two flights of stairs. ^cICA ± PCI/CABG on a case-by-case basis according to the Heart Team.

Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/eurheartj/article/43/39/3826/6675076 by guest on 11 November 2022

patients should be treated according to the 2020 ESC Guidelines for the management of acute coronary syndromes in patients presenting without persistent ST-segment elevation,⁹⁸ with an early (<24 h) or immediate (<2 h) invasive strategy, respectively. In low-risk groups

amenable to a selective invasive strategy, the decision-making should be consistent with the approach for CCS patients.

In a post hoc analyses of the HIP-ATTACK (HIP Fracture Accelerated Surgical Treatment And Care track) trial, patients

with increased baseline troponin before randomization showed lower risk of mortality with accelerated surgery (within 6 h from the diagnosis) vs. standard of care (HR, 0.38; 95% CI, 0.21–0.66).⁵⁷

The selection of the type of revascularization (PCI or CABG) should be based on the coronary anatomy and complexity of atherosclerosis, and the presence of diabetes.^{268,404} When PCI is chosen, the use of a DES is recommended.⁴⁰⁷ In case of a life-threatening clinical condition requiring undeferrable NCS and concomitant ACS-STEMI with an indication for coronary revascularization, a minimalistic approach with plain balloon angioplasty and delayed stenting might be considered.^{408,409} Figure 12 shows a summary of diagnostic and therapeutic pathways in patients with CAD scheduled for NCS.

Recommendation Table 19 — Recommendations for the timing of non-cardiac surgery and revascularization in patients with known coronary artery disease

Recommendations	Class ^a	Level ^b
Patients with CCS		
If PCI is indicated before NCS, the use of new-generation DES is recommended over BMS and balloon angioplasty. ²⁶⁸	I	A
Pre-operative evaluation of patients with an indication for PCI by an expert team (surgeon and cardiologist) should be considered before elective NCS.	IIa	C
Myocardial revascularization before high-risk elective NCS may be considered, depending on the amount of ischaemic myocardium, refractory symptoms, and findings at coronary angiography (as in the case of left main disease). ^{399,402,403}	IIb	B
Routine myocardial revascularization before low- and intermediate-risk NCS in patients with CCS is not recommended. ^{399,400}	III	B
Patients with ACS		
If NCS can safely be postponed (e.g. at least 3 months), it is recommended that patients with ACS being scheduled for NCS undergo diagnostic and therapeutic interventions as recommended for ACS patients in general. ^{98,268}	I	A
In the unlikely combination of a life-threatening clinical condition requiring urgent NCS, and NSTEMI-ACS with an indication for revascularization, the priorities for surgery on a case-by-case basis should be considered by the expert team. ²⁶⁸	IIa	C

ACS, acute coronary syndrome; BMS, bare metal stent; CAD, coronary artery disease; CCS, chronic coronary syndrome; DES, drug-eluting stent; NCS, non-cardiac surgery; NSTEMI-ACS, non-ST-segment elevation acute coronary syndrome; PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention.

^aClass of recommendation.

^bLevel of evidence.

6.2. Chronic heart failure

6.2.1. Risk for patients with heart failure

Heart failure is an established risk factor for post-operative mortality across a broad range of surgical specialties.^{410–412} Several tools for risk calculations in patients undergoing NCS include HF as a predictor of adverse post-operative events.^{411,413}

The risk of adverse post-operative events associated with HF depends on whether the LV systolic function is preserved or reduced, on the haemodynamic compensation, and on the presence of symptoms.^{414,415} In patients undergoing NCS, there is a risk of acute decompensated HF, with rapid onset or worsening of symptoms and/or signs of HF, precipitated by fluid accumulation and/or comorbid conditions.⁴¹²

Patients with peri-operative acute or chronic HF are at increased risk of mortality during NCS. In a recently published analysis of 21 560 996 hospitalizations for NCS, the presence of any diagnosis of HF was associated with significantly higher in-hospital all-cause mortality compared with absence of HF (4.8% vs. 0.78%; adjusted OR, 2.15; 95% CI, 2.09–2.22).⁴¹⁶ Among patients with a chronic HF diagnosis, peri-operative mortality was greater in those with acute exacerbation of chronic HF compared to those with compensated chronic HF. In a recent large-scale cohort study of individuals undergoing ambulatory surgery, the crude 90 day mortality was 2.0% among patients with HF and 0.4% among patients without HF.⁴¹⁷ The crude risk of 30 day post-operative complications was 5.7% and 2.7%, respectively. Of note, the risk of mortality progressively increased with decreasing systolic function. It is not recommended to perform elective NCS in patients with decompensated HF.

The value of pre-operative assessment of LV function with TTE and measurement of natriuretic peptides (BNP or NT-proBNP) is discussed in Section 4.4. The TTE should not be older than 6 months, or performed just before NCS in the case of clinical worsening.

6.2.2. Pre- and post-operative management strategies

In order to reduce the risk of acute decompensation and the risk of mortality, optimal guideline-directed medical treatment of HF before scheduled NCS is recommended.⁴¹² Special attention should be given to the fluid balance, since high-volume infusion is often needed in the peri-operative period. Invasive monitoring of the arterial pressure aiming to obtain oximetric and metabolic parameters during NCS is frequently needed for intermediate- to high-risk NCS among HF patients. Furthermore, dynamic variables derived from the arterial pressure waveform (cardiac output, pulse pressure variation, stroke volume variation) are useful for guiding protocolled goal-directed therapy. Use of more invasive tools, such as right heart catheterization or transoesophageal echocardiography (TEE), might be considered on an individual patient level (see Section 7.1).

Baseline medication should be continued throughout the peri-operative period, in accordance with the recommendations provided in Section 5.2. It is recommended to perform ECG, measure biomarkers of myocardial injury (cTn T/I), and perform echocardiography to tailor the optimal treatment strategy in patients with acute decompensated HF post-operatively.

Table 11 Peri-operative approach to patients with ventricular assist devices undergoing non-cardiac surgery

Pre-operative	Intra-operative	Post-operative
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Multidisciplinary team identified (primary surgical and anaesthesia teams, cardiac surgery, HF cardiologist, VAD personnel) • Pre-operative medical optimization when possible or necessary • Physical examination focused on the sequelae of HF • Baseline ECG, echocardiogram, and laboratory values • Manage pacemaker/ICD settings when indicated • CT examination to evaluate possible driveline interference with the operative field • Hold, bridge, or reverse anticoagulation when indicated, after VAD team consultation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Standard American Society of Anesthesiologists monitors • Cerebral tissue oxygenation, processed electroencephalogram, arterial line with ultrasound guidance, central venous catheter if fluid shifts are expected, PA catheter only if severe pulmonary hypertension, TEE available • Monitor VAD control console • External defibrillator pads in place • Optimize pre-load, support RV function, avoid increase in afterload • Gradual peritoneal insufflations and position changes 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Standard post-anaesthesia care unit unless ICU is otherwise indicated • Extubation criteria are unchanged • Avoid hypoventilation, optimize oxygenation • Resume heparin infusion when post-op bleeding risk is acceptable

CT, computed tomography; ECG, electrocardiogram; HF, heart failure; ICU, intensive care unit; PA, pulmonary artery; RV, right ventricular; TEE, transoesophageal echocardiography; VAD, ventricular assist device. Adapted from Roberts et al.⁴²¹

© ESC 2022

The management of patients with cardiac implantable electronic devices (CIEDs) undergoing NCS is discussed in Section 6.4.5. In patients with resynchronization devices (cardiac resynchronization therapy [CRT]), it is recommended to keep the device on to provide better haemodynamic stability.

6.2.3. Hypertrophic obstructive cardiomyopathy

Patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy with LV outflow tract obstruction (HOCM) have an increased risk of complications during NCS and require additional attention.⁴¹⁸ It is recommended to perform TTE before NCS in order to determine the extent of the hypertrophy, obstruction, and diastolic function.⁴¹⁹ Avoidance of prolonged pre-operative fasting and dehydration is important to maintain stroke volume and reduce the risk of increased obstruction. Furthermore, it is important to avoid vasodilating anaesthetic agents and maintain neutral fluid balance during the peri-operative period. The heart rate should be kept low (60–65 beats per minute [b.p.m.]) and AF should be avoided. Medication used to treat LV outflow tract obstruction should remain on board during NCS.

6.2.4. Patients with ventricular assist devices undergoing non-cardiac surgery

Ventricular assist devices (VADs) play an important role in the treatment of patients with end-stage HF who require a bridge to heart transplantation or as a permanent destination therapy. As the number of patients receiving VAD as destination therapy increases,^{420,421} the need for NCS in this specific subset of patients is expected to increase in years to come. Non-cardiac surgery should be performed in surgical centres that have access to VAD teams (Table 11).

Recommendation Table 20 — Recommendations for management of heart failure in patients undergoing non-cardiac surgery

Recommendations	Class ^a	Level ^b
In patients with suspected or known HF scheduled for high-risk NCS, it is recommended to evaluate LV function with echocardiography and measurement of NT-proBNP/BNP levels, unless this has recently been performed. ^{112,113,422,423}	I	B
It is recommended that patients with HF undergoing NCS receive optimal medical treatment according to current ESC guidelines. ⁴¹²	I	A
In patients with HF undergoing NCS, it is recommended to regularly assess volume status and signs of organ perfusion.	I	C
A multidisciplinary team including VAD specialists is recommended for peri-operative management of patients with HF receiving mechanical circulatory support.	I	C

BNP, B-type natriuretic peptide; ESC, European Society of Cardiology; HF, heart failure; LV, left ventricular; MCS, mechanical circulatory support; NCS, non-cardiac surgery; NT-proBNP, N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide; VAD, ventricular assist device.
^aClass of recommendation.
^bLevel of evidence.

© ESC 2022

6.3. Valvular heart disease

6.3.1. Risk for patients with valvular heart disease

Valvular heart disease increases the risk of peri-operative CV complications during NCS. The magnitude of risk is highly




Figure 13 Management of patients with severe aortic valve stenosis scheduled for non-cardiac surgery. BAV, balloon aortic valvuloplasty; ECG, electrocardiogram; LV, left ventricular; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; N, no; NCS, non-cardiac surgery; SAVR, surgical aortic valve replacement; TAVI, transcatheter aortic valve implantation; TTE, transthoracic echocardiography; TEE, transoesophageal echocardiography. Y, yes; The figure provides a schematic representation of diagnostic assessment or therapy to be implemented according to surgical risk and underlying cardiac condition. ^aThis applies to treatment of complications (e.g. atrial fibrillation, heart failure). No medical therapy is recommended for aortic stenosis per se.

variable and depends on the severity of VHD and type of NCS. It is particularly increased in patients with obstructive valve pathology, for example symptomatic AS or MS, where peri-operative volume shifts and arrhythmia may lead to rapid decompensation.⁴²⁴

6.3.2. Pre-operative management strategies and risk-reduction strategy

Clinical and echocardiographic evaluation is recommended in all patients with known or suspected VHD who are scheduled for elective intermediate-or-high-risk NCS. Patients in whom mild-to-moderate VHD was diagnosed >1 year earlier should have clinical and echocardiographic re-assessment. Heart team discussion may be helpful in patients with significant VHD. The risks of valvular intervention

and the risk of NCS-related complications should be estimated and communicated to the patient and the surgical team.

6.3.2.1. Aortic valve stenosis

The peri-operative risk associated with AS during NCS depends upon the presence of symptoms, stenosis severity, and coexisting cardiac disease (e.g. CAD, mitral insufficiency, or reduced LVEF). Severe symptomatic AS is a significant risk factor for post-operative MI and HF, and a predictor for 30 day and long-term mortality after NCS.^{425,426} Careful peri-operative management is essential in patients undergoing intermediate- and high-risk NCS, albeit the significance of AS in patients undergoing low-risk NCS might have been overemphasized in studies that pre-date the more recent advances in anaesthesia, surgical techniques, and post-operative management.




Figure 14 Management of patients with secondary mitral valve regurgitation scheduled for non-cardiac surgery. ECG, electrocardiogram; GDMT, guideline-directed medical therapy; N, no; NCS, non-cardiac surgery; TTE, transthoracic echocardiography; TEE, transoesophageal echocardiography; TEER, transcatheter edge-to-edge repair. Y, yes; ^aCoronary angiography ± PCI/CABG on a case-by-case according to the expert team.

Aortic valve replacement has been associated with reduced in-hospital and 30 day mortality and morbidity among patients with AS undergoing intermediate- to high-risk NCS.^{425,426} However, the decision regarding the timing of AVR in relation to NCS should be weighted according to the baseline risk profile and the risk associated with the NCS. The choice of surgical aortic valve replacement (SAVR) vs. TAVI should follow the 2021 ESC/EACTS Guidelines for the management of valvular heart disease²⁴⁵ and patient's informed preference (Figure 13).

In patients with severe symptomatic AS, in whom NCS can be deferred, aortic valve intervention (SAVR or TAVI) is recommended before NCS. In patients requiring time-sensitive NCS, TAVI is a reasonable option.⁴²⁷ In patients with severe symptomatic AS in need of time-sensitive NCS in whom TAVI or SAVR are unfeasible, balloon aortic valvuloplasty (BAV) may be considered before NCS as a bridge to definitive aortic valve repair. Asymptomatic patients with severe

AS and normal LVEF can safely undergo low- to intermediate-risk NCS, unless the NCS is associated with large volume shifts.^{245,428}

6.3.2.2. Mitral valve stenosis

Non-cardiac surgery can be performed with a relatively low risk of complications in patients with mild MS (valve area >1.5 cm²) and in asymptomatic patients with moderate-to-severe MS (valve area ≤ 1.5 cm²) and systolic pulmonary artery pressure (SPAP) <50 mmHg on echocardiography.⁴²⁹ As transmitral gradients are flow-sensitive, tachycardia and fluid overload can cause pulmonary oedema during NCS. In this regard, arterial vasodilators should be avoided and surveillance for peri-operative AF is of paramount importance. Management of anticoagulation for patients with high thrombotic risk is discussed in Section 5.3.2. In asymptomatic patients with moderate-to-severe MS and SPAP >50 mmHg, and in symptomatic patients, the peri-operative risk of CV events is increased.

In this case, a percutaneous mitral commissurotomy (PMC) should be considered before high-risk NCS. Otherwise, a multidisciplinary team should manage patients with moderate-to-severe MS who are ineligible for PMC, and NCS should be performed only if necessary. Intermediate-risk NCS may be performed in asymptomatic patients with severe MS with appropriate intra-operative and post-operative haemodynamic monitoring, if valve morphology is unsuitable for PMC.

6.3.2.3. Aortic valve regurgitation

In patients with mild-to-moderate aortic valve regurgitation (AR), NCS can be performed without additional risk. Patients with severe AR in whom valvular intervention is needed should be treated before intermediate-or-high-risk elective NCS (see Recommendation Table 21 and the 2021 ESC/EACTS Guidelines for the management of valvular heart disease).²⁴⁵

6.3.2.4. Mitral valve regurgitation

In patients with severe symptomatic mitral valve regurgitation (MR), the type of valve disease (primary or secondary) and LV function should be assessed. Patients with secondary MR, especially of ischaemic aetiology, are at increased risk of CV complications during NCS.⁴³⁰ Patients with severe symptomatic MR fulfilling the intervention criteria should be referred for valve treatment before intermediate- or high-risk elective NCS. In case of symptomatic moderate-to-severe secondary MR, patients meeting the Cardiovascular Outcomes Assessment of the MitraClip Percutaneous Therapy for Heart Failure Patients With Functional Mitral Regurgitation (COAPT) criteria should be considered for transcatheter edge-to-edge treatment before NCS (Figure 14).^{245,431} In patients with severe primary MR with symptoms or asymptomatic with LV dysfunction, valve repair is the recommended therapy (see Recommendation Table 21 and the 2021 ESC/EACTS Guidelines for the management of valvular heart disease²⁴⁵).

In patients with reduced LVEF and concomitant AR or MR, intra-operative haemodynamic monitoring, heart rate control, and careful fluid balance are crucial to avoid haemodynamic deterioration, especially during high-risk surgery.

Recommendation Table 21 — Recommendations for management of valvular heart disease in patients undergoing non-cardiac surgery

Recommendations	Class ^a	Level ^b
Clinical and echocardiographic evaluation (if not recently performed) is recommended in all patients with known or suspected VHD who are scheduled for elective intermediate- or high-risk NCS.	I	C
Aortic valve stenosis		
AVR (SAVR or TAVI) is recommended in symptomatic patients with severe AS who are scheduled for elective intermediate- or high-risk NCS.	I	C

Continued

In asymptomatic patients with severe AS who are scheduled for elective high-risk NCS, AVR (SAVR or TAVI) should be considered after Heart Team discussion.	IIa	C
In patients with severe symptomatic AS in need of time-sensitive NCS or in whom the TAVI and SAVR are unfeasible, BAV may be considered before NCS as a bridge to definitive aortic valve repair.	IIb	C
Aortic valve regurgitation		
In patients with symptomatic severe AR or asymptomatic severe AR and LVESD >50 mm or LVESDi (LVESD/BSA) >25 mm/m ² (in patients with small body size) or resting LVEF ≤50%, valve surgery is recommended prior to elective intermediate- or high-risk NCS.	I	C
Mitral valve stenosis		
In patients with moderate-to-severe rheumatic MS and symptoms or SPAP >50 mmHg, valve intervention (PMC or surgery) is recommended before elective intermediate- or high-risk NCS.	I	C
Mitral valve regurgitation		
In patients with symptomatic severe primary MR or asymptomatic severe primary MR with LV dysfunction (LVESD ≥40 mm and/or LVEF ≤60%), valve intervention (surgical or transcatheter) should be considered prior to intermediate- or high-risk NCS, if time allows.	IIa	C
In patients with severe secondary MR who remain symptomatic despite guideline-directed medical therapy (including CRT if indicated), valve intervention (transcatheter or surgical) should be considered before NCS, in eligible patients with an acceptable procedural risk.	IIa	C

AS, aortic valve stenosis; AR, aortic valve regurgitation; AVR, aortic valve replacement; BAV, balloon aortic valvuloplasty; BSA, body surface area; CRT, cardiac resynchronization therapy; LV, left ventricular; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; LVESD, left ventricular end-systolic diameter; LVESDi, left ventricular end-systolic dimension index; MR, mitral valve regurgitation; MS, mitral stenosis; NCS, non-cardiac surgery; PMC, percutaneous mitral commissurotomy; SAVR, surgical aortic valve replacement; SPAP, systolic pulmonary artery pressure; TAVI, transcatheter aortic valve implantation; VHD, valvular heart disease.

^aClass of recommendation.

^bLevel of evidence.

6.3.2.5. Patients with prosthetic valve(s)

Patients who have undergone previous surgical correction of VHD and have a prosthetic valve can undergo NCS provided that there is no evidence of valve dysfunction. In current practice, the main problem is the need for a modification of the anticoagulation regimen in the peri-operative period; this is discussed in detail in Section 5.3.

6.3.2.6. Prophylaxis of infective endocarditis

Prophylaxis against infective endocarditis in patients requiring NCS should be consistent with the 2015 ESC Guidelines for the management of infective endocarditis.⁴³²

6.4. Known or newly diagnosed arrhythmias

Arrhythmias pose a significant burden to patients undergoing NCS, contributing to excessive morbidity and mortality.^{433,434}

6.4.1. Peri-operative management—general measures

Arrhythmias—namely, supraventricular tachycardia (SVT) and ventricular tachycardia (VT)—may accompany acute surgical illness, but should not defer urgent surgical procedures, unless the arrhythmia is life-threatening. All patients with known arrhythmia undergoing elective surgery should have a 12-lead ECG performed pre-operatively and undergo a cardiology check-up. Prevention of potential arrhythmic triggers is crucial: electrolyte and acid-base imbalance, myocardial ischaemia (also caused by excessive blood loss and anaemia), and large volume shifts, which can provoke subsequent autonomic hyperactivity, should be avoided in the pre-, intra-, and post-operative periods. Patients with a systolic HF should receive optimal treatment, as such therapy reduces the risk of total mortality and sudden cardiac death (SCD).⁴³⁵ Patients already taking AADs should generally not stop taking these drugs. Patients at high-risk of malignant arrhythmias should have continuous ECG monitoring through the whole peri-operative period, with particular emphasis placed on patients who have had implantable cardioverter–defibrillators (ICDs) deactivated during NCS.

6.4.2. Supraventricular arrhythmias

Supraventricular arrhythmias do not usually cause deferral of surgery. In rare cases, presence of pre-excitation and AF conducted rapidly over an accessory pathway flag a patient at risk of SCD and may indicate the need for ablation, if surgery is not emergent.

Supraventricular premature beats usually do not require therapy. Identification and correction of potential triggers (electrolyte and acid-base imbalance, volume overload, etc.) are highly recommended. Peri-operative SVT typically responds well to vagal manoeuvres, or to a bolus of adenosine if unsuccessful. If SVT persists or reoccurs, i.v. beta-blockers, verapamil, or diltiazem can be used for rhythm conversion or temporal slowing of atrioventricular conduction.⁴³⁶ Prompt cardioversion should be performed in rare cases of haemodynamically unstable SVT. If prophylactic therapy is needed to prevent recurrent SVT, beta-blockers or non-dihydropyridine CCBs (verapamil, diltiazem) can be used, and flecainide/propafenone or amiodarone can be considered if ineffective. Rarely, when SVT recurs despite therapy or becomes incessant, ablation should be considered in patients undergoing high-risk, non-emergent surgery. Recently published results of an RCT confirmed the superiority of radiofrequency (RF) ablation over AADs for persistent atrioventricular nodal re-entry tachycardia. Large registries and meta-analyses have demonstrated efficacy and safety of RF ablation in WPW syndrome and other SVTs, with a single-procedure success rate of >90%.^{437–441}

6.4.3. Atrial fibrillation/flutter

The majority of patients with AF receive lifelong OAC therapy for the prevention of stroke and systemic embolism,⁹⁹ and the peri-operative management of OAC therapy will depend on the type

of surgery (see [Section 5.3.2](#)).^{99,240} Sometimes AF is asymptomatic⁴⁴² and may be first detected on admission for surgery, or may first occur in the pre-operative period. The initial management of newly diagnosed AF includes prevention of thromboembolism, and symptom control, and should not be deferred awaiting consultation with a cardiologist.⁹⁹

In patients with newly diagnosed AF who require systemic OAC therapy for stroke prevention, the choice of anticoagulant in the pre-operative period depends on the type of surgery (see [Section 5.3.2](#)). Optimal rate control (i.e. resting heart rate <110 b.p.m.)⁹⁹ is mandatory in all patients with AF, whereas rhythm control (i.e. achieving and maintenance of sinus rhythm) in the pre-operative period may be considered only if symptoms persist despite optimal rate control.

Rate control can be achieved using beta-blockers or non-dihydropyridine CCBs (verapamil, diltiazem). Amiodarone can be used as first-line therapy in patients with HF, whereas digoxin is usually ineffective in high adrenergic conditions such as surgery. Pharmacological cardioversion of symptomatic recent-onset AF can be attempted using flecainide or propafenone; in patients without significant LV hypertrophy, LV systolic dysfunction, or IHD, the use of flecainide or propafenone results in prompt (3–5 h) and safe restoration of sinus rhythm in >50% of patients. Intravenous amiodarone administration has a limited and delayed effect but can slow the heart rate within 12 h; i.v. vernakalant is the most rapidly cardioverting drug, including patients with mild HF and/or IHD.^{99,443} Dofetilide is not used in Europe, and ibutilide is effective to convert atrial flutter to sinus rhythm.^{99,444} In patients with AF and haemodynamic instability, emergency cardioversion (most commonly electrical direct current cardioversion) is indicated.⁹⁹ Alternatively, pharmacological cardioversion using i.v. AADs should be attempted, if consistent with the patient's clinical status. Systemic OAC therapy to prevent thromboembolic events should be started as soon as possible.⁹⁹ Post-operative AF is discussed in [Section 8.6](#).

Management of atrial flutter follows the same principles as AF with respect to OAC therapy. Rate control is usually an initial approach in patients with atrial flutter;⁴³⁶ however, drugs that slow atrioventricular conduction (digoxin, beta-blockers, or non-dihydropyridine CCBs) are usually less effective than in AF. In patients with a high ventricular rate, electrical cardioversion is frequently needed.^{445–448} Amiodarone may be an alternative used to control rate, especially in HF or critically compromised patients.⁴⁴⁹ Dofetilide and ibutilide are effective in converting atrial flutter to sinus rhythm, whereas class IA and IC drugs and amiodarone are less efficient and should not be used.^{450–453}

6.4.4. Ventricular arrhythmias

Premature ventricular contractions (PVC) and non-sustained VT are frequent in the general population and patients undergoing NCS. Specific clinical features have been suggested as predictors of increasing incidence of PVC.⁴⁵⁴ These arrhythmias have historically been considered benign; however, recent studies have suggested that they may be associated with an adverse outcome, even in patients with apparently normal hearts, especially if frequent (e.g. >10–20%).^{455–459} In patients with heart disease, the prognostic impact of PVC and non-sustained VT depends on type and extent of heart damage.^{460–466} In patients undergoing urgent NCS, they do not require treatment unless frequent and symptomatic.

Table 12 Peri-operative management of patients with arrhythmias

Type of arrhythmia	SVT	Idiopathic VT in structurally/functionally normal heart	VT in structural heart disease
Diagnostics	• ECG ± TTE ^a	• ECG ± TTE	• ECG + TTE + biomarkers ^b • ± Coronary angiography • ± Cardiac CT/MRI
Acute management	• Vagal manoeuvres • I.v. adenosine, beta-blocker, CCB • Electrical cardioversion if unstable	• Vagal manoeuvres • I.v. beta-blockers/ verapamil • Electrical cardioversion if unstable	• Treatment of underlying heart disease • I.v. beta-blocker (uptitration), amiodarone • Electrical cardioversion if unstable
Prevention of recurrence	• Per oral beta-blocker, CCB • Catheter ablation if recurrent despite OMT (only before high-risk NCS)	• No treatment or • Per oral beta-blocker, CCB, class I AAD • Catheter ablation in case of recurrence despite AADs or drug-intolerance before high-risk NCS	• Per oral beta-blocker, amiodarone • Catheter ablation if recurrent despite OMT

AAD, antiarrhythmic drug; CCB, calcium channel blocker; CT, computer tomography; ECG, electrocardiogram; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; NCS, non-cardiac surgery; OMT, optimal medical therapy; SVT, supraventricular tachycardia; TTE, transthoracic echocardiography; VT, ventricular tachycardia.

^aBefore high-risk surgery.

^bHigh-sensitivity cardiac troponin T/I, and/or BNP/ N-terminal pro-BNP.

If haemodynamically compromising, up-titration of beta-blockers is recommended; amiodarone (300 mg i.v. bolus) should be considered if beta-blockers are not tolerated or contraindicated.⁴⁶⁷ Further diagnostics to rule out significant heart disease is necessary in patients awaiting elective NCS, especially if frequent, complex (non-sustained VT), symptomatic, or in those with a positive family history of SCD.

Polymorphic VT and ventricular fibrillation (VF) can be provoked by ischaemia, electrolyte imbalance, or may be manifestations of primary electrical disease, such as long-QT or Brugada syndrome. Monomorphic VT is often associated with the presence of scarred myocardium. Hence, peri-operative VT or VF in a patient awaiting surgery should lead to a diagnostic work-up to exclude severe ventricular dysfunction (see [Section 4.5.1](#)), and to rule out CAD requiring prompt revascularization (see [Section 6.1](#)) and other potential causes of arrhythmia (primary electrical disease, dyselectrolytaemia). Monomorphic VT in patients without overt structural or electrical heart disease (idiopathic VT, most commonly arising from outflow tract) is associated with good prognosis and may be left untreated or, if symptomatic, may be treated with beta-blockers, verapamil, or sodium channel blockers. Patients with haemodynamically compromising VT should undergo electrical cardioversion (after i.v. sedation, if conscious) and VF should be terminated with prompt defibrillation. Recurrent VT and VF in the setting of acute ischaemia may be effectively treated with beta-blockers and amiodarone, and myocardial revascularization in case of obstructive CAD.⁴⁶⁸ Up-titration of beta-blockers to the maximal tolerated doses can prevent arrhythmia recurrence.⁴⁶⁹

Haemodynamically stable, sustained VT should be cardioverted as a first-line treatment; i.v. procainamide or flecainide may be considered in patients without HF or myocardial ischaemia. In cases in which these drugs are unavailable, i.v. amiodarone can be used. In selected cases, when monomorphic VT recurs in patients with scarred

myocardium with no reversible causes, despite optimal therapy, invasive electrophysiological study and ablation should be performed pre-operatively, if NCS can be deferred. After extensive endocardial VT ablation, treatment with an OAC for a limited period of time might be reasonable.^{470,471} A summary of diagnostic and therapeutic pathways in patients with SVT or VT is shown in [Table 12](#).

Recommendation Table 22 — Recommendations for management of known or newly diagnosed arrhythmias

Recommendations	Class ^a	Level ^b
Supraventricular arrhythmias		
In patients with SVT controlled by medication, it is recommended that AADs are continued during the peri-operative period.	I	C
Ablation should be considered in symptomatic patients with recurrent or persistent SVT, despite treatment, prior to high-risk, non-urgent NCS. ^{437–441}	IIa	B
AF with haemodynamic instability in patients undergoing NCS		
In AF patients with acute or worsening haemodynamic instability undergoing NCS, emergency electrical cardioversion is recommended. ^{99,472,473}	I	B
In AF patients with haemodynamic instability, amiodarone may be considered for acute control of heart rate. ⁹⁹	IIb	B

Continued

Ventricular arrhythmias		
In patients with symptomatic, monomorphic, sustained VT associated with myocardial scar, recurring despite optimal medical therapy, ablation of arrhythmia is recommended before elective NCS. ^{474–480}	I	B
It is not recommended to initiate treatment of asymptomatic PVC during NCS.	III	C

© ESC 2022

AAD, antiarrhythmic drug; AF, atrial fibrillation; NCS, non-cardiac surgery; PVC, premature ventricular contractions; SVT, supraventricular tachycardia; VT, ventricular tachycardia.

^aClass of recommendation.

^bLevel of evidence.

6.4.5. Bradyarrhythmias

Temporary cardiac pacing during the peri-operative period should be limited to patients undergoing urgent NCS, if bradycardia is haemodynamically compromising despite i.v. chronotropic drugs, or provokes episodes of ventricular tachyarrhythmia.⁴⁸¹ In patients undergoing elective NCS, surgery should be deferred if possible, and permanent pacemaker should be implanted, if indications for pacing are fulfilled.⁴⁸¹ Prophylactic pacing in the settings of asymptomatic bifascicular block, with or without first-degree atrioventricular block, is generally not indicated, and chronotropic drugs (atropine, isoprenaline, adrenaline, or, alternatively, aminophylline, dopamine, or glucagon in beta-blocker or CCB overdosing) are usually effective. Patients with bifascicular bundle branch block or prolonged His-ventricular interval are at an increased risk of developing complete heart block.^{482,483} Equipment needed to perform emergent transcatheter pacing and personnel able to perform such a procedure should be immediately available during NCS in patients with bifascicular block; alternatively, a permanent pacemaker may be implanted.⁴⁸¹

6.4.6. Management of patients with cardiac implantable electronic devices

Patients with CIEDs can undergo NCS, pending adequate peri-operative device management. A pre-operative check should have been performed at least once within the 12 months preceding surgery for pacemaker patients and within 6 months for patients with ICD, in the absence of any malfunction (remote monitoring can also be used for check-ups).^{484,485} In pacing-dependent patients, patients with biventricular pacing for CRT, and ICD-recipients undergoing elective NCS associated with risk of electromagnetic interference (EMI) (e.g. involving the use of unipolar electrocoagulation, especially above the umbilicus), CIED check and reprogramming should be performed immediately before surgery. In pacemaker-dependent patients, devices should be reprogrammed to non-sensing or asynchronous pacing mode to protect against inhibition of the pacemaker. This can be performed in the majority of pacemaker models by placing a magnet over the pacemaker can.^{486,487} However, magnet mode in modern pacemakers, except Medtronic and Sorin/Livanova/Microport, is programmable and may not be asynchronous pacing; thus, magnet application is not a universal remedy against EMI-induced malfunction. Furthermore, asynchronous

pacing may lead to pacing on the T wave, which can provoke VT/VF. However, the risk of clinically significant EMI is low^{487–489} and a practical solution would be to monitor the patient via plethysmography or an arterial line, and limit the use of electrocautery if pauses occur during ECG monitoring.

Patients with leadless pacemakers may safely undergo surgery, with precautions similar to patients with conventional pacemakers, avoiding EMI and after reprogramming the pacemaker into non-sensing mode in pacing-dependent patients (due to its intracardiac location and lack of a Hall-effect sensor/reed switch, this device cannot be temporarily reprogrammed to an asynchronous mode with a magnet applied over the body of the pacemaker).^{490,491}

In patients with ICDs undergoing NCS with anticipated risk of EMI, arrhythmia detection or antiarrhythmic therapies through the device should be switched off before NCS,⁴⁹² or a magnet should be put over the device.^{488,489,492} All modern ICDs will respond to magnet application by inhibiting antitachycardia therapy, while the brady pacing is left intact. Deactivation by programming mandates telemetry and cardioversion equipment until reactivation, which may be impractical. Furthermore, there is a risk that the patient is discharged without the device being reactivated. These factors would favour the use of a magnet instead of deactivation. In some patients where the device is inaccessible for magnet application, a magnet cannot be used and reprogramming is mandatory. From this point onwards, throughout the whole procedure until reactivation of the ICD, the patient should have continuous ECG monitoring, and personnel skilled in early detection of arrhythmias, defibrillation, and cardiopulmonary resuscitation manoeuvres should be present. As soon as possible after NCS, it is recommended that the ICD is checked and therapies switched on.⁴⁸⁵

Patients with subcutaneous ICD can undergo surgery after switching off the antiarrhythmic therapy or magnet application; however, if thoracic surgery is planned, especially with a median sternotomy, the surgeon should be aware of the presence of the ICD and the course of the subcutaneous electrode. This can prevent mechanical damage of the lead, the direct use of electrocautery on the electrode, or the placement of sternal wires in close proximity to the sensing electrodes.⁴⁹³

In pacing-dependent patients, patients with CRT, and ICD patients, EMI with the device should be avoided (e.g. with electrocautery). Use of bipolar electrocautery, short bursts of impulses limited to several seconds (<5 s), with the lowest effective energy, and operating with a pen or stylus away from the device (>15 cm) can minimize the risk of interference with the device. In the case of unipolar electrocoagulation, the electrosurgical unit should be connected in a way that keeps the current circuit away from the CIED can and electrodes. However, the manufacturer's recommendations should be considered (usually recommending placement of the indifferent electrode on the opposite site of the body to the one operated on, but possibly close to the surgical site, on a well-vascularized, muscular area). Consequently, the indifferent return pad should be placed as far away from the CIED as possible, keeping the surgical site between the CIED and the return electrode (Figure 15).^{494–497}

In patients with implantable loop recorders (especially those not undergoing remote monitoring and regular downloads of the CIED memory), the device memory download is to be considered




Figure 15 Optimal location of return electrode during unipolar electrosurgery in patients with cardiac implantable electronic devices, depending on the surgery site. CIED, cardiac implantable electronic device. Use of bipolar electrocautery, short (<5 s) bursts of impulses, with the lowest effective energy, operating with pen or stylus away (>15 cm) from the device can minimize the risk of interference with the device. (A) Surgery site on ipsilateral site above CIED. (B) Surgery site on ipsilateral site below CIED. (C) Surgery site on contralateral site.⁴⁹⁴

before procedures associated with possible EMI, or involving the anatomical location close to the device, to avoid misrecognizing and recording noise as arrhythmia or erasing the memory.^{498,499}

Recommendation Table 23 — Recommendations for management of bradyarrhythmia and patients carrying cardiac implantable devices

Recommendations	Class ^a	Level ^b
If indications for pacing exist according to the 2021 ESC Guidelines on cardiac pacing and cardiac resynchronization therapy, ⁴⁸¹ NCS surgery should be deferred and implantation of a permanent pacemaker should be considered.	IIa	C
It is recommended that patients with temporarily deactivated ICDs have continuous ECG monitoring, and during the peri-operative period are accompanied by personnel skilled in early detection and treatment of arrhythmias. In high-risk patients (e.g. pacemaker-dependant or ICD patients), or if access to the torso will be difficult during the procedure, it is recommended to place transcutaneous pacing/defibrillation pads prior to NCS.	I	C
It is recommended that all patients with CIEDs that are reprogrammed before surgery have a re-check and necessary reprogramming as soon as possible after the procedure.	I	C

Continued

In high-risk CIED patients (e.g. with ICD or being pacing-dependant) undergoing NCS carrying a high probability of electromagnetic interference (e.g. involving unipolar electrosurgery above the umbilical area), CIED check-up and necessary reprogramming immediately before the procedure should be considered.

IIa	C
-----	---

© ESC 2022

CIED, cardiac implantable electronic device; ECG, electrocardiogram; ICD, implantable cardioverter–defibrillator; NCS, non-cardiac surgery.
^aClass of recommendation.
^bLevel of evidence.

6.5. Adult congenital heart disease

Adults with congenital heart disease (ACHD) account for >60% of the population with congenital heart disease (CHD).^{500,501} Accordingly, ACHD represent an increasing proportion of NCS admissions⁵⁰² and might be at high risk of CV events.

Pre-operative risk assessment in ACHD needs to focus on the underlying disease, type of surgery, residua, and sequelae.⁵⁰³ Coexistence of HF, pulmonary hypertension, arrhythmia, hypoxaemia, damage to other organs, and endocarditis may considerably influence the baseline risk of these patients from no additional risk to very high risk of worse prognosis.^{503,504} Thus, original medical and surgical reports should be obtained along with current data, which should include symptoms, exercise capacity, oxygen saturation, laboratory values (BNP, haemoglobin, creatinine, etc.), and medication.

Table 13 Risk stratification for non-cardiac surgery in adults with congenital heart disease

Minor risk	Patients with small, uncorrected defects, and no need for medication or any other treatment Patients with successfully corrected CHD with no symptoms, no relevant residua, and no need for medication
Intermediate risk	Patients with corrected or uncorrected conditions with residual haemodynamic abnormality, with or without medication
Severe risk	Patients with uncorrected cyanotic heart disease, pulmonary hypertension, other complex CHD, ventricular dysfunction requiring medication, and patients listed for heart transplantation

CHD, congenital heart disease.

In a recent report, absolute mortality in ACHD patients undergoing NCS exceeded 4%.⁵⁰² Mortality and peri-operative morbidity were greater in ACHD compared with a matched comparison cohort, and patients with severe ACHD had the highest mortality rate. It is well known that patients with pulmonary hypertension and with Eisenmenger syndrome have a higher risk of complications.⁵⁰⁵ A large registry confirmed these findings: patients with severe CHD had an increased risk of 30 day mortality, overall mortality, and reintubation, while patients with intermediate CHD had a moderate increase in overall mortality and risk of reintubation.⁵⁰⁶ Based on this study and recent guidelines,⁵⁰³ the classification in *Table 13* is proposed for risk stratification.

A consultation by an ACHD specialist is necessary, especially in patients with intermediate or severe ACHD scheduled for intermediate- or high-risk NCS. It is recommended that elective surgery in intermediate- and severe-risk ACHD patients should take place in centres experienced in the management of ACHD patients. It is generally recommended to perform the least invasive procedures and anaesthesia with as low an impact on haemodynamics as possible.

Optimal peri-operative care in ACHD undergoing NCS starts with a proper pre-operative evaluation. Adults with congenital heart disease can present with multiorgan involvement (kidneys, liver, lung, and endocrine system), which should be considered during the diagnostic work-up.⁵⁰³ In many cases, patients with ACHD have a lifelong indication for OAC therapy or antithrombotic treatment, mainly due to arrhythmias or increased thromboembolic risk associated with specific ACHD. Oral anticoagulant therapy in the peri-operative phase should be re-evaluated on a case-by-case basis. The CHA₂DS₂-VASc score has not been validated in ACHD patients and should not be used in this group.

Continuous haemodynamic monitoring can be necessary in patients with ACHD and should include invasive BP monitoring, especially in cases with ACHD of moderate or severe complexity. It is worth mentioning that, according to the type of ACHD or surgery (e.g. coarctation of the aorta or following a Blalock-Taussig shunt), the location of the arterial line for continuous BP and gas exchange monitoring requires careful attention. In patients with persistent

right-to-left shunts, air filters must be used for venous access. Ventilator management and extubation can be complicated by the presence of restrictive lung disease.⁵⁰⁷ Antibiotic prophylaxis for endocarditis should be given according to the 2015 ESC Guidelines for the management of infective endocarditis.⁴³² Furthermore, post-operative care in an ICU with experience in handling ACHD patients is often necessary.

A prolonged monitoring period in this setting should be considered, with a special focus on arrhythmias and an optimal volume management, as it has been shown that up to 50% of adverse events were attributable to lapses in the post-operative monitoring and care.⁵⁰⁸ Two groups at special risk are patients with chronic cyanosis and those after the Fontan procedure. Chronic cyanosis is associated with multiorgan involvement. Furthermore, bleeding risk is higher due to multiple collaterals, platelet dysfunction, and alterations in the coagulation cascade.⁵⁰⁹ In patients with Eisenmenger syndrome, conditions that increase pulmonary vascular resistance such as hypothermia, metabolic acidosis, hypercapnia, and hypovolaemia must be avoided.⁵⁰⁹ This is also true for patients after the Fontan procedure, where venous return relies on low pulmonary pressures. If intra-abdominal pressure rises too high in these patients, venous return is drastically reduced, with a subsequent drop in cardiac output. These haemodynamic aspects should be carefully considered in cases of laparoscopic or open NCS.

Recommendation Table 24 — Recommendations for management of patients with adult congenital heart disease undergoing non-cardiac surgery

Recommendations	Class ^a	Level ^b
In patients with ACHD, a consultation with an ACHD specialist is recommended before intermediate- or high-risk surgery.	I	C
In patients with ACHD, it is recommended that intermediate- and high-risk elective surgery is performed in a centre with experience in the care of ACHD patients.	I	C

ACHD, adults with congenital heart disease.

^aClass of recommendation.

^bLevel of evidence.

6.6. Pericardial diseases

Active pericardial disease is infrequent at the time of NCS, but potentially life-threatening. The clarification of underlying aetiology is of utmost importance for peri-operative management (viral or bacterial infection, malignant, systemic autoimmune, metabolic, or autoimmune disease). The treatment of these conditions should follow the recommendations provided in the 2015 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of pericardial diseases.⁵¹⁰

Acute pericarditis is a clear indication to postpone an elective surgical procedure. However, in cases of undeferrable NCS, attention is required regarding drug-to-drug interactions. The frequently used colchicine is predominantly metabolized through the liver, while renal excretion accounts for only 10–20%. Colchicine may increase

© ESC 2022

© ESC 2022

© ESC 2022

sensitivity to central nervous system depressants and exert a respiratory depressant effect.⁵¹¹ Adverse events of peri-operative significance include diarrhoea, worsening renal failure, and, very rarely, bone marrow suppression, hepatotoxicity, paralysis, convulsions, and cardiopulmonary collapse. Immunosuppressive drugs such as steroids and interleukin-1 receptor antagonist agents suppress the immune system, and may increase the risk of infection and delay wound healing in the peri-operative phase.⁵¹¹

Imminent cardiac tamponade is an absolute contraindication for all surgical procedures, especially when a general anaesthesia is required.^{510,512} Before NCS, pericardial effusion should first be percutaneously drained, under local anaesthesia. In cases of small or moderate chronic pericardial effusion and constrictive pericarditis, attention should be paid to take measurements to increase cardiac pre-load. The pre-load should be optimized peri-operatively with i.v. fluids prior to general anaesthetic induction to facilitate ventricular filling. Manipulation and medication diminishing venous return to the heart should be avoided or minimized. Positive pressure ventilation might cause a dramatic decline in pre-load and should be avoided. If spontaneous breathing is not possible, ventilation with minimal inspiratory pressures (low tidal volumes, high respiratory rate) should be considered. Anaesthetics that minimize changes in heart rate, systemic vascular resistance, venous return, and myocardial contractility should be selected.¹⁸⁹ Ketamine, a sympathetic stimulant that preserves spontaneous ventilation, is the drug of first choice. Combinations of opiates, benzodiazepines, and nitric oxide, with or without low doses of volatile anaesthetics, are acceptable for maintenance of anaesthesia. Muscle relaxants with minimal circulatory effects should be preferred, although the modest increase in heart rate observed with administration of pancuronium is also acceptable.

Recommendation Table 25 — Recommendations for pericardial diseases

Recommendations	Class ^a	Level ^b
In patients with acute pericarditis, deferring elective NCS until complete resolution of the underlying process should be considered.	IIa	C
Avoiding elective NCS procedures under general anaesthesia until colchicine or the immunosuppressive treatment course for pericardial disease is completed may be considered.	IIb	C

NCS, non-cardiac surgery.

^aClass of recommendation.

^bLevel of evidence.

6.7. Pulmonary disease and pulmonary arterial hypertension

The coexistence of pulmonary disease in cardiac patients undergoing NCS may increase the operative risk. Pre-existing pulmonary disease has a significant impact on peri-operative risk, but the most common

effect is an increase in the risk of post-operative pulmonary complications. These complications particularly occur after abdominal or thoracic surgery, and the risk seems to be increased in smokers. Certain respiratory conditions are associated with CV pathology and may require special cardiac risk assessment and management, in addition to dealing with the pulmonary disease per se. Three such conditions are chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), obesity hypoventilation syndrome, and pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH).

6.7.1. Pulmonary disease

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease is a major cause of morbidity and mortality.⁵¹³ Although patients with COPD have an increased risk of CVD, there is no evidence that COPD is related to a higher risk of peri-operative cardiac complications. However, post-operative pulmonary complications result in significant mortality and morbidity. Pre-operative evaluation, using specific post-operative pulmonary complication tools, can be used to stratify at-risk patients and enable optimal pre-operative and peri-operative management.⁵¹⁴ In patients with COPD undergoing NCS, the pre-operative treatment goals are to optimize pulmonary function and minimize post-operative respiratory complications; this includes using the pre-operative period for education, including possible cessation of smoking (2 months before surgery), instruction concerning chest physiotherapy and lung expansion manoeuvres, muscular endurance training, and re-nutrition if required. Beta-adrenergic agonists and anticholinergic agents should be continued until the day of surgery in all symptomatic COPD patients with bronchial hyper-reactivity. In some cases, short-term systemic/inhaled steroids may be considered. Where there is active pulmonary infection, appropriate antibiotics should be administered for at least 10 days and, if possible, elective NCS should be deferred.⁵¹⁵

Obesity hypoventilation syndrome is defined as the triad of obesity, daytime hypoventilation, and sleep-disordered breathing. Although distinct from simple obesity and sleep apnoea, it is estimated that 90% patients with obesity hypoventilation syndrome also have obstructive sleep apnoea (OSA). The prevalence of obesity hypoventilation syndrome is 0.15–3% of adults and 7–22% in patients undergoing bariatric surgery.⁵¹⁶ Obesity hypoventilation syndrome is associated with even higher morbidity, including HF (and obesity-related cardiomyopathy), angina pectoris, pulmonary hypertension (30–88%) and cor pulmonale, and increased peri-operative mortality.⁵¹⁶ Patients at high risk of obesity hypoventilation syndrome who are undergoing NCS should be referred for additional specialist investigation of sleep-disordered breathing and pulmonary hypertension, with pre-operative initiation of appropriate positive airway pressure therapy, and planning of peri-operative techniques (anaesthetic and surgical) and post-operative positive airway pressure management within an appropriate monitored environment.^{516,517}

6.7.2. Pulmonary arterial hypertension

Pulmonary arterial hypertension is associated with increased morbidity and mortality in patients undergoing NCS.⁵¹⁸

Table 14 Patient-related and surgery-related factors to be considered when assessing peri-operative risk in patients with pulmonary arterial hypertension

Patient-related peri-operative risk factors in patients with PAH	Surgery-related peri-operative risk factors in patients with PAH
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Functional class >II • Reduced six-minute walk distance • Coronary heart disease • Previous pulmonary embolism • Chronic renal insufficiency • Severe right ventricular dysfunction 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Emergency surgery • Duration of anaesthesia >3 h • Intra-operative requirement for vasopressors

PAH, pulmonary arterial hypertension. Adapted from Olsson *et al.*⁵²²

A meticulous pre-operative diagnostic work-up in this subset of patients should include assessment of functional status and severity of disease, in addition to comorbidities and the type of NCS. Echocardiography and right heart catheterization (if clinically indicated) are key components in the pre-operative work-up. The morbidity and mortality associated with PAH derive from the haemodynamic response of the right ventricle to acute increases in afterload.⁵¹⁹

In patients with severe PAH, peri-operative mortality ranging between 3–18% has been reported, depending on the severity of the underlying disease, and the nature and urgency of the surgical procedure. Emergency procedures are also associated with a high risk of complications.^{520,521} Patient-related and surgery-related factors should be considered when assessing peri-operative risk in patients with PAH (Table 14).⁵²² Owing to the potential for anaesthesia and surgery to be complicated by acute right HF and pulmonary hypertensive crisis, elective NCS in patients with PAH should be adequately discussed in a multidisciplinary team. Ideally, patients with PAH undergoing NCS should have optimal medical treatment before surgery and be managed in a centre experienced in PAH. Patients scheduled for NCS should be discussed by a pneumologist, cardiologist, surgeon, and an anaesthesiologist.⁵²³ The management of patients with PAH in the peri-operative setting should follow the recommendations provided in the 2022 ESC/ERS Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of pulmonary hypertension.⁵²⁴

Several novel therapies aimed at reducing pulmonary pressures are used pre-operatively in patients undergoing NCS. Of these therapies, endothelin receptor antagonists, phosphodiesterase inhibitors, and prostacyclin analogues are the most used.⁵²⁵ This medication should be continued during the peri-operative phase because therapy disruption may lead to a critical rebound of the PAH. Inhaled nitric oxide allows selective pulmonary vasodilatation with rapidity of action and it can be given to patients

Recommendation Table 26 — Recommendations for patients with pulmonary arterial hypertension undergoing non-cardiac surgery

Recommendations	Class ^a	Level ^b
It is recommended to continue chronic therapy for PAH in the peri-operative phase of NCS.	I	C
It is recommended that haemodynamic monitoring of patients with severe PAH continues for at least 24 h in the post-operative period.	I	C
In the case of progression of right HF in the post-operative period in patients with PAH, it is recommended that the diuretic dose be optimized and, if necessary, i.v. prostacyclin analogues be initiated under the guidance of a physician experienced in the management of PAH.	I	C
Inodilator drugs (dobutamine, milrinone, levosimendan), which increase cardiac output and lower pulmonary vascular resistance, should be considered peri-operatively according to the haemodynamic status of the patient.	Ila	C

HF, heart failure; i.v., intravenous; NCS, non-cardiac surgery; PAH, pulmonary arterial hypertension.

^aClass of recommendation.

^bLevel of evidence.

who develop worsening pulmonary hypertension post-operatively to maintain right ventricular (RV) function and haemodynamic stability.⁵²⁶

6.8. Arterial hypertension

The prevalence of arterial hypertension in adults in Europe is ~30–45%.⁵²⁷ Of these patients, <40% have well-controlled BP (<140/90 mmHg). A large observational study has shown that patients with untreated hypertension 1 month before surgery had a 69% increased risk of 90 day post-operative mortality.¹⁸³ Further, overall CV risk assessment, including the search for hypertension-mediated organ damage, is of paramount importance in hypertensive patients, and mandatory when there is newly detected BP elevation.⁵²⁸

Postponing surgery is usually not advised in patients with grade 1 or 2 hypertension. In contrast, in subjects with a systolic BP ≥ 180 mmHg and/or diastolic BP ≥ 110 mmHg, deferring the intervention until BP is under control is advisable, except for emergency surgery.^{236,527,529,530} It also seems important to avoid large peri-operative BP fluctuations. In a recent randomized trial among patients undergoing abdominal surgery, an individualized intra-operative treatment strategy with systolic BP values kept within a 10% difference from the pre-operative office measurement resulted in a reduced risk of post-operative organ dysfunction.⁵²⁸ In a meta-analysis including 130 862 patients undergoing surgery, intra-operative hypotension was associated with

increased risk of morbidity (OR, 2.08; 95% CI, 1.56–2.77), mortality (OR, 1.94; 95% CI, 1.32–2.84), cardiac complications (OR, 2.44; 95% CI, 1.52–3.93), and AKI (OR, 2.68; 95% CI, 1.31–5.55).⁵³¹ In patients with hypertension, hypoperfusion may occur at higher BP levels and peri-operative BP control should be tailored to pre-operative levels.⁵²⁸

In patients referred for elective NCS, control of BP should be prioritized, especially in patients with systolic BP >160 mmHg. The management of patients with hypertension in the pre-operative setting should follow the recommendation provided in the 2018 ESC/European Society of Hypertension (ESH) Guidelines for the management of arterial hypertension.⁵²⁹ These guidelines advocate an approach using RAAS inhibitors (in patients aged <70 years) or CCBs (in patients aged >70 years) as single therapy in moderate hypertension and both in combination where dual therapy is needed, adding a diuretic and an aldosterone antagonist if additional medication is needed for adequate control. Beta-blockers are restricted to patients where it is specifically indicated.⁵²⁹ In patients with hypertension and a clear indication for beta-blocker, third-generation beta-blockers—such as carvedilol, celiprolol, labetalol, and nebivolol—have superior antihypertensive effects compared with other beta-blockers and fewer adverse effects, but there are no RCTs reporting outcomes in hypertensive patients.⁵²⁹ In a large observational study, a beta-blocker prescription prior to NCS was associated with lower 30 day mortality in patients with three or four cardiac risk factors.¹⁸⁸ However, for patients with no cardiac risk factors, the risk of death was significantly increased with beta-blockers.^{188,532}

Most patients with stage 3 hypertension on the day of surgery will be classified as hypertensive urgencies. In these cases, the 2018 ESC/ESH Guidelines for the management of arterial hypertension recommend ACEIs, CCBs, or diuretics. Neither ACEIs nor diuretics are recommended on the day of surgery. The use of CCBs is supported by a meta-analysis of 11 studies of CCBs during NCS.²²⁰ Further, a study of 989 well-controlled patients with hypertension without hypertension-related organ damage, testing the use of fast-acting nasal nifedipine when stage 3 hypertension (systolic BP >180 and/or diastolic BP >110 mmHg) was diagnosed the day of NCS, found no difference in outcome between administration of nifedipine and surgery on the same day compared with deferral for hypertension control before resuming surgery.⁵³³ Immediate nifedipine treatment was associated with shorter hospital stays. As this was the first study testing the need for deferral of stage 3 hypertension, it challenged the need for this practice. For patients with hypertensive emergencies (systolic BP >180 and/or diastolic BP >110 mmHg and organ damage), the 2018 ESC/ESH Guidelines for the management of arterial hypertension recommend labetalol, nitroglycerin, nitroprusside, etc., according to the affected organ.⁵²⁹

The timing of administration of the antihypertensive drugs, and their continuation or discontinuation in the peri-operative period is discussed in [Section 5.2](#).

Recommendation Table 27 — Recommendations for pre-operative management of hypertension

Recommendations	Class ^a	Level ^b
In patients with chronic hypertension undergoing elective NCS, it is recommended to avoid large peri-operative fluctuations in blood pressure, particularly hypotension, during the peri-operative period. ^{528,531}	I	A
It is recommended to perform pre-operative screening for hypertension-mediated organ damage and CV risk factors in newly diagnosed hypertensive patients who are scheduled for elective high-risk NCS.	I	C
It is not recommended to defer NCS in patients with stage 1 or 2 hypertension.	III	C

CV, cardiovascular; NCS, non-cardiac surgery.

^aClass of recommendation.

^bLevel of evidence.

© ESC 2022

6.9. Peripheral artery disease

Patients with peripheral artery disease (PAD) usually have advanced atherosclerotic disease affecting multiple vascular beds in varying degrees and have a worse prognosis compared with patients without PAD.^{534–538} Patients with PAD generally differ in their risk profiles, according to whether they undergo vascular or non-vascular NCS.

6.9.1. Peripheral artery disease and non-vascular non-cardiac surgery

Decisions on pre-operative treatment of pre-existing PAD and AAA in patients scheduled for non-vascular NCS should be made on an individual basis, taking into account symptoms and risks of surgery. Non-cardiac surgery should be prioritized in patients needing revascularization for PAD, but careful peri-operative monitoring of deterioration in lower extremity perfusion is warranted, particularly in those patients with chronic limb-threatening ischaemia (e.g. peripheral BP of ≤50–70 mmHg in the foot joint and ≤30–50 mmHg in the toes).⁵³⁹ For patients with AAA, pain control is essential to ensure stable BP, minimizing rupture risk. Patients with large AAA (i.e. >5 cm in diameter for women and >5.5 cm for men) should be evaluated for aortic aneurysm repair (preferably EVAR)^{540–542} before non-vascular NCS is planned, particularly in the case of malignant tumours, depending on the stage of malignant disease.

6.9.2. Peripheral artery disease and vascular non-cardiac surgery

The 2017 ESC Guidelines on the diagnosis and treatment of peripheral arterial diseases⁵³⁵ and the European Society for Vascular Surgery (ESVS) 2019 Clinical Practice Guidelines on the management of abdominal aorto-iliac artery aneurysms³⁵ provide detailed evidence and recommendations on the screening of patients before vascular

NCS and their treatment modality selection. Notably, there is randomized evidence against prophylactic coronary revascularization before major vascular surgery in CCS patients due to lack of benefit in improvement of peri-operative and long-term outcomes (2.7 years mean follow-up).³⁹⁹ Assessment of functional capacity might offer guidance to select candidates for cardiac assessment prior to major NCS, although severe walking impairment may challenge this test. The previously established risk predictive model to detect mortality in patients undergoing elective AAA repair may be helpful.⁵⁴³ Low-dose rivaroxaban plus aspirin initiated within 10 days after a lower limb revascularization procedure reduces post-operative thrombotic events (acute limb ischaemia, amputation, MI, ischaemic stroke, and CV death) in patients undergoing lower limb revascularization, whether it is carried out using an endovascular or open surgical approach.⁵⁴⁴ Handling of other co-drugs should follow the recommendations detailed in [Section 5.2](#).

Recommendation Table 28 — Recommendations for management of patients with peripheral artery disease and/or abdominal aortic aneurysm undergoing non-cardiac surgery

Recommendations	Class ^a	Level ^b
In patients with poor functional capacity or significant risk factors or symptoms (such as moderate-to-severe angina pectoris, decompensated HF, valvular disease, and significant arrhythmia), referral for cardiac work-up and optimization is recommended prior to elective surgery for PAD or AAA.	I	C
Routine referral for cardiac work-up, coronary angiography, or CPET prior to elective surgery for PAD or AAA is not recommended.	III	C

AAA, abdominal aortic aneurysm; CPET, cardiopulmonary exercise testing; HF, heart failure; PAD, peripheral artery disease.

^aClass of recommendation.

^bLevel of evidence.

6.10. Cerebrovascular disease

Patients undergoing NCS should be questioned about previous neurological symptoms, and those with symptoms suggestive of transient ischaemic attack (TIA) or stroke in the preceding 6 months should undergo pre-operative neurological consultation and neurovascular and brain imaging, if appropriate. In the absence of dedicated studies addressing this issue, the criteria for carotid revascularization in symptomatic and asymptomatic patients is described in detail in the 2017 ESC Guidelines on the diagnosis and treatment of peripheral arterial diseases, in collaboration with the ESVS; these guidelines should also guide the management of patients with carotid disease who are undergoing NCS.⁵³⁵

In patients with symptomatic carotid disease, the benefit of carotid revascularization is particularly high in patients with recent (<3 months) TIA or stroke, and ≥70% carotid artery stenosis. Thus, carotid revascularization should be performed first and elective NCS should be postponed.⁵⁴⁵

The question as to whether patients with severe asymptomatic carotid occlusive disease who are undergoing elective major NCS require pre-operative carotid revascularization remains a matter of

debate. Importantly, the purpose of carotid revascularization in this setting is more the long-term prevention of stroke than peri-operative stroke risk reduction; therefore, if carotid revascularization is indicated, this may be performed before or after the planned NCS. Independent of the revascularization strategy, patients with carotid artery stenosis benefit from aggressive CV risk-factor modification to prevent peri-operative myocardial ischaemia.

Recommendation Table 29 — Recommendations for management of patients with suspected or established carotid artery disease undergoing non-cardiac surgery

Recommendations	Class ^a	Level ^b
Pre-operative carotid artery and cerebral imaging is recommended in patients with a history of TIA or stroke in the previous 6 months and who have not undergone ipsilateral revascularization.	I	C
For patients with carotid artery disease undergoing NCS, the same indications for carotid revascularization should be considered as for other patients with carotid stenosis.	IIa	C
Pre-operative carotid artery imaging is not recommended routinely in patients undergoing NCS.	III	C

NCS, non-cardiac surgery; TIA, transient ischaemic attack.

^aClass of recommendation.

^bLevel of evidence.

6.11. Renal disease

Renal disease is associated with several cardiac comorbidities, including hypertension, HF, CAD, and arrhythmias.⁵⁴⁶ Consistently, renal disease portends a significant increase in the post-operative risk of CV events, including MI, stroke, and progression of HF in patients undergoing NCS. For this reason, most risk indices for the quantification of pre-operative risk in patients undergoing NCS include renal function.

Chronic kidney disease (CKD) is defined as impaired kidney function or raised proteinuria, confirmed on two or more occasions at least 3 months apart. The kidney function can be assessed through the estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) calculated using the Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration (CKD-EPI) formula, including sex, age, ethnic origin, and serum creatinine concentration. A cut-off glomerular filtration rate (GFR) value <60 mL/min/1.73 m² significantly correlates with MACes. Identification of cardiac patients at risk of worsening of renal function in the peri-operative phase of NCS is of paramount importance, in order to initiate supportive measures such as maintenance of adequate intravascular volume for renal perfusion and use of vasopressors.⁵⁴⁷

Patients with cardiac comorbidities are prone to develop AKI after major NCS, due to worsening of haemodynamic status associated with fluids or blood loss, and withdrawal or continuation of cardio-active therapies. The peri-operative management of patients undergoing NCS and treated with cardio-active drugs has been discussed in [Section 5.2](#). Acute kidney injury reduces long-term survival in patients with normal baseline renal function.⁵⁴⁸ Of interest, ~30–40% of all cases of AKI occur after surgery and the incidence of post-operative AKI ranges 18–47%. Risk factors for the development of post-operative AKI following NCS include cardiac

© ESC 2022

Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/eurheartj/article/43/39/3826/6675076 by guest on 11 November 2022

(decompensated or chronic HF, hypertension, cardio-active drugs) and non-cardiac triggers (age, sex, emergent, and/or intraperitoneal surgery, mild pre-operative renal insufficiency, pre-operative creatinine elevation, CKD, and DM).^{549,550} The combination of a low cardiac output/high venous pressure and/or the administration of iodinated contrast media during diagnostic and operative procedures represent the most frequent causes of AKI in hospitalized cardiac patients, regardless of pre-existing impaired renal function. Contrast-induced AKI is defined as a rise in serum creatinine of 44 mmol/L (0.5 mg/dL) or a 25% relative rise from baseline at 48 h (or 5–10% at 12 h) following contrast administration. It occurs in up to 15% of patients with CKD who are undergoing radiographic procedures.⁵⁵¹ Although most cases of contrast-induced AKI are self-limiting, with renal function returning to normal within 7 days of the procedure, these patients occasionally (0.5–12% of cases) develop overt renal failure associated with increased morbidity and mortality. To reduce the risk of contrast-induced AKI in subjects requiring contrast-enhanced radiography, the Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) Clinical Practice Guidelines recommend: pre-operative hydration with i.v. isotonic fluids; the use of the minimum volume of contrast media; and the use of low-osmolar or iso-osmolar contrast media, regardless of pre-existing renal disease.⁵⁴⁷ In the post-operative phase, kidney function should be monitored by applying established AKI scoring systems to raise awareness and enable early intervention.⁵⁵²

Recommendation Table 30 — Recommendations for management of patients with renal disease undergoing non-cardiac surgery

Recommendations	Class ^a	Level ^b
In patients with renal disease requiring peri-operative contrast-enhanced radiography, balanced hydration with i.v. isotonic fluids, the use of a minimal volume of contrast media, and the use of low-osmolar or iso-osmolar contrast media should be considered. ^{547,553–555}	IIa	B
In patients with known risk factors (age >65 years, BMI >30 kg/m ² , diabetes, hypertension, hyperlipidaemia, CV disease, or smoking) undergoing intermediate- or high-risk NCS, it is recommended to screen for pre-operative renal disease by measuring serum creatinine and GFR.	I	C
If a cystatin C measurement assay is available, cystatin C measurement should be considered in patients with impaired eGFR (<45–59 mL/min/1.73 m ²) to confirm kidney disease. ⁵⁵⁶	IIa	C

BMI, body mass index; CV, cardiovascular; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; GFR, glomerular filtration rate; i.v., intravenous; NCS, non-cardiac surgery.

^aClass of recommendation.

^bLevel of evidence.

6.12. Obesity

The prevalence of people being overweight and having obesity is reaching epidemic proportions in Western countries⁵⁵⁷ and is the

second leading cause of preventable death following tobacco use.⁵⁵⁸ Obesity is defined as a body mass index (BMI) of ≥ 30 kg/m², morbid obesity as a BMI ≥ 35 kg/m², and super-morbid obesity as a BMI ≥ 50 kg/m². Obese individuals have a higher prevalence of CV risk factors and a higher risk of death,⁵⁵⁹ and are a population who are at increased risk of adverse events in the case of surgical procedures. There are specific recommendations for the pre-operative risk assessment of obese patients undergoing NCS, regardless of the presence of pre-existing cardiac conditions.⁵⁶⁰ However, while obesity accelerates the propensity for CVD, it seems that many types of CVD may have a better prognosis in the overweight population compared with their leaner counterparts, a phenomenon that is known as the 'obesity paradox'.^{561,562} Similarly, in cases of NCS, mildly obese patients present lower mortality risk compared with underweight and normal weight patients, both post-operatively and at long-term follow-up.⁵⁶³ This finding may be related to the lower prevalence of PMI in mildly obese patients undergoing NCS.⁵⁶⁴

It has been suggested that cardiorespiratory fitness (CRF), rather than BMI, should be used to assess CV risk in obese patients. While the classification based on BMI is simple, highly reproducible, and widely adopted in clinical practice, it does not reflect fat distribution and body composition. Cardiorespiratory fitness refers to the ability of the circulatory and respiratory systems to supply oxygen to skeletal muscles during sustained physical activity, which is of paramount importance, especially in patients with cardiac diseases. The primary measure of CRF is VO₂ max.⁵⁶⁵ A cohort study of nearly 10 000 patients with CAD followed for almost 15 years showed that those with relatively good CRF had favourable prognosis regardless of body composition,⁵⁶⁶ however, a lower CRF was found to be a major predictor of mortality, regardless of BMI.^{561,567} Whether specific optimization and/or treatment strategies might have a positive impact on the outcome of obese patients with pre-existing or newly diagnosed cardiac comorbidities and scheduled for NCS is a matter of ongoing controversy. Studies assessing the effect of weight loss interventions (low-energy diets with or without an exercise component) on clinical outcomes in patients undergoing NCS found inconsistent results in terms of peri-operative morbidity or mortality.^{568,569}

Recommendation Table 31 — Recommendations for management of patients with obesity undergoing non-cardiac surgery

Recommendations	Class ^a	Level ^b
It is recommended to assess cardiorespiratory fitness to estimate peri-operative CV risk in obese patients, with particular attention to those undergoing intermediate- and high-risk NCS. ^{565,570}	I	B
In patients at high risk of obesity hypoventilation syndrome, additional specialist investigation before major elective NCS should be considered. ⁵¹⁶	IIa	C

CV, cardiovascular; NCS, non-cardiac surgery.

^aClass of recommendation.

^bLevel of evidence.

6.13. Diabetes

Due to the progressive ageing of the population undergoing surgical procedures and the increasing prevalence of obesity worldwide, the prevalence of diabetes among patients undergoing NCS is expected to increase in years to come.^{4,571} Several studies have demonstrated that diabetic patients undergoing NCS have a higher prevalence of CAD than non-diabetic patients. Furthermore, patients with diabetes are more likely to have silent ischaemia because of altered neural pain pathways in the heart.⁵⁷² For this reason, patients with diabetes appear to have a greater risk of post-operative myocardial ischaemia. Different reasons exist behind the relation between DM and increased peri-operative mortality in patients undergoing NCS. First, patients with diabetes are known to have more comorbidity and/or advanced CAD at the time of intervention. Second, diabetes is a clear risk factor for stroke. Diabetes is associated with post-operative congestive HF and wound infections. Many patients with diabetes have impaired renal function. The presence or a new diagnosis of impaired glucose metabolism in patients scheduled for NCS should follow the recommendations provided for the general population in the 2019 ESC Guidelines on diabetes, pre-diabetes, and cardiovascular diseases,⁵⁷³ including increased awareness regarding possible subclinical organ damage.

The glycated haemoglobin (HbA1c) test should be performed in all patients with diabetes or impaired glucose metabolism scheduled for NCS, if this measurement has not been performed in the previous 3 months. There is evidence to support that pre-admission optimal treatment of hyperglycaemia in patients scheduled for elective NCS is effective in reducing the post-operative risk of CV events, including MI, stroke, and progression of HF.⁵⁷⁴ In contrast, no clear association has been shown between intra-operative blood glucose levels and the subsequent risk of surgical site infection, MI, stroke, and death in patients undergoing NCS.⁵⁷⁵ The risk of acidosis associated with metformin use is also debated.⁵⁷⁶ Nevertheless, repeated blood glucose monitoring on the day of surgery is recommended, with a general consensus to maintain peri-operative glucose levels <10.0 mmol/L without causing hypoglycaemia (target level 5.6–10.0 mmol/L). This can be achieved either with subcutaneous doses of rapid-acting insulin analogues or with i.v. insulin.⁵⁷⁷ Handling of SGLT2 inhibitors in relation to surgery has been discussed in [Section 5.2](#).

Recommendation Table 32 — Recommendations for management of patients with diabetes mellitus undergoing non-cardiac surgery

Recommendations	Class ^a	Level ^b
In patients with diabetes or disturbed glucose metabolism, a pre-operative HbA1c test is recommended, if this measurement has been not performed in the previous 3 months. In case of HbA1c ≥8.5% (≥69 mmol/mol), elective NCS should be postponed, if safe and practical. ^{578–581}	I	B

Continued

A pre-operative assessment for concomitant cardiac conditions (see Sections 3 and 4) is recommended in patients with diabetes with suspected or known CAD, and those with autonomic neuropathy, retinopathy, or renal disease and scheduled to undergo intermediate- or high-risk NCS.	I	C
---	---	---

© ESC 2022

CAD, coronary artery disease; HbA1c, glycated haemoglobin; NCS, non-cardiac surgery.
^aClass of recommendation.
^bLevel of evidence.

6.14. Cancer

Due to their generally older age, cancer patients have a high prevalence of CV risk factors and CVD, being a population at increased risk of adverse events in cases of NCS. It is therefore important to optimize treatment of CV risk factors and known CVD before NCS, following the general and disease-specific recommendations provided in other sections of these guidelines. Furthermore, NCS may be particularly challenging in cancer patients because of previous administration of potentially cardiotoxic chemotherapy or fibrosis due to previous radiation. For example, the widely used anthracyclines have a dose-dependent relation with the incidence of HF and the use of trastuzumab can lead to important cardiotoxicity and should be taken into account in the pre-operative assessment. Furthermore, radiation therapy to areas that included the heart may lead to premature CAD and VHD; previous thoracic radiotherapy may predispose younger patients to heart disease who would otherwise not have an elevated risk. Post-operative AF is frequently observed in patients undergoing cancer surgery, with the highest incidence reported for lung surgery. Patients with cancer are at elevated risk of thrombosis due to both the disease itself and patient- and treatment-related factors. In a small study of patients undergoing planned open surgery for abdominal or pelvic cancer, enoxaparin prophylaxis for 4 weeks compared with 1 week reduced the incidence of thrombosis (4.8% in the enoxaparin group vs.12.0% in the placebo group; *P* = 0.02).⁵⁸² Although later studies have shown somewhat conflicting results, the consensus is to recommend extending thromboprophylaxis after major abdominal and/or pelvic surgery for cancer to 4–5 weeks, with preferred use of LMWH.⁵⁸³ A summary of patient-related and cancer therapy-related factors that could influence peri-operative risk is shown in [Table 15](#). Further information is available in the 2022 ESC Guidelines on cardio-oncology.⁵⁸⁴

6.15. Coronavirus disease 2019

Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) is an infectious disease caused by the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2). In a recent observational study, among 140 231 patients scheduled for NCS, 2.2% of patients had a pre-operative diagnosis of SARS-CoV-2 infection.⁵⁸⁵ Patients undergoing surgery with peri-operative or recent SARS-CoV-2 appear to be at increased risk of post-operative VTE and mortality compared with patients with no history of SARS-CoV-2 infection.⁵⁸⁶ Furthermore, the possible myocardial injury associated with COVID-19 infection might increase the

Table 15 Factors that could influence peri-operative risk during cancer surgery and preventive strategies

	Factors that could influence peri-operative risk during cancer surgery	Preventive strategies
• Patient-related factors	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lifestyle risk factors—smoking, obesity, sedentary lifestyle • Poorly controlled CV risk factors—hypertension, diabetes • Pre-existing CVD, including cancer therapy-related cardiovascular toxicity • Cardiac medication increasing peri-operative bleeding risk (e.g. antiplatelets and anticoagulants) • Historical primary malignancy • Current cancer type, stage, and location • Arrhythmias (due to myocardial cancer invasion, induced QT-prolongation, AF, or imbalance of autonomic nervous system) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Optimal management of CV risk factors and CVD • Optimize preventive strategies with respect to VTE and arterial thromboembolic events • ECG monitoring for arrhythmias • Correction of all proarrhythmic conditions
• Neoadjuvant cancer therapy	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Previous cardiotoxic cancer treatments (especially anthracycline chemotherapy and/or trastuzumab; immune checkpoint inhibitors, VEGFi, fluoropyrimidine and thoracic radiotherapy) • Cancer treatments increasing peri-operative bleeding risk (e.g. antiangiogenics, BTKi) • Cancer treatments increasing risk of arrhythmias 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ensure optimal CV monitoring of neoadjuvant therapy • Optimize preventive strategies with respect to VTE and arterial thromboembolic events

AF, atrial fibrillation; BTKi, Bruton tyrosine kinase inhibitors; CV, cardiovascular; CVD, cardiovascular disease; ECG, electrocardiogram; VEGFi, vascular endothelial growth factor inhibitor; VTE, venous thromboembolism.

intrinsic peri-operative risk of adverse cardiac events associated with surgical procedures.^{587,588}

To date, there is no specific CV screening to be performed after COVID-19 infection in patients scheduled for NCS. The pre-operative evaluation of CV risk associated with NCS in this specific subset of patients should incorporate, beyond the general risk assessment outlined in these guidelines, the severity of COVID-19 disease, history of CV complications during COVID-19 infection, and functional capacity after recovery. This information is deemed of importance to further optimize timing of surgery and the treatment of COVID-19-associated cardiovascular conditions affecting the peri-operative risk of NCS.⁵⁸⁹

The optimal timing of NCS in patients with a history of COVID-19 infection is largely unknown. Generally, elective NCS should be postponed until complete recovery and optimization of coexisting comorbidities. Registry data of patients undergoing NCS after COVID-19 infection report increased risks of mortality and morbidity up to 7 weeks post-COVID diagnosis.⁵⁸⁶ Another study has reported greater risk of post-operative complications up to 8 weeks post-diagnosis.⁵⁹⁰ However, it should be noted that almost all available data come from study periods with zero to low prevalence of vaccination, and no robust data exist on patients recovering from the more recent Delta and Omicron variants.

A joint statement on elective surgery and anaesthesia for patients after COVID-19 infection from the American Society of Anaesthesiologists and Anaesthesia Patient Safety Foundation⁵⁹¹ suggests delaying elective surgery for 7 weeks after a SARS-CoV-2 infection in unvaccinated patients who are asymptomatic at the

time of surgery. The clinical course of COVID-19 infection, presence and intensity of cardiopulmonary symptoms during the acute and late phases, and pre-existing comorbidities should be taken into consideration. In addition, persistence of COVID-19 symptoms—such as fatigue, shortness of breath, and chest discomfort—should be given attention, as this condition is associated with higher post-operative mortality independent of the timing of COVID-19 diagnosis.^{588,589} The evidence is currently insufficient to make recommendations for those who become infected after vaccination.

7. Peri-operative monitoring and anaesthesia

7.1. Peri-operative monitoring

Despite the absence of prospective RCTs investigating the prognostic relevance of peri-operative monitoring, previous evidence clearly indicates that the routine use of monitoring improves safety of surgical procedures. Mandatory intra-operative monitoring of the CV and respiratory systems, temperature, neuromuscular transmission, and depth of anaesthesia is recommended.^{592,593}

Routine CV monitoring includes ECG, automated non-invasive BP measurement at regular intervals, and peripheral oxygen saturation with pulse oximetry. Near-infrared spectroscopy has recently been introduced to assess regional tissue perfusion and oxygenation. In selected cases, more invasive monitoring techniques can be applied such as continuous arterial BP measurement via an arterial catheter and monitoring of cardiac output. Mean arterial pressure and heart

rate remain stable, even with variation of up to 30% of total blood volume.⁵⁹⁴ Right heart catheterization can be used to continuously measure central venous pressure and/or pulmonary artery pressure, pulmonary artery wedge pressure (as a reflection of LV diastolic pressure), and cardiac output. However, these are static variables that do not reliably reflect the CV filling status, and have been shown to accurately guide fluid therapy in 50% of patients.⁵⁹⁵

While routine use of pulmonary catheterization is discouraged during NCS, using dynamic variables, such as stroke volume variation or pulse pressure variation, has become the gold standard. In addition, TEE is increasingly being used as an intra-operative monitoring technique in major surgery in cardiac compromised patients and during cardiac surgery. Decisions on the extent of peri-operative monitoring and implementation of specific strategies during NCS should always be based on an individual patient-directed assessment, taking into consideration the severity of surgery and the patient's physical condition. Basic peri-operative monitoring of the respiratory system consists of pulse oximetry and capnography; both methods are non-invasive and easily applicable. Pulse oximetry enables *in vivo* measurement of arterial oxygen saturation, and capnometry measures end-tidal carbon dioxide concentration during inspiration and expiration; these monitors also provide information about the global haemodynamic status.

Patients, independent of the anaesthetic technique and information provided by the different monitoring systems, must be regularly controlled.⁵⁹⁶ In addition, blood loss and urine output should be checked, when appropriate, and overall clinical status.⁵⁹⁷ Special attention is required for the activation and setting of audible alarms, as inadequate use or failure to respond to intra-operative alarms may result in patient hazard and undesirable outcomes.⁵⁹⁸

7.2. Anaesthesia

The decision on the optimal peri-operative strategy should be based on close exchange of clinical information between anaesthesiologists, cardiologists, surgeons, and other relevant specialists. In addition, it is mandatory that any proposed strategy is presented to and discussed with the patient. An informed discussion with the patient describing the planned patient pathway and expectations during the pre-, peri-, and post-operative phases of care, and what to expect from staff and surroundings, should be given using a clear, concise, and simple description. The ESA published Pre-operative evaluation of adults undergoing elective noncardiac surgery: updated Guideline from the European Society of Anaesthesiology in 2018.⁵⁶⁰ This current section focuses on issues that are specifically important to patients with CV risk factors and diseases, taking into account the most recent developments in peri-operative management of these patients.

7.2.1. Intra-operative haemodynamics

Most anaesthetic techniques reduce sympathetic tone, leading to a decrease in venous return due to increased compliance of the venous system, vasodilatation, and decreased BP. Therefore, maintenance of adequate organ flow and perfusion pressure is of key importance in anaesthesiological management, especially in the CV-compromised patient. The importance of keeping stable peri-operative haemodynamics has been recognized for many years.⁵⁹⁹

In the past few years, several studies have focused on the relationship between intra-operative hypotension and post-operative

patient outcome. A recent systematic review identified 42 studies looking at associations between various absolute and relative intra-operative hypotension definitions and post-operative adverse outcomes after NCS.²¹⁴ The reported associations suggest that organ injury (myocardial injury, stroke, AKI) might occur when the mean arterial pressure decreases to <80 mmHg for ≥ 10 min, and that this risk increases with BP becoming progressively lower. However, most of the included studies had a retrospective observational design with a large variability in patient characteristics. In addition, the definitions of intra-operative hypotension varied widely across included studies. A recent study on the incidence of intra-operative hypotension as a function of the chosen cut-off definition described 48 different definitions of intra-operative hypotension. When applying these definitions to a cohort of 15 509 consecutive adult patients undergoing NCS under general anaesthesia, any episode of systolic BP <80 mmHg was found in 41% of the patients, and 93% of the patients had at least one episode of systolic BP >20% below baseline. The relation between threshold values from the literature and incidence of intra-operative hypotension showed a sigmoidal shaped cumulative incidence curve, with intra-operative hypotension occurrence frequencies varying from 5–99%.⁶⁰⁰ It seems that no universal target BP to define intra-operative hypotension can currently be defined. In addition, in studies on intra-operative hypotension, both the threshold to define hypotension and the method chosen to model intra-operative hypotension affected the association of intra-operative hypotension with outcome.⁶⁰¹ As a consequence, different studies on intra-operative hypotension are uncomparable and clinical conclusions on reported results remain hazardous. A recent expert consensus statement concluded that intra-operative mean arterial pressures <60–70 mmHg are associated with myocardial injury, AKI, and death. These complications are a function of hypotension severity and duration.⁶⁰² It remains to be established whether correction of intra-operative hypotension is also associated with improved post-operative outcome. To date, only one study has specifically addressed the question of whether an individualized BP management strategy reduces post-operative complications in a multicentre RCT including 292 patients. An individualized management strategy of targeting a systolic BP within 10% of the patient's normal resting value resulted in significantly lower rates of post-operative organ dysfunction compared with standard practice (38.1% vs. 51.7%, respectively).⁵²⁸ These findings support the benefits of personalizing care, especially in surgical patients at high-risk of cardiac complications. It is important to underscore the importance of a pathophysiological approach in understanding the underlying mechanisms of intra-operative hypotension, taking into account the extent and severity of the patient's comorbidities; only then will a tailored treatment targeting the cause of intra-operative hypotension be possible (Figure 16).

The severity of intra-operative hypotension is defined both by the threshold decrease from baseline and the duration of the hypotensive episode. The underlying mechanisms for intra-operative hypotension need to be identified: sympathicolysis with vasodilatation, hypovolaemia, or other more complex causes such as peri-operative myocardial failure. Once the underlying mechanisms are identified, a targeted therapeutic strategy can be applied. This includes considering whether administration of specific chronic vasoactive medication such as ACEIs or ARBs should be interrupted 24 h prior to surgery.²¹⁶




Figure 16 Pathophysiological approach to address intra-operative hypotension. ^aE.g. peri-operative myocardial failure.

A detailed analysis of the strategies for the different chronic CV medication is discussed in [Section 5](#). Of note, post-operative outcome is not only negatively influenced by the occurrence of intra-operative hypotension, but also by hypotensive events during the initial four post-operative days.⁶⁰³ For adult non-cardiac surgical patients, there is insufficient evidence to recommend a general upper limit of arterial pressure at which therapy should be initiated, although pressures >160 mmHg have been associated with myocardial injury and MI.⁶⁰²

Intra-operative tachycardia may adversely affect the myocardial oxygen balance and thus result in peri-operative myocardial injury. A retrospective analysis of 41 140 patients found that a heart rate ≥ 90 b.p.m. was associated with an increased risk of myocardial injury.⁶⁰⁴ These findings were similar to observations in the Vascular Events in Noncardiac Surgery Patients Cohort Evaluation (VISION) trial.⁶⁰⁵ An analysis of high-risk surgical patients found that an HR >87 b.p.m., recorded at rest before pre-operative cardiopulmonary exercise testing, was strongly associated with distinct CV phenotypes, which may explain the unintended, adverse consequences of non-personalized treatments aimed at reducing intra-operative tachycardia in isolation from other haemodynamic factors.⁶⁰⁶ Finally, a recent study evaluated the association between intra-operative tachycardia and a composite of post-operative myocardial injury and all-cause mortality. The major finding of this study was the lack of an association between intra-operative heart rate >90 b.p.m. and the composite outcome; HR >80 b.p.m. or >100 b.p.m. were also not associated with the composite outcome.⁶⁰⁷ Taken together, the assumed association between intra-operative tachycardia and adverse peri-operative outcome remains a subject of ongoing controversy. However, it seems

advisable to consider intra-operative tachycardia as an indicator of haemodynamic impairment.

7.2.2. Choice of anaesthetic agent

The choice of the anaesthetic agent has been considered to be of little importance in terms of patient outcome, provided that vital functions are adequately supported. Evidence from surgical myocardial revascularization studies indicates that a volatile vs. i.v. anaesthetic regimen is associated with less post-operative troponin release without impact on clinical outcomes.^{599,608} A recent large multicentre randomized trial found a neutral effect on 12 month mortality associated with volatile vs. i.v. anaesthetic regimen.⁶⁰⁹ In NCS, incidence of post-operative cardiac events is not influenced by the choice of a volatile or an i.v. anaesthetic regimen.⁶¹⁰

7.3. Locoregional techniques

The analgesic effects of neuraxial analgesia are well-established. The main peri-operative indications for epidural analgesia include major open abdominal surgery and thoracotomy. Possible additional benefits of epidural analgesia, such as accelerated recovery and decreased post-operative complications, remain a matter of debate.^{611,612}

Neuraxial analgesia may induce sympathetic blockade. When reaching the thoracic dermatome level 4, a reduction in cardiac sympathetic drive may occur, with subsequent reduction in myocardial contractility, heart rate, and change in cardiac loading conditions. There are no studies specifically investigating the changes in outcomes related to neuraxial anaesthetic techniques in patients with cardiac disease. Cardiac patients often take various types of drugs that interfere with coagulation; therefore, care should be taken to ensure sufficient coagulation ability when neuraxial blocks are applied.⁶¹³

Current research is focusing on alternatives for neuraxial analgesia with similar effects on peri-operative pain control in patients with cardiac comorbidities undergoing NCS; these include alternative analgesic techniques such as i.v. analgesia, continuous wound infiltration, paravertebral bloc, and selective nerve blocks.

7.4. Peri-operative goal-directed haemodynamic therapy

Goal-directed therapy aims to optimize CV performance, in order to achieve normal or even supranormal oxygen delivery to tissues, by optimizing pre-load and inotropic function using pre-defined haemodynamic targets. In contrast to clinical signs or arterial pressure-orientated standard therapy, goal-directed therapy is based on flow or fluid responsiveness of haemodynamic variables, such as stroke volume, response to fluid challenges, stroke volume or pulse pressure variation, or similar optimization of cardiac output. Goal-directed therapy was initially based on the use of a pulmonary artery catheter. Less-invasive techniques have recently been developed, including: transoesophageal Doppler, transpulmonary dilution techniques, and advanced pressure waveform analysis. Early goal-directed fluid therapy—in the right patient cohort and with a clearly defined protocol—has been shown to decrease post-operative mortality and morbidity.^{614–618}

7.5. Post-operative management

Several studies have demonstrated that it is possible to stratify the risk of post-operative complications and mortality with a simple surgical Apgar score. This post-event stratification might enable patients to be redirected to higher-intensity care units. The importance of such risk stratification is underscored by the results of the EuSOS group. In this 7 day cohort study, 46 539 consecutive adult NCS patients in 498 hospitals across 28 European nations were included: 1855 patients (4%) died before hospital discharge and 1358 (73%) of those patients were not admitted to critical care at any stage after surgery.⁷ This concept of failure-to-rescue has gained a lot of attention in peri-operative medicine in the last few years and strategies have been proposed to address this issue.^{619–622}

Severe post-operative pain occurs in 5–10% of patients, increases sympathetic drive, and delays recovery.^{623,624} A recent study demonstrated that time-weighted average pain scores within 72 h after surgery were significantly associated with myocardial injury in patients undergoing NCS;⁶²⁵ this finding underscores the importance of effective post-operative analgesia to reduce post-operative CV risk.

The place of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) in the treatment of post-operative pain in cardiac patients undergoing NCS is a point of debate. Diclofenac has been shown to carry the highest CV risk of any of the non-selective NSAIDs.^{626,627} The CV risk of ibuprofen seems to be comparable with that of celecoxib.⁶²⁸ Naproxen has a better CV safety profile than diclofenac and ibuprofen.^{629,630} One randomized trial found that parecoxib and valdecoxib did not increase thromboembolic events in patients undergoing NCS. A meta-analysis of 32 randomized trials did not find an increased CV risk when comparing parecoxib/valdecoxib with placebo,⁶³¹ and a single-centre observational study with >10 000 patients undergoing arthroplasty found no association between

NSAID use and post-operative MI.⁶³² In contrast, another meta-analysis of three randomized trials including 2604 major surgery patients detected a 2.3-fold increase in MACEs in the group with COX-2 inhibitors. In a position paper, The ESC Working Group on Cardiovascular Pharmacotherapy advises that non-aspirin NSAIDs should generally not be used in patients with established or at high risk of CVD.⁶³³

Recommendation Table 33 — Recommendations for peri-operative monitoring and anaesthesia

Recommendations	Class ^a	Level ^b
In order to preserve optimal CV stability, it is recommended to apply goal-directed haemodynamic therapy in patients undergoing high-risk NCS. ^{614–618}	I	A
It is recommended to avoid post-operative acute pain. ⁶¹⁴	I	B
In order to minimize the risk of post-operative organ dysfunction, it is recommended to avoid an intra-operative mean arterial pressure decrease of >20% from baseline values or <60–70 mmHg for ≥10 min. ^{214,600–602,634}	I	B
Non-aspirin NSAIDs are not recommended as first-line analgesics in patients with established or high risk of CVD. ^{633,635}	III	B

CV, cardiovascular; CVD, cardiovascular disease; NCS, non-cardiac surgery; NSAID, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug.

^aClass of recommendation.

^bLevel of evidence.

8. Peri-operative cardiovascular complications

Specific challenges apply to detecting CV complications that occur peri-operatively. First, due to anaesthesia and analgesia, PMI, which is the most common CV complication, is largely asymptomatic in ~90% of patients and is therefore missed in routine clinical practice in the absence of surveillance for PMI.^{41,101,111,413,636–641} Second, post-operative pain, nausea, surgical wounds, and drains may interfere with the early identification of acute cardiac disorders, such as PMI, Takotsubo syndrome, tachyarrhythmias, and acute HF. Third, cardiologists are usually not directly involved in post-operative care; therefore, the early detection and early treatment of cardiac complications is performed by non-cardiologists, sometimes with little training in the early detection of acute cardiac disorders. Given the relatively high prevalence of cardiac complications, their high morbidity and mortality, and the availability of effective therapy, high awareness combined with surveillance for PMI in high-risk patients (known CAD, PAD, insulin-dependent DM, or symptoms suggestive of cardiac disorders) undergoing intermediate- or high-risk NCS is recommended to overcome




Figure 17 Factors associated with peri-operative cardiovascular complications. SNS, sympathetic nervous system.

these challenges.^{41,101,109–111,118,413,636–639,642,643} All measures need to be carefully aligned with the responsible surgeon.

Chronic cardiac disorders, such as CAD, seem to provide a substrate for cardiac complications during and after surgery.^{41,413,636,637} Several related chronic conditions (e.g. diabetes and renal insufficiency), which are likely to be surrogates for undiagnosed cardiac disease, are also strongly associated with peri-operative cardiac complications (Figure 17).^{41,413,636,637}

Acute conditions such as trauma, surgery, and anaesthesia itself induce activation of the sympathetic nervous system, inflammation, stress, hypercoagulable, and catabolic states, all of which may trigger cardiac complications.^{41,413,636,637} While the risk of CV complications after NCS is highest in the immediate peri-operative period, it seems to remain increased for a prolonged ‘vulnerable period’ of 3–5 months.⁸

8.1. Peri-operative myocardial infarction/injury

Peri-operative MI (PMI) is defined as acute cardiomyocyte injury (post-operative hs-cTn T/I release) with or without accompanying symptoms, and with or without ECG or imaging evidence of acute myocardial ischaemia. Peri-operative MI can only be reliably and

rapidly detected using PMI surveillance with hs-cTn T/I measurements before and serially after surgery (e.g. 24 and 48 h post-operatively). In the BASEL-PMI study, circa 15% of patients with pre-existing CAD/PAD or aged >65 years undergoing major NCS developed PMI.⁸ As most PMI occurs during the operation itself or in the immediate post-operative period, during which high doses of anaesthetics and/or analgesics are required, ~90% of patients with PMI do not report typical symptoms and are therefore missed in routine clinical practice.^{41,101,111,413,636–639} This is of major concern, as the mortality risk associated with PMI is also high in patients without symptoms.^{41,101,111,413,636–639} Similarly, the mortality risk associated with PMI is high in patients without additional ECG and/or imaging evidence of myocardial ischaemia.^{8,41,101,109–111,118,413,564,636–639,641} Overall, 30 day mortality in patients developing PMI is ~10%.^{8,41,101,109–111,118,413,564,636–639,641} No single intervention has yet been proven to be unequivocally beneficial in the prevention of PMI.^{185,644}

It is important to highlight that PMI is not a homogenous disease. Several different pathophysiologies and clinical phenotypes may underlie PMI (Figure 18). At least one additional criterion (ischaemic pain; ischaemic ECG changes; imaging evidence of new loss of viable myocardium, or new regional wall motion abnormality in a pattern consistent with an ischaemic aetiology; and thrombus in coronary




Figure 18 Differential diagnosis of elevated post-operative cardiac troponin concentrations. CK, creatinine kinase; cTn I, cardiac troponin I; cTn T, cardiac troponin T; MI, myocardial infarction; PMI, peri-operative myocardial infarction/injury. Please be aware that the accuracy of physicians' judgement in the classification of type-1 vs. type-2 MI in the peri-operative setting may be lower vs. the non-operative setting.⁶⁴⁷

angiography) is required for patients with PMI to also meet the definition of peri-operative myocardial infarction, according to the fourth universal definition.⁶⁴³ Because it is often initially unclear whether the patient will end up fulfilling the criteria for peri-operative myocardial infarction, the broad term PMI is preferred in the initial assessment. In order to properly interpret the aetiology of elevated post-operative hs-cTn T/I concentrations, a baseline pre-operative concentration is necessary to determine whether the increase is acute or chronic (see Section 4).⁶⁴³ To identify the underlying pathophysiology and define causal therapy, systematic work-up and early differentiation of primarily non-cardiac causes (e.g. severe sepsis, PE) vs. the different cardiac causes—including type-1 MI, type-2 MI, tachyarrhythmia, and acute HF—is of major importance (Figures 18 and 19). Transthoracic echocardiography is helpful in the work-up of most patients with PMI.

The term myocardial injury following NCS (MINS) has been used for a selected subset of patients with cardiac PMI, in whom cardiomyocyte injury was deemed most likely due to CAD with myocardial

ischaemia (i.e. secondary to supply–demand mismatch or thrombosis), in the absence of an atypical surgical physiological stress, and no evidence of a cardiac non-CAD aetiology, e.g. rapid AF, acute HF).^{101,109,638,641,642,645,646} In approximately half of all patients with PMI, the underlying pathophysiology cannot be reliably ascertained based on the commonly available documentation and is assumed to be likely type-2 MI due to undocumented or relative hypotension, but may also include missed type-1 MI, or missed PE in cases in which CT angiography has not yet been performed post-operatively.^{110,647} It is therefore important to highlight that PMI surveillance also facilitates the detection of primarily non-cardiac disorders with immediate therapeutic consequences, such as PE, that would otherwise have been missed.

The prevalence of PMI depends on patient-related, procedural-related, and post-operative factors, and the required minimum extent of acute myocardial injury, quantified by absolute increase in hs-cTn T/I (e.g. the 99th percentile ULN) above the pre-operative hs-cTn T/I concentration.^{8,41,101,109–111,118,413,564,636–639,641,648}




Figure 19 Systematic work-up (aetiology) and therapy of peri-operative myocardial infarction/injury. CCTA, coronary computed tomography angiography; ECG, electrocardiogram; Hb, haemoglobin; ICA, invasive coronary angiography; MI, myocardial infarction; N, no; ST, ST-segment. Y, yes; Most patients with type-2 MI and silent type-1 MI should be scheduled for stress imaging or CCTA/ICA as outpatients after discharge, depending on symptoms prior to or after surgery and known CAD. ^aOr active bleeding. ^bOr other type-2 MI trigger such as hypoxaemia, tachycardia, hypertension. ^cDual antiplatelet therapy after coronary stenting. ^dPossibly in combination with dabigatran 110 mg *b.i.d.*

An absolute increase in more than the ULN above pre-operative concentrations has consistently been shown to be associated with a relevant increase in 30 day and long-term mortality, and other non-fatal post-operative cardiac complications, and can easily be determined for each hs-cTn T/I assay. This threshold is therefore recommended for clinical use.^{8,41,101,109–111,118,413,564,636–639,641,648} However, further studies are warranted regarding optimal thresholds. Emerging data suggest that surveillance for PMI is cost-effective.^{117,119}

Identification of the most likely pathophysiology is critical for selection of the most appropriate therapy. Evidence from a large single-centre retrospective cohort suggests that involvement of a cardiologist in the work-up and therapy of these patients was associated with

lower mortality.⁶⁴⁹ Mortality substantially differs among the different phenotypes: 30 day all-cause mortality and the composite of 30 day CV death, life-threatening arrhythmia, acute MI occurring after day 3, and cardiac decompensation are highest in patients with acute HF and primarily extra-cardiac PMI, such as severe sepsis or PE, intermediate for type-1 MI and tachyarrhythmias, and modestly elevated in likely type-2 MI.¹¹⁰ Type-2 MI patients are usually treated as for type-1 MI, although the evidence for this is limited.

In a randomized, placebo-controlled trial, 1754 patients (mean age 70 years) who had developed MINS after NCS (mainly orthopaedic, general, and vascular surgery) were randomly assigned (1:1) to receive dabigatran 110 mg orally *b.i.d.* or matched placebo within 35 days of MINS;⁶⁵⁰ 60% of patients were already on aspirin or a

P2Y₁₂ inhibitor. The median peak measured hs-cTn concentration associated with the diagnosis of MINS was 82 ng/L. More than 90% of MINS events occurred without a clinical symptom or sign of cardiac ischaemia. Dabigatran/placebo was initiated a median of 6 days after the operation and the average time on study drug was ~9 months. Among patients with MINS randomly assigned to receive dabigatran ($n = 877$) or placebo ($n = 877$), the composite primary efficacy outcome of a major vascular complication—including vascular mortality, MI, non-haemorrhagic stroke, peripheral arterial thrombosis, amputation, and symptomatic VTE—occurred in fewer patients randomized to dabigatran than placebo (97 [11%] of 877 patients assigned to dabigatran vs. 133 [15%] of 877 patients assigned to placebo; HR, 0.72; 95% CI, 0.55–0.93; $P = 0.0115$). There was no increase in major bleeding. Based on these data, in patients with MINS and at low risk of bleeding, initiation of dabigatran 110 mg orally *b.i.d.* may be considered about 1 week after NCS.

8.2. Spontaneous myocardial infarction (after day 2)

The incidence of post-operative spontaneous MI after day 2 seems to be about 0.5% within 30 days, and 1–2% within 365 days for patients undergoing major NCS with established CAD, PAD, or aged >65 years.⁸ In the immediate post-operative period (<5 days), bleeding is a major concern and limits the use of antiplatelet and anticoagulant therapy, depending on the site and extent of surgery. Otherwise, the same principles as for MI therapy should generally be applied following the recommendations of speciality guidelines.^{98,171}

8.3. Takotsubo syndrome

The incidence of peri-operative Takotsubo syndrome remains unknown, as none of the studies with PMI screening used echocardiography in all patients during PMI work-up. Increased awareness in the non-operative setting has led to a substantial increase in the detection of Takotsubo syndrome, and the use of TTE in the work-up of PMI is strongly encouraged. Anecdotal evidence suggests that it is likely that the incidence is also higher than expected in the peri-operative setting.^{8,41,101,109–111,118,413,564,636–639,641,644}

8.4. Acute heart failure

The incidence of post-operative acute HF seems to be 1–2% within 30 days and 4–6% within 365 days in patients with established CAD, PAD, or aged >65 years undergoing major NCS.⁸ Pre-existing diagnosed or undiagnosed chronic HF and volume loading in the peri-operative and post-operative periods are important contributors. In the absence of studies specifically investigating acute HF post-operatively, the general principles of acute HF diagnostic work-up and therapy should be applied.⁶⁵¹

8.5. Venous thromboembolism

The incidence of VTE in the peri-operative phase is currently unknown and likely underreported due to the lack of systematic screening methods and the limited validity of diagnostic tools (e.g. D-dimer, typical pain symptoms) in this setting. It is associated with high peri-operative mortality (~17%).³²² Risk factors for post-operative VTE/PE include type of surgery (e.g. high-risk hip arthroplasty, open prostatectomy, open surgery for malignancy), acute

renal insufficiency, MI, and post-operative infection.³²² Stratification of the extent of embolism (e.g. massive, submassive, and subsegmental; high risk, intermediate high/low risk, and low risk) is important to predict mortality and guide therapeutic strategy.⁶⁵² Pulmonary embolism should be suspected in patients with PMI without a clear cause. Close haemodynamic monitoring and monitoring of RV function (echocardiography, CT) is essential to determine which PE patients require aggressive therapy. There is currently a lack of evidence regarding adequate antithrombotic therapy in patients with post-operative PE, since recent major surgery or trauma was a contraindication in previous trials of thrombolytic or anticoagulant therapy.⁶⁵³ Small case series support the use of systemic thrombolysis, surgical thrombectomy,⁶⁵⁴ or catheter-directed therapies in massive PE. In general, anticoagulation, preferably LMWH or fondaparinux, should be initiated as early as possible.⁶⁵² Oral anticoagulant therapy, preferably NOAC due to the lower bleeding risk, should be initiated, depending on post-operative renal function and bleeding risk, as early as possible for at least 3 months.⁶⁵²

Rescue thrombolytic therapy is recommended for patients with PE and haemodynamic deterioration on anticoagulation treatment in the post-operative phase, if possible, according to bleeding risk.⁶⁵² As an alternative to thrombolytic therapy for massive PE, surgical embolectomy or percutaneous catheter-directed treatment should be considered for patients with haemodynamic deterioration on anticoagulation treatment, particularly in patients with high bleeding risk.

8.6. Atrial fibrillation and other relevant arrhythmias

Post-operative AF is defined as new-onset AF in the immediate post-operative period; its incidence ranges between 2–30%, with peak incidence 2–4 days post-operatively.^{655,656}

Although many post-operative AF episodes are self-terminating and some are asymptomatic, post-operative AF has been associated with a four- to five-fold risk of AF recurrence in the 5 years following cardiac surgery, while the risk of recurrence after NCS is less well described.^{656–660} Importantly, post-operative AF is a risk factor for stroke, MI, and death compared with non-post-operative AF patients.^{656,658,661} Post-operative AF may also lead to haemodynamic instability, prolonged hospital stay, infections, renal complications, bleeding, increased in-hospital death, and greater healthcare costs.^{662–664} The essential principles of the prevention and management of post-operative AF are outlined in [Figure 20](#).

8.6.1. Prevention of post-operative atrial fibrillation

Pre-operative use of beta-blockers is associated with reduced incidence of post-operative AF,^{204,665–667} but not major adverse events such as death, stroke, or AKI.⁶⁶⁸ Importantly, in a large RCT, peri-operative metoprolol was associated with increased mortality risk.¹⁸⁵ In a meta-analysis, amiodarone (oral or i.v.) was equally effective in reducing post-operative AF as beta-blockers,²⁰⁷ whereas their combination was better than beta-blockers alone.²⁰⁸ Lower cumulative doses of amiodarone (<3000 mg) may be effective, with fewer adverse events.^{669–671}




Figure 20 Prevention and management of post-operative atrial fibrillation. AAD, antiarrhythmic drug; AF, atrial fibrillation; b.p.m., beats per minute; CCB, calcium channel blocker; HR, heart rate; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction. N, no; Y, yes. ^aDepending on the CHA₂DS₂VASC-score, and post-operative bleeding risk. ^bIn the acute post-operative phase, unless blood pressure is high, combination of low-dose beta-blocker and loading with digoxin is preferred to avoid hypotension. ^cShould include a cardiology visit before month 3. Adapted from the 2020 ESC Guidelines on the Diagnosis and Management of Atrial Fibrillation.⁹⁹

Data for other interventions—such as statins,^{672,673} magnesium,⁶⁷⁴ sotalol,⁶⁶⁶ colchicine,⁶⁷⁵ or corticosteroids⁶⁷⁶—are not robust.^{677,678}

8.6.2. Management of post-operative atrial fibrillation

8.6.2.1. Rate and/or rhythm control

In haemodynamically unstable patients with post-operative AF, an emergent electrical or possibly pharmacological (i.e. i.v. administration of amiodarone⁶⁶⁶ or vernakalant,⁶⁷⁹ if consistent with the clinical situation) cardioversion is indicated.⁹⁹ In haemodynamically stable

patients with post-operative AF, ensuring optimal ventricular rate control during the arrhythmia is mandatory (using, for example beta-blockers or verapamil, as needed). As post-operative AF is often self-terminating, non-emergent cardioversion may not be needed. If performed in patients with AF lasting ≥48 h, non-emergency cardioversion of post-operative AF should follow the principles of pericardioversion thromboprophylaxis (that is, can be performed only after the left atrial thrombosis is excluded by TEE or postponed for 3 weeks of therapeutic OAC therapy). Of note, a RCT of patients with post-operative AF after cardiac surgery showed no net clinical

advantage of rhythm (i.e. achieving and maintenance of sinus rhythm) vs. rate control strategy.⁶⁸⁰ Therefore, rate or rhythm control treatment decisions in patients with haemodynamically stable post-operative AF should be based on patient’s symptoms and shared informed treatment decision-making.⁹⁹

8.6.2.2. Prevention of atrial fibrillation-related thromboembolic complications

In all patients with post-operative AF, it should be considered to initiate therapeutic anticoagulation as soon as possible during in-hospital treatment, depending on the individual stroke risk (CHA₂DS₂-VASc) and the bleeding risk after surgery. In a large meta-analysis, patients with post-operative AF had 62% higher risk of early stroke and 37% higher risk of long-term stroke compared with patients without post-operative AF (long-term stroke rates were 2.4% in post-operative AF vs. 0.4% in patients without AF), and a 44% and 37% higher risk of early and long-term mortality, respectively.⁶⁶¹ Importantly, post-operative AF was more strongly associated with the long-term stroke risk in patients undergoing NCS (HR, 2.00; 95% CI, 1.70–2.35) than in patients undergoing cardiac surgery (HR, 1.20; 95% CI, 1.07–1.34; *P* < 0.0001).⁶⁶¹

Evidence on the effects of long-term OAC therapy for the prevention of stroke or systemic embolism in patients with post-operative AF is from observational studies.^{664,681–685} In a recent study, post-operative AF following NCS was associated with similar long-term thromboembolic risk as common, non-surgical AF, and OAC use was associated with comparable lower risk of thromboembolism and all-cause mortality in both groups (mean CHA₂DS₂-VASc-score 3.0 ± 1.7).⁶⁸⁶

Based on the available evidence, long-term OAC should be considered in all patients with post-operative AF at risk of stroke. If anticoagulation is parenterally initiated, LMWH or fondaparinux is recommended (over UFH) for most patients. Non-vitamin K antagonist oral anticoagulants should be preferred over VKA for long-term treatment. Re-evaluation of the continuation of OAC may be performed after a period of 3 months. A small RCT (ASPIRE-AF; NCT03968393) on the optimal long-term OAC use among NCS patients developing post-operative AF is ongoing.

8.7. Peri-operative stroke

With respect to NCS, peri-operative stroke has been reported in 0.08–0.70% of patients undergoing general surgery, 0.2–0.9% of patients requiring orthopaedic surgery, 0.6–0.9% of lung operations, and 0.8–3.0% of surgeries involving the peripheral vasculature.^{687,688} The associated mortality ranges from 18–26%.^{687,688} A more recent analysis of 523 059 patients undergoing NCS reported a lower incidence of peri-operative stroke (0.1%), although the occurrence of this adverse event was associated with an eight-fold increase in peri-operative mortality within 30 days, corresponding to an absolute risk increase of >20%.⁶⁸⁹ Peri-operative stroke is mainly ischaemic or cardioembolic, and AF is often the leading underlying condition. Triggers include withdrawal of anticoagulation and the hypercoagulable state related to surgery. Additional aetiologies include atheroembolism, originating from the aorta or the supra-aortic vessels, and local atherothrombosis in the presence of intracranial small vessel disease. Hypoperfusion—related to peri-operative arterial

hypotension and/or severe stenosis of the cervicocranial vessels—is an unusual cause of peri-operative stroke.⁶⁹⁰ Rarely, peri-operative stroke may be due to air, fat, or paradoxical embolisms.

In an attempt to attenuate the risk of peri-operative stroke, anti-platelet/anticoagulant treatments should be continued whenever possible throughout the peri-operative period. Alternatively, the period of drug withdrawal should be kept as short as possible, while weighting thromboembolic and haemorrhagic risks (see Section 5.2). Adequate selection of the anaesthetic technique (regional vs. neuraxial vs. general anaesthesia), prevention and treatment of AF, euglycaemic control (avoiding both hyperglycaemia and hypoglycaemia), and meticulous peri-operative control of BP may all contribute to reducing the risk of peri-operative stroke.

If post-operative stroke occurs, it must trigger immediate action: angio-CT and neurology/neurosurgical consultation with the goal to restore flow in the case of acute thrombotic occlusion.

Recommendation Table 34 — Recommendations for peri-operative cardiovascular complications

Recommendations	Class ^a	Level ^b
It is recommended to have high awareness of peri-operative CV complications, combined with surveillance for PMI in patients undergoing intermediate- or high-risk NCS. ^{41,101,109–111,118,413,636–639}	I	B
Systematic PMI work-up is recommended to identify the underlying pathophysiology and define therapy. ^{41,101,109–111,118,413,636–639}	I	B
It is recommended to treat post-operative STEMI, NSTEMI-ACS, acute HF, and tachyarrhythmias in accordance with guidelines for the non-surgical setting, after interdisciplinary discussion with the surgeon about bleeding risk. ^{98,99,171,651,652}	I	C
In patients with post-operative PE of high or intermediate clinical probability, initiation of anticoagulation is recommended without delay, while diagnostic work-up is in progress, if bleeding risk is low. ^{650,652}	I	C
Post-operative oral anticoagulation for PE is recommended to be administered for a period of at least 3 months. ^{99,650}	I	C
In patients with a post-operative indication for OAC, NOAC is generally recommended over VKA. ⁹⁹	I	A
In patients with post-operative AF after NCS, long-term OAC therapy should be considered in all patients at risk of stroke, considering the anticipated net clinical benefit of OAC therapy, and informed patient preferences. ^{99,682,683,685,686}	IIa	B
In patients with MINS and at low risk of bleeding, treatment with dabigatran 110 mg orally <i>b.i.d.</i> may be considered from ~1 week after NCS. ⁶⁵⁰	IIb	B

Continued

Routine use of beta-blocker for the prevention of post-operative AF in patients undergoing NCS is not recommended.^{185,201}

III

B

© ESC 2022

AF, atrial fibrillation; *b.i.d.*, twice a day; CV, cardiovascular; HF, heart failure; MI, myocardial infarction; MINS, myocardial injury following non-cardiac surgery; NCS, non-cardiac surgery; NOAC, non-vitamin K antagonist oral anticoagulant; NSTEMI-ACS, non-ST-elevation acute coronary syndrome; OAC, oral anticoagulant; PE, pulmonary embolism; PMI, peri-operative myocardial infarction/injury; STEMI, ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction; VKA, vitamin K antagonist.

^aClass of recommendation.

^bLevel of evidence.

9. Key messages

- The occurrence of CV complications in the peri-operative phase of NCS has a dramatic impact on prognosis.
- The risk of CV complications in patients undergoing NCS is determined by patient-related factors, type of surgery or procedure, and the circumstances under which surgery takes place (elective vs. emergency procedure; local or tertiary hospital).
- Specific patient-related risk factors may be reduced by adequate pre-operative risk assessment and initiation of effective risk-reduction strategies.
- The quantification of surgical risk as low, intermediate, and high is helpful in identifying the group of patients who should most benefit from preventive, diagnostic, and therapeutic approaches to concomitant CV conditions.
- Proper selection of type and timing of the surgical procedure may reduce the risk of complications.
- It is important that patients' values, quality of life, and preferences regarding the benefits and risks of surgery are taken into consideration, and that well-informed patients are involved in the decisions. Risk should be communicated to the patient in absolute terms (e.g. 1 out of 100).
- Clinical examination, patient-reported functional capacity, and non-invasive tests represent the cornerstone of pre-operative cardiac assessment.
- Instrumental and functional cardiac examination tools should be selected in view of the surgical risk, relative diagnostic proficiency, and healthcare resource utilization and costs.
- The peri-operative evaluation of elderly patients who require elective major NCS should include frailty screening, which has proven to be an excellent predictor of unfavourable health outcomes in the older surgical population.
- Treatment of pre-existing or newly diagnosed CV conditions (e.g. coronary and peripheral vascular disease, rhythm disorders, and HF) should be individualized according to the pre-operative risk of NCS, and considering the recommendations of speciality guidelines.
- A multidisciplinary approach to evaluate whether the treatment of concomitant cardiac conditions before scheduled NCS improves peri-operative safety without unnecessary delay is encouraged.
- Efficient peri-operative management of antithrombotic therapies in patients scheduled for NCS aims to offer the potential benefit of preventing thrombotic events without excessive bleeding complications.

- It is important to clearly and concisely communicate with patients, with simple verbal and written instructions, about changes in medication in the pre- and post-operative phases.
- Management in the peri-operative phase of NCS aims to avoid haemodynamic imbalance, while ensuring sufficient cardioprotective action.
- Healthcare providers are recommended to have high awareness of peri-operative CV complications combined with surveillance for PMI in high-risk patients undergoing intermediate- or high-risk NCS.
- Routine assessment of treatment quality through specific indicators is important to document and measure the success of preventive and therapeutic strategies in patients undergoing NCS.

10. Gaps in evidence

- The age cut-off for individuals (considered to be cardiovascularly healthy) benefiting from risk stratification work-out before NCS needs to be evaluated.
- Further studies are needed to characterize outcome differences in NCS between men and women, and between different countries, in order to individualize peri-operative management and improve patient safety.
- Evidence on the additive value of cardiac biomarkers, hand-held ultrasound, problem FOCUS, and stress echocardiography for cardiac risk stratification of patients scheduled for NCS presenting with previously unknown cardiac murmur, dyspnoea, oedema, and chest pain is still lacking. The impact of FOCUS on outcomes of urgent or time-sensitive surgery needs further investigation.
- The impact of stress imaging (echocardiography or MRI) before NCS on reduction of peri-operative CV complications in non-ischaeamic heart diseases needs further research.
- The role of right heart catheterization in patients with advanced HF or patients with severe pulmonary hypertension undergoing NCS is unknown.
- It is unknown whether artificial intelligence-based systems facilitate prompt detection and response to imminent adverse events in high-risk cardiac patients undergoing high-risk NCS.
- Systematic and structured research to investigate pathophysiology, causes, and time distribution of serious peri-operative arrhythmic events among patients undergoing NCS is still needed.
- Strategies for timing of pre-operative CIED control dependent on device type, urgency, and type of NCS, and risk of EMI during NCS need to be developed to ensure maximal patient safety.
- Benefit of routine myocardial revascularization of high-risk CCS patients (except left main or three-vessel CAD, reduced LV function) before elective intermediate- and high-risk NCS is not well-established.
- More evidence regarding the need for bridging of anticoagulation in patients with MHVs is needed.
- There is a lack of evidence regarding the optimal strategies before emergent or time-sensitive NCS for patients on antithrombotic treatment at high risk of thromboembolic events, including the: (i) use of extracorporeal haemoperfusion or NOAC antidotes (ongoing trial NCT04233073); (ii) use of albumin, extracorporeal haemoperfusion, or PB2452-specific antidote to antagonized ticagrelor (ongoing trial NCT04286438 for PB2452); and (iii)

premature cessation or bridging during interruption of oral P2Y12-receptor inhibitors (glycoprotein IIb/IIIa receptor inhibitors or cangrelor).

- There is lack of well-powered studies to evaluate the role of platelet function testing to guide the strategy for treatment of NCS patients on antiplatelet therapy.
- Evidence regarding the need for and benefit of anticoagulation in NCS patients with post-operative AF is still lacking (ongoing ASPIRE-AF trial: NCT03968393).
- Prophylactic strategies to reduce the incidence of post-operative AF in NCS patients additional to beta-blocker maintenance in patients already on this treatment need to be evaluated.
- The optimal cardiac work-up and therapy for patients with PMI within and outside hospital settings need to be evaluated.
- Studies are needed to investigate the impact of the treatment of peri-operative hypotension on post-operative outcomes, the use of new HF drug classes (SGLT2 inhibitors and vericiguat), and the use of NSAIDs as a temporary treatment for acute post-operative pain.
- Prospective studies are needed to investigate the incremental value of anaemia algorithms and blood-sparing strategies (use of blood-sparing blood tubes) to reduce the risk of anaemia-associated adverse outcomes among CV patients undergoing NCS.

11. Sex differences

Sex and gender may significantly affect the management and outcomes of patients with specific diseases undergoing NCS. There are sex- and gender-dependent clinical phenotypes of comorbidities and risk factors, which may have an impact on peri-operative morbidity and mortality. However, there is a paucity of data specifically addressing the interplay between sex, age, and comorbidities in patients scheduled for NCS.

The pre-operative assessment before NCS might take sex into consideration, since the age-adjusted incidence of CVD is lower in

women than men, and the risk of undetected disease could therefore be lower in women. However, no data exist on sex-specific assessment strategies.

The in-hospital mortality during surgery was recently reported to be lower in women than men.^{41,691} In contrast, among 609 735 patients who underwent elective NCS between 2009 and 2016, the odds of post-operative 90 day mortality were higher among women with HF than men with HF.⁶⁹² Further studies are needed to provide more information about outcome differences between men and women in NCS.

Some studies have reported a higher risk of bleeding in women than men, but other studies could not confirm this. No trials have systematically investigated the impact of sex differences regarding efficacy and safety of continuation vs. interruption of antithrombotic therapy in patients undergoing NCS.

The prevalence of anaemia in women of reproductive age is as high as 30% (WHO Global Anaemia estimates),⁶⁹³ resulting in millions of women undergoing surgery every year despite pre-operative anaemia. Furthermore, since women have lower blood volumes and lower haemoglobin values than men, but face the same surgical blood loss as men, they are exposed to far higher risk of post-operative complications. Also, higher transfusion rates and volumes have been reported in women compared with men in elective surgery.⁶⁹⁴ It is therefore of particular importance that clinicians follow the Patient Blood Management program in women undergoing NCS. Other sex-related differences in physiology, and pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of anaesthetic drugs may influence the anaesthesia plan, pain management, post-operative recovery, and patient satisfaction.

Sex differences regarding presentation, electrophysiological substrate, complications, or long-term outcomes have been reported in patients undergoing CIED implantation,^{695,696} and female sex is a well-known risk factor for stroke in patients with AF.⁶⁹⁷ However, no specific data exist that suggest sex differences in risk profile or outcomes of patients with CIEDs or arrhythmias who undergo NCS.

12. ‘What to do’ and ‘what not to do’ messages from the Guidelines

Recommendations	Class ^a	Level ^b
Recommendations for clinical risk evaluation		
In all patients scheduled for NCS, an accurate history and clinical examination are recommended.	I	C
It is recommended to perform a pre-operative risk assessment, ideally at the same time as the NCS is proposed.	I	B
If time allows, it is recommended to optimize guideline-recommended treatment of CVD and CV risk factors before NCS.	I	C
In patients with a family history of genetic cardiomyopathy, it is recommended to perform an ECG and TTE before NCS, regardless of age and symptoms.	I	C
In patients with a newly detected murmur and symptoms or signs of CVD, TTE is recommended before NCS.	I	C
In patients with a newly detected murmur suggesting clinically significant pathology, TTE is recommended before high-risk NCS.	I	C

Continued

If a patient scheduled for elective NCS has chest pain or other symptoms suggestive of undetected CAD, further diagnostic work-up before NCS is recommended.	I	C
If a patient in need of acute NCS also has chest pain or other symptoms suggestive of undetected CAD, a multidisciplinary assessment approach is recommended to choose the treatment with lowest total risk for the patient.	I	C
In patients with dyspnoea and/or peripheral oedema, an ECG and an NT-proBNP/BNP test is indicated before NCS, unless there is a certain non-cardiac explanation.	I	C
In patients with dyspnoea and/or peripheral oedema and elevated NT-proBNP/BNP, TTE is recommended before NCS.	I	C
Recommendations for patient information		
It is recommended to give patients individualized instructions for pre-operative and post-operative changes in medication, in verbal and written formats with clear and concise directions.	I	C
Recommendations for use of risk assessment tools		
In patients who have known CVD or CV risk factors (including age ≥ 65 years), or symptoms or signs suggestive of CVD it is recommended to obtain a pre-operative 12-lead ECG before intermediate- and high-risk NCS.	I	C
In patients who have known CVD, CV risk factors (including age ≥ 65 years), or symptoms suggestive of CVD it is recommended to measure hs-cTn T or hs-cTn I before intermediate- and high-risk NCS, and at 24 h and 48 h afterwards.	I	B
TTE is recommended in patients with poor functional capacity and/or high NT-proBNP/BNP, or if murmurs are detected before high-risk NCS, in order to undertake risk-reduction strategies.	I	B
Stress imaging is recommended before high-risk elective NCS in patients with poor functional capacity and high likelihood of CAD or high clinical risk.	I	B
It is recommended to use the same indications for ICA and revascularization pre-operatively as in the non-surgical setting.	I	C
In low-risk patients undergoing low- and intermediate-risk NCS, it is not recommended to routinely obtain pre-operative ECG, hs-cTn T/I, or BNP/NT-proBNP concentrations.	III	B
Routine pre-operative evaluation of LV function is not recommended.	III	C
Stress imaging is not recommended routinely before NCS.	III	C
Routine pre-operative ICA is not recommended in stable CCS patients undergoing low- and intermediate-risk NCS.	III	C
Recommendations for CV risk factors and lifestyle interventions		
Smoking cessation >4 weeks before NCS is recommended to reduce post-operative complications and mortality.	I	B
Control of CV risk factors—including blood pressure, dyslipidaemia, and diabetes—is recommended before NCS.	I	B
Recommendations for pharmacological risk-reduction strategies		
Beta-blockers		
Peri-operative continuation of beta-blockers is recommended in patients currently receiving this medication.	I	B
Routine initiation of beta-blocker peri-operatively is not recommended.	III	A
Statins		
In patients already on statins, it is recommended to continue statins during the peri-operative period.	I	B
Recommendations for peri-operative handling of antithrombotic agents		
Antiplatelets		
It is recommended to delay elective NCS until 6 months after elective PCI and 12 months after an ACS.	I	A
After elective PCI, it is recommended to delay time-sensitive NCS until a minimum of 1 month of DAPT treatment has been given.	I	B
In patients with a recent PCI scheduled for NCS, it is recommended that management of antiplatelet therapy is discussed between the surgeon, anaesthesiologist, and cardiologist.	I	C

Continued

In patients with a previous PCI, it is recommended to continue aspirin peri-operatively if the bleeding risk allows.	I	B
If interruption of P2Y ₁₂ inhibitor is indicated, it is recommended to withhold ticagrelor for 3–5 days, clopidogrel for 5 days, and prasugrel for 7 days prior to NCS.	I	B
For patients undergoing high bleeding risk surgery (e.g. intracranial, spinal neurosurgery, or vitreoretinal eye surgery), it is recommended to interrupt aspirin for at least 7 days pre-operatively.	I	C
If antiplatelet therapy has been interrupted before a surgical procedure, it is recommended to restart therapy as soon as possible (within 48 h) post-surgery, according to interdisciplinary risk assessment.	I	C
Oral anticoagulants		
When an urgent surgical intervention is required, it is recommended that NOAC therapy is immediately interrupted.	I	C
In minor bleeding risk surgery and other procedures where bleeding can easily be controlled, it is recommended to perform surgery without interruption of OAC therapy.	I	B
In non-minor bleeding risk procedures in patients using a NOAC, it is recommended to use an interruption regimen based on the NOAC compound, renal function, and bleeding risk.	I	B
In patients using NOACs, it is recommended that minor bleeding risk procedures are performed at trough levels (typically 12–24 h after last intake).	I	C
LMWH is recommended, as an alternative to UFH, for bridging in patients with MHVs and high surgical risk.	I	B
Bridging of OAC therapy is not recommended in patients with low/moderate thrombotic risk undergoing NCS.	III	B
Use of reduced-dose NOAC to attenuate the risk of post-operative bleeding is not recommended.	III	C
Recommendations for peri-operative thromboprophylaxis		
It is recommended that decisions about peri-operative thromboprophylaxis in NCS are based on individual and procedure-specific risk factors.	I	A
If thromboprophylaxis is deemed necessary, it is recommended to choose the type and duration of thromboprophylaxis (LMWH, NOAC, or fondaparinux) according to type of NCS, duration of immobilization, and patient-related factors.	I	A
Recommendations for patient blood management in NCS		
It is recommended to measure haemoglobin pre-operatively in patients scheduled for intermediate- to high-risk NCS.	I	B
It is recommended to treat anaemia in advance of NCS in order to reduce the need for RBC transfusion during NCS.	I	A
In patients undergoing surgery with expected blood loss of ≥ 500 mL, use of washed cell salvage is recommended.	I	A
It is recommended to use point-of-care diagnostics for guidance of blood component therapy, when available.	I	A
Recommendations for management of patients with specific diseases undergoing NCS		
A) Patients with CAD		
If PCI is indicated before NCS, the use of new-generation DES is recommended over BMS and balloon angioplasty.	I	A
If NCS can safely be postponed (e.g. at least 3 months), it is recommended that patients with ACS being scheduled for NCS undergo diagnostic and therapeutic interventions as recommended for ACS patients in general.	I	A
Routine myocardial revascularization before low- and intermediate-risk NCS in patients with CCS is not recommended.	III	B
B) Patients with heart failure		
In patients with suspected or known HF scheduled for high-risk NCS, it is recommended to evaluate LV function with echocardiography and measurement of NT-proBNP/BNP levels, unless this has recently been performed.	I	B
It is recommended that patients with HF undergoing NCS receive optimal medical treatment according to current ESC Guidelines.	I	A
In patients with HF undergoing NCS, it is recommended to regularly assess volume status and signs of organ perfusion.	I	C

Continued

A multidisciplinary team including VAD specialists is recommended for peri-operative management of patients with HF receiving mechanical circulatory support.	I	C
C) Patients with VHD		
Clinical and echocardiographic evaluation (if not recently performed) is recommended in all patients with known or suspected VHD who are scheduled for elective intermediate- or high-risk NCS.	I	C
AVR (SAVR or TAVI) is recommended in symptomatic patients with severe AS who are scheduled for elective intermediate- or high-risk NCS.	I	C
In patients with symptomatic severe AR or asymptomatic severe AR and LVESD >50 mm or LVESDi (LVESD/BSA) >25 mm/m ² (in patients with small body size) or resting LVEF ≤50%, valve surgery is recommended prior to elective intermediate- or high-risk NCS.	I	C
In patients with moderate-to-severe rheumatic MS and symptoms or SPAP >50 mmHg, valve intervention (percutaneous mitral commissurotomy or surgery) is recommended before elective intermediate- or high-risk NCS.	I	C
D) Patients with ACHD		
In patients with ACHD, a consultation with an ACHD specialist is recommended before intermediate- or high-risk surgery.	I	C
In patients with ACHD, it is recommended that intermediate- and high-risk elective surgery is performed in a centre with experience in the care of ACHD patients.	I	C
E) Patients with arrhythmias		
In patients with SVT controlled by medication, it is recommended that AADs are continued during the peri-operative period.	I	C
In AF patients with acute or worsening haemodynamic instability undergoing NCS, emergency electrical cardioversion is recommended.	I	B
In patients with symptomatic, monomorphic, and sustained VT associated with myocardial scar, recurring despite optimal medical therapy, ablation of arrhythmia is recommended before elective NCS.	I	B
It is recommended that patients with temporarily deactivated ICDs have continuous ECG monitoring, and during the peri-operative period are accompanied by personnel skilled in early detection and treatment of arrhythmias. In high-risk patients (e.g. pacemaker-dependant or ICD patients), or if access to the torso will be difficult during the procedure, it is recommended to place transcutaneous pacing/defibrillation pads prior to NCS.	I	C
It is recommended that all patients with CIEDs that are reprogrammed before surgery have a re-check and necessary reprogramming as soon as possible after the procedure.	I	C
It is not recommended to initiate treatment of asymptomatic PVC during NCS.	III	C
F) Patients with pulmonary hypertension		
It is recommended to continue chronic therapy for PAH in the peri-operative phase of NCS.	I	C
It is recommended that haemodynamic monitoring of patients with severe PAH continues for at least 24 h in the post-operative period.	I	C
In the case of progression of right HF in the post-operative period in patients with PAH, it is recommended that the diuretic dose be optimized and, if necessary, i.v. prostacyclin analogues be initiated under the guidance of a physician experienced in the management of PAH.	I	C
G) Patients with hypertension		
In patients with chronic hypertension undergoing elective NCS, it is recommended to avoid large peri-operative fluctuations in blood pressure, particularly hypotension, during the peri-operative period.	I	A
It is recommended to perform pre-operative screening for hypertension-mediated organ damage and CV risk factors in newly diagnosed hypertensive patients who are scheduled for elective high-risk NCS.	I	C
It is not recommended to defer NCS in patients with stage 1 or 2 hypertension.	III	C
H) Patients with PAD		
In patients with poor functional capacity or with significant risk factors or symptoms (such as moderate-to-severe angina pectoris, decompensated HF, valvular disease and significant arrhythmia), referral for cardiac work-up and optimization is recommended prior to elective surgery for PAD or AAA.	I	C
Routine referral for cardiac work-up, coronary angiography, or CPET prior to elective surgery for PAD or AAA is not recommended.	III	C

Continued

I) Patients with cerebrovascular disease		
Pre-operative carotid artery and cerebral imaging is recommended in patients with a history of TIA or stroke in the previous 6 months and who have not undergone ipsilateral revascularization.	I	C
Pre-operative routine carotid artery imaging is not recommended in patients undergoing NCS.	III	C
J) Patients with renal disease		
In patients with known risk factors (age >65 years, BMI >30 kg/m ² , diabetes, hypertension, hyperlipidaemia, CV disease, or smoking) undergoing intermediate- or high-risk NCS, it is recommended to screen for pre-operative renal disease by measuring serum creatinine and GFR.	I	C
K) Patients with obesity		
It is recommended to assess cardiorespiratory fitness to estimate peri-operative CV risk in obese patients, with particular attention to those undergoing intermediate- and high-risk NCS.	I	B
L) Patients with diabetes mellitus		
A pre-operative assessment for concomitant cardiac conditions is recommended in patients with diabetes with suspected or known CAD, and those with autonomic neuropathy, retinopathy, or renal disease and scheduled to undergo intermediate- and high-risk NCS.	I	C
In patients with diabetes or disturbed glucose metabolism, a pre-operative HbA1c is recommended, if this measurement has been not performed in the previous 3 months. In case of HbA1c ≥8.5% (≥69 mmol/mol), elective NCS should be postponed, if safe and practical.	I	B
Recommendations for peri-operative monitoring and anaesthesia		
In order to preserve optimal CV stability, it is recommended to apply goal-directed haemodynamic therapy in patients undergoing high-risk NCS.	I	A
It is recommended to avoid post-operative acute pain.	I	B
In order to minimize the risk of post-operative organ dysfunction, it is recommended to avoid an intra-operative mean arterial pressure decrease of >20% from baseline values or <60–70 mmHg for ≥10 min.	I	B
Non-aspirin NSAIDs are not recommended as first-line analgesics in patients with established or high risk of CVD.	III	B
Recommendations for treatment of post-operative cardiovascular complications		
It is recommended to have high awareness of peri-operative CV complications, combined with surveillance for PMI in patients undergoing intermediate- or high-risk NCS.	I	B
Systematic PMI work-up is recommended to identify the underlying pathophysiology and define therapy.	I	B
It is recommended to treat post-operative STEMI, NSTEMI-ACS, acute HF, and tachyarrhythmias in accordance with guidelines for the non-surgical setting, after interdisciplinary discussion with the surgeon about bleeding risk.	I	C
In patients with post-operative PE of high or intermediate clinical probability, initiation of anticoagulation is recommended without delay, while diagnostic work-up is in progress, if bleeding risk is low.	I	C
Post-operative oral anticoagulation for PE is recommended to be administered for a period of at least 3 months.	I	C
In patients with a post-operative indication for OAC, NOAC is generally recommended over VKA.	I	A
Routine use of beta-blocker for the prevention of post-operative AF in patients undergoing NCS is not recommended.	III	B

AAA, abdominal aortic aneurysm; AAD, antiarrhythmic drug; ACHD, adults with congenital heart disease; ACS, acute coronary syndrome; AF, atrial fibrillation; AR, aortic valve regurgitation; AS, aortic valve stenosis; AVR, aortic valve replacement; BMI, body mass index; BNP, B-type natriuretic peptide; BMS, bare metal stent; BSA, body surface area; CAD, coronary artery disease; CCS, chronic coronary syndrome; CIED, cardiac implantable electronic device; CPET, cardiopulmonary exercise testing; CV, cardiovascular; CVD, cardiovascular disease; DAPT, dual antiplatelet therapy; DES, drug-eluting stent; ECG, electrocardiogram; ESC, European Society of Cardiology; GFR, glomerular filtration rate; HbA1c, glycated haemoglobin; HF, heart failure; hs-cTn, high-sensitivity cardiac troponin; ICA, invasive coronary angiography; ICD, implantable cardioverter-defibrillator; LMWH, low molecular weight heparin; LV, left ventricular; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; LVESD, left ventricular end-systolic diameter; LVESDI, left ventricular end-systolic dimension index; MHV, mechanical heart valve; MS, mitral valve stenosis; NCS, non-cardiac surgery; NOAC, non-vitamin K antagonist oral anticoagulant; NSAID, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug; NSTEMI-ACS, non-ST-segment elevation acute coronary syndrome; NT-proBNP, N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide; OAC, oral anticoagulant; PAD, peripheral artery disease; PAH, pulmonary arterial hypertension; PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention; PE, pulmonary embolism; PMI, peri-operative myocardial infarction/injury; PVC, premature ventricular contractions; RBC, red blood cell; SAVR, surgical aortic valve replacement; SPAP, systolic pulmonary artery pressure; STEMI, ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction; SVT, supraventricular tachycardia; TAVI, transcatheter aortic valve implantation; TIA, transient ischaemic attack; TTE, transthoracic echocardiography; UFH, unfractionated heparin; VAD, ventricular assist device; VHD, valvular heart disease; VKA, vitamin K antagonist; VT, ventricular tachycardia.

13. Quality indicators

Quality indicators (QIs) are tools that may be used to evaluate care quality, including structural, process, and outcomes of care.⁶⁹⁸ They may also serve as a mechanism for enhancing adherence to guideline recommendations, through associated quality improvement initiatives and the benchmarking of care providers.^{699,700} As such, the role of QIs in improving care and outcomes for CV disease is increasingly being recognized by healthcare authorities, professional organizations, payers, and the public.⁶⁹⁸

The ESC understands the need for measuring and reporting quality and outcomes of CV care and has established methods for the development of the ESC QIs for the quantification of care and outcomes for CV diseases.⁶⁹⁸ To date, the ESC has developed QI suites for a number of CV diseases^{701–703} and embedded these in respective ESC Clinical Practice Guidelines (2020 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of atrial fibrillation; 2021 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure; and 2021 ESC Guidelines on cardiac pacing and cardiac resynchronization therapy).^{99,412,481,704} Furthermore, the ESC aims to integrate its QIs with clinical registries such as the EURObservational Research Programme (EORP) and the European Unified Registries On Heart Care

Evaluation and Randomized Trials (EuroHeart) project⁷⁰⁵ to provide 'real-world' data about the patterns and outcomes of care for CV disease across Europe.

In parallel with the writing of this Clinical Practice Guideline document, a process has been initiated to develop QIs for patients undergoing NCS using the ESC methodology and through collaboration with patient representatives and domain experts. Such QIs may be used for evaluation of the quality of care for this group of patients and enable capture of important aspects of care delivery. The QIs, alongside their measurement specifications and development process, will be published in a separate paper.

14. Central illustration

There is a complex interplay between the intrinsic risk of surgery and the patient-related risk of peri-operative CV complications. This latter risk depends on the baseline general and CV status of patients scheduled for NCS. For each patient, the proper quantification and communication of the surgical risk require close cooperation between cardiologists, surgeons, anaesthesiologists, general practitioners, and other healthcare providers (Figure 21).




Figure 21 Central illustration: the complex interplay between the intrinsic risk of surgery and the patient risk of peri-operative cardiovascular complications.

15. Supplementary data

Supplementary data is available at *European Heart Journal* online.

16. Data availability statement

No new data were generated or analysed in support of this research.

17. Author information

Author/Task Force Member Affiliations: **Salvatore Cassese**, Klinik für Herz- und Kreislaferkrankungen, Deutsches Herzzentrum München, Munich, Germany; **Trygve S. Hall**, Department of Cardiology, Oslo University Hospital, Ullevål, Oslo, Norway; **Magdy Abdelhamid**, Cardiology Department, Faculty of Medicine, Kase Al Ainy, Cairo University, Cairo, Egypt; **Emanuele Barbato**, Advanced Biomedical Sciences, University Federico II, Naples, Italy, Cardiovascular Center Aalst, OLV Hospital, Aalst, Belgium; **Stefan De Hert**, Department of Anesthesiology and Perioperative Medicine, Ghent University Hospital/Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium; **Tobias Geisler**, Department of Cardiology and Angiology, University Hospital Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany; **Lynne Hinterbuchner**, Department of Cardiology, Clinic of Internal Medicine II, Paracelsus Medical University of Salzburg, Salzburg, Austria; **Borja Ibanez**, Clinical Research Department, Centro Nacional de Investigaciones Cardiovasculares (CNIC), Madrid, Spain, Cardiology Department, Fundación Jiménez Díaz Hospital, Madrid, Spain, CIBERCV Centro Investigacion Biomedica en Red, Madrid, Spain; **Ingrid de Laval** (Sweden), ESC Patient Forum, Sophia Antipolis, France; **Radosław Lenarczyk**, Department of Cardiology, Congenital Heart Diseases and Electrotherapy, The Medical University of Silesia, Division of Medical Sciences in Zabrze, Zabrze, Poland, Silesian Center for Heart Disease, Zabrze, Poland; **Ulrich R. Mansmann**, Institute for Medical Data Processing, Biometry, and Epidemiology, Ludwig-Maximilian's University, Munich, Germany; **Paul McGreavy** (United Kingdom), ESC Patient Forum, Sophia Antipolis, France; **Christian Mueller**, Cardiovascular Research Institute Basel, University Heart Center, University Hospital Basel, Basel, Switzerland, University of Basel, Basel, Switzerland; **Claudio Muneretto**, Cardiothoracic, University of Brescia Italy, Brescia, Italy; **Alexander Niessner**, Department of Internal Medicine II, Division of Cardiology, Medical University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria; **Tatjana S. Potpara**, School of Medicine, Belgrade University, Belgrade, Serbia, Cardiology Clinic, University Clinical Center of Serbia, Belgrade, Serbia; **Arsen D. Ristić**, Faculty of Medicine, Belgrade University, Belgrade, Serbia, Department of Cardiology, University Clinical Center of Serbia, Belgrade, Serbia; **L. Elif Sade**, Heart and Vascular Institute, University of Pittsburgh Medical Center, Pittsburgh, PA, United States of America, Cardiology, University of Baskent, Ankara, Turkey; **Henrik Schirmer**, Department of Cardiology, Akershus University Hospital, Lørenskog, Norway, Institute of Clinical Medicine, Campus Ahus, University of Oslo, Lørenskog, Norway; **Stefanie Schüpke**, ISAResearch Center, Deutsches Herzzentrum München, Munich, Germany, Heart

Alliance, DZHK (German Center for Cardiovascular Research), Munich, Germany; **Henrik Sillesen**, Vascular Surgery, Rigshospitalet, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark, Clinical Medicine, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark; **Helge Skulstad**, Department of Cardiology, Clinic of heart-, lung- and vessel disease, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway, Institute of Clinical Medicine, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway; **Lucia Torracca**, Cardiac Surgery, IRCCS Humanitas Research Hospital, Milano, Italy; **Oktay Tutarel**, Department of Congenital Heart Disease and Paediatric Cardiology, German Heart Centre Munich, TUM School of Medicine, Technical University of Munich, Munich, Germany, DZHK (German Centre for Cardiovascular Research), partner site Munich Heart Alliance, Munich, Germany; **Peter Van der Meer**, Cardiology, University Medical Center Groningen, Groningen, Netherlands; **Wojtek Wojakowski**, Division of Cardiology and Structural Heart Diseases, Medical University of Silesia, Katowice, Poland; and **Kai Zacharowski**, Anaesthesiology, Intensive Care Medicine & Pain Therapy, University Hospital Frankfurt, Goethe University, Frankfurt, Germany.

18. Appendix

ESC Scientific Document Group: includes Document Reviewers and ESC National Cardiac Societies.

Document Reviewers: Juhani Knuuti (CPG Review Coordinator) (Finland), Steen Dalby Kristensen (CPG Review Coordinator) (Denmark), Victor Aboyans (France), Ingo Ahrens (Germany), Sotiris Antoniou (United Kingdom), Riccardo Asteggiano (Italy), Dan Atar (Norway), Andreas Baumbach (United Kingdom), Helmut Baumgartner (Germany), Michael Böhm (Germany), Michael A. Borger (Germany), Hector Bueno (Spain), Jelena Čelutkienė (Lithuania), Alaide Chieffo (Italy), Maya Cikes (Croatia), Harald Darius (Germany), Victoria Delgado (Spain), Philip J. Devereaux (Canada), David Duncker (Germany), Volkmar Falk (Germany), Laurent Fauchier (France), Gilbert Habib (France), David Hasdai (Israel), Kurt Huber (Austria), Bernard Iung (France), Tiny Jaarsma (Sweden), Aleksandra Konradi (Russian Federation), Konstantinos C. Koskinas (Switzerland), Dipak Kotecha (United Kingdom), Ulf Landmesser (Germany), Basil S. Lewis (Israel), Ales Linhart (Czech Republic), Maja-Lisa Løchen (Norway), Michael Maeng (Denmark), Stéphane Manzo-Silberman (France), Richard Mindham (United Kingdom), Lis Neubeck (United Kingdom), Jens Cosedis Nielsen (Denmark), Steffen E. Petersen (United Kingdom), Eva Prescott (Denmark), Amina Rakisheva (Kazakhstan), Antti Saraste (Finland), Dirk Sibbing (Germany), Jolanta M. Siller-Matula (Austria), Marta Sitges (Spain), Ivan Stankovic (Serbia), Rob F. Storey (United Kingdom), Jurrien ten Berg (Netherlands), Matthias Thielmann (Germany), and Rhian M. Touyz (Canada/United Kingdom).

ESC National Cardiac Societies actively involved in the review process of the 2022 ESC Guidelines on assessment and management of patients undergoing non-cardiac surgery

Algeria: Algerian Society of Cardiology, Mohammed Amine Bouzid; **Armenia:** Armenian Cardiologists Association, Hamayak Sisakian; **Austria:** Austrian Society of Cardiology, Bernhard

Metzler; **Belarus:** Belorussian Scientific Society of Cardiologists, Vadim Shumavets; **Belgium:** Belgian Society of Cardiology, Agnès Pasquet; **Bosnia and Herzegovina:** Association of Cardiologists of Bosnia and Herzegovina, Elnur Smajic; **Bulgaria:** Bulgarian Society of Cardiology, Maria Milanova; **Croatia:** Croatian Cardiac Society, Boško Skorić; **Cyprus:** Cyprus Society of Cardiology, Maria Karakyriou; **Czechia:** Czech Society of Cardiology, Hana Skalicka; **Denmark:** Danish Society of Cardiology, Michael Maeng; **Egypt:** Egyptian Society of Cardiology, Bassem Abd Elhamid; **Estonia:** Estonian Society of Cardiology, Arno Ruusalepp; **Finland:** Finnish Cardiac Society, Kati Valtola; **France:** French Society of Cardiology, Ariel Cohen; **Georgia:** Georgian Society of Cardiology, Archil Chukhrukidze; **Germany:** German Cardiac Society, Ilka Ott; **Greece:** Hellenic Society of Cardiology, Nikos Kafkas; **Hungary:** Hungarian Society of Cardiology, Zoltán Jári; **Iceland:** Icelandic Society of Cardiology, Thórdís Jóna Hrafnkelsdóttir; **Ireland:** Irish Cardiac Society, Patricia Campbell; **Israel:** Israel Heart Society, Alon Eisen; **Italy:** Italian Federation of Cardiology, Stefano Urbinati; **Kazakhstan:** Association of Cardiologists of Kazakhstan, Nazipa Aidargaliyeva; **Kosovo (Republic of):** Kosovo Society of Cardiology, Arlind Batalli; **Kyrgyzstan:** Kyrgyz Society of Cardiology, Olga Lunegova; **Latvia:** Latvian Society of Cardiology, Andrejs Erglis; **Lebanon:** Lebanese Society of Cardiology, Georges Saade; **Lithuania:** Lithuanian Society of Cardiology, Andrius Macas; **Luxembourg:** Luxembourg Society of Cardiology, Cristiana Banu; **Malta:** Maltese Cardiac Society, Tiziana Felice; **Moldova:** Moldavian Society of Cardiology, Aurel Grosu; **Montenegro:** Montenegro Society of Cardiology, Mihailo Vukmirovic; **Morocco:** Moroccan Society of Cardiology, Aida Soufiani; **Netherlands:** Netherlands Society of Cardiology, Eric Dubois; **North Macedonia:** North Macedonian Society of Cardiology, Hristo Pejkov; **Norway:** Norwegian Society of Cardiology, Erlend Aune; **Poland:** Polish Cardiac Society, Stanisław Bartuś; **Portugal:** Portuguese Society of Cardiology, Mário Santos; **Romania:** Romanian Society of Cardiology, Elisabeta Badila; **Russian Federation:** Russian Society of Cardiology, Olga Irtyuga; **San Marino:** San Marino Society of Cardiology, Luca Bertelli; **Serbia:** Cardiology Society of Serbia, Branko Beleslin; **Slovakia:** Slovak Society of Cardiology, Martin Dúbrava; **Slovenia:** Slovenian Society of Cardiology, Zlatko Fras; **Spain:** Spanish Society of Cardiology, José Luis Ferreiro; **Sweden:** Swedish Society of Cardiology, Claes Held; **Switzerland:** Swiss Society of Cardiology, Philippe Meyer; **Syrian Arab Republic:** Syrian Cardiovascular Association, Walid Bsata; **Tunisia:** Tunisian Society of Cardiology and Cardio-Vascular Surgery, Afef Ben Halima; **Turkey:** Turkish Society of Cardiology, Murat Biteker; **United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland:** British Cardiovascular Society, Andrew Archbold; **Ukraine:** Ukrainian Association of Cardiology, Maksym Sokolov; and **Uzbekistan:** Association of Cardiologists of Uzbekistan, Nodir Zakirov.

ESC Clinical Practice Guidelines (CPG) Committee:

Colin Baigent (Chairperson) (United Kingdom), Magdy Abdelhamid (Egypt), Victor Aboyans (France), Sotiris Antoniou (United Kingdom), Elena Arbelo (Spain), Riccardo Asteggiano (Italy), Andreas Baumbach (United Kingdom), Michael A. Borger (Germany), Jelena Čelutkienė (Lithuania), Maja Cikes (Croatia),

Jean-Philippe Collet (France), Volkmar Falk (Germany), Laurent Fauchier (France), Chris P. Gale (United Kingdom), Sigrun Halvorsen (Norway), Bernard Lung (France), Tiny Jaarsma (Sweden), Aleksandra Konradi (Russian Federation), Konstantinos C. Koskinas (Switzerland), Dipak Kotecha (United Kingdom), Ulf Landmesser (Germany), Basil S. Lewis (Israel), Ales Linhart (Czech Republic), Maja-Lisa Løchen (Norway), Richard Mindham (United Kingdom), Jens Cosedis Nielsen (Denmark), Steffen E. Petersen (United Kingdom), Eva Prescott (Denmark), Amina Rakisheva (Kazakhstan), Marta Sitges (Spain), and Rhian M. Touyz (Canada/United Kingdom).

19. References

- Weiser TG, Regenbogen SE, Thompson KD, Haynes AB, Lipsitz SR, Berry WR, et al. An estimation of the global volume of surgery: a modelling strategy based on available data. *Lancet* 2008;**372**:139–144.
- Weiser TG, Haynes AB, Molina G, Lipsitz SR, Esquivel MM, Uribe-Leitz T, et al. Estimate of the global volume of surgery in 2012: an assessment supporting improved health outcomes. *Lancet* 2015;**385**:S11.
- Eurostat. EU population in 2020: almost 448 million. <https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/documents/2995521/11081093/3-10072020-AP-EN.pdf/d2f799bf-4412-05cc-a357-7b49b93615f1> (31 March 2022).
- Smilowitz NR, Gupta N, Guo Y, Beckman JA, Bangalore S, Berger JS. Trends in cardiovascular risk factor and disease prevalence in patients undergoing non-cardiac surgery. *Heart* 2018;**104**:1180–1186.
- Lee OH, Hong SJ, Ahn CM, Kim JS, Kim BK, Ko YG, et al. The incidence of non-cardiac surgery in patients treated with drug-eluting stents according to age. *J Invasive Cardiol* 2019;**31**:E9–E14.
- Vascular Events in Noncardiac Surgery Patients Cohort Evaluation Study Investigators, Spence J, LeManach Y, Chan MTV, Wang CY, Sigamani A, et al. Association between complications and death within 30 days after noncardiac surgery. *CMAJ* 2019;**191**:E830–E837.
- Pearse RM, Moreno RP, Bauer P, Pelosi P, Metnitz P, Spies C, et al. Mortality after surgery in Europe: a 7 day cohort study. *Lancet* 2012;**380**:1059–1065.
- Sazgary L, Puelacher C, Lurati Buse G, Glarner N, Lampart A, Bolliger D, et al. Incidence of major adverse cardiac events following non-cardiac surgery. *Eur Heart J Acute Cardiovasc Care* 2020;**10**:550–558.
- Smilowitz NR, Berger JS. Perioperative cardiovascular risk assessment and management for noncardiac surgery: a review. *JAMA* 2020;**324**:279–290.
- Kristensen SD, Knuuti J, Saraste A, Anker S, Botker HE, Hert SD, et al. 2014 ESC/ESA Guidelines on non-cardiac surgery: cardiovascular assessment and management: the Joint Task Force on non-cardiac surgery: cardiovascular assessment and management of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) and the European Society of Anaesthesiology (ESA). *Eur Heart J* 2014;**35**:2383–2431.
- Kamel H, Johnston SC, Kirkham JC, Turner CG, Kizer JR, Devereux RB, et al. Association between major perioperative haemorrhage and stroke or Q-wave myocardial infarction. *Circulation* 2012;**126**:207–212.
- CRASH-2 Trial Collaborators, Shakur H, Roberts I, Bautista R, Caballero J, Coats T, et al. Effects of tranexamic acid on death, vascular occlusive events, and blood transfusion in trauma patients with significant haemorrhage (CRASH-2): a randomised, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet* 2010;**376**:23–32.
- Kougias P, Sharath S, Barshes NR, Chen M, Mills JL, Sr. Effect of postoperative anemia and baseline cardiac risk on serious adverse outcomes after major vascular interventions. *J Vasc Surg* 2017;**66**:1836–1843.
- Cohn SL. The cardiac consult for patients undergoing non-cardiac surgery. *Heart* 2016;**102**:1322–1332.
- Guay J, Choi P, Suresh S, Albert N, Kopp S, Pace NL. Neuraxial blockade for the prevention of postoperative mortality and major morbidity: an overview of Cochrane systematic reviews. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2014;**2014**:CD010108.
- Norderud K, Egholm G, Thim T, Olesen KKW, Madsen M, Jensen LO, et al. Validation of the European Society of Cardiology and European Society of Anaesthesiology non-cardiac surgery risk score in patients treated with coronary drug-eluting stent implantation. *Eur Heart J Qual Care Clin Outcomes* 2019;**5**:22–27.
- Mangano DT. Perioperative medicine: NHLBI working group deliberations and recommendations. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth* 2004;**18**:1–6.
- Glance LG, Lustik SJ, Hannan EL, Osler TM, Mukamel DB, Qian F, et al. The Surgical Mortality Probability Model: derivation and validation of a simple risk prediction rule for noncardiac surgery. *Ann Surg* 2012;**255**:696–702.

19. Muller MD, Lyrer P, Brown MM, Bonati LH. Carotid artery stenting versus endarterectomy for treatment of carotid artery stenosis. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2020;**2**:CD000515.
20. Bendixen M, Jørgensen OD, Kronborg C, Andersen C, Licht PB. Postoperative pain and quality of life after lobectomy via video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery or anterolateral thoracotomy for early stage lung cancer: a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Oncol* 2016;**17**:836–844.
21. Falcoz PE, Puyraveau M, Thomas PA, Decaluwe H, Hürtgen M, Petersen RH, et al. Video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery versus open lobectomy for primary non-small-cell lung cancer: a propensity-matched analysis of outcome from the European Society of Thoracic Surgeon database. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2016;**49**:602–609.
22. Martin D, Mantziari S, Demartines N, Hubner M, Study Group ESA. Defining major surgery: a Delphi consensus among European Surgical Association (ESA) members. *World J Surg* 2020;**44**:2211–2219.
23. Bolliger M, Kroehner JA, Molineux F, Kandioler D, Schindl M, Riss P. Experiences with the standardized classification of surgical complications (Clavien-Dindo) in general surgery patients. *Eur Surg* 2018;**50**:256–261.
24. Björck M, Earnshaw JJ, Acosta S, Bastos Goncalves F, Cochenne F, Debus ES, et al. Editor's choice – European Society for Vascular Surgery (ESVS) 2020 Clinical Practice Guidelines on the management of acute limb ischaemia. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg* 2020;**59**:173–218.
25. Holte K, Kehlet H. Postoperative ileus: a preventable event. *Br J Surg* 2000;**87**:1480–1493.
26. Popescu WM, Bell R, Duffy AJ, Katz KH, Perrino AC. A pilot study of patients with clinically severe obesity undergoing laparoscopic surgery: evidence for impaired cardiac performance. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth* 2011;**25**:943–949.
27. Lestar M, Gunnarsson L, Lagerstrand L, Wiklund P, Odeberg-Werner S. Hemodynamic perturbations during robot-assisted laparoscopic radical prostatectomy in 45° Trendelenburg position. *Anesth Analg* 2011;**113**:1069–1075.
28. Hirvonen EA, Nuutinen LS, Kauko M. Hemodynamic changes due to Trendelenburg positioning and pneumoperitoneum during laparoscopic hysterectomy. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand* 1995;**39**:949–955.
29. Nguyen NT, Wolfe BM. The physiologic effects of pneumoperitoneum in the morbidly obese. *Ann Surg* 2005;**241**:219–226.
30. Keus F, Gooszen HG, van Laarhoven CJ. Open, small-incision, or laparoscopic cholecystectomy for patients with symptomatic cholelithiasis. An overview of Cochrane Hepato-Biliary Group reviews. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2010;**2010**:CD008318.
31. Mamidanna R, Burns EM, Bottle A, Aylin P, Stonell C, Hanna GB, et al. Reduced risk of medical morbidity and mortality in patients selected for laparoscopic colorectal resection in England: a population-based study. *Arch Surg* 2012;**147**:219–227.
32. Cirocchi R, Farinella E, Trastulli S, Sciannameo F, Audisio RA. Elective sigmoid colectomy for diverticular disease. Laparoscopic vs open surgery: a systematic review. *Colorectal Dis* 2012;**14**:671–683.
33. Murr MM, Martin T, Haines K, Torrella T, Dragotti R, Kandil A, et al. A state-wide review of contemporary outcomes of gastric bypass in Florida: does provider volume impact outcomes? *Ann Surg* 2007;**245**:699–706.
34. Grailey K, Markar SR, Karthikesalingam A, Aboud R, Ziprin P, Faiz O. Laparoscopic versus open colorectal resection in the elderly population. *Surg Endosc* 2013;**27**:19–30.
35. Wanhainen A, Verzini F, Van Herzele I, Allaire E, Bown M, Cohnert T, et al. Editor's choice – European Society for Vascular Surgery (ESVS) 2019 Clinical Practice Guidelines on the management of abdominal aorto-iliac artery aneurysms. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg* 2019;**57**:8–93.
36. Powell JT, Sweeting MJ, Ulug P, Blankensteijn JD, Lederle FA, Becquemien JP, et al. Meta-analysis of individual-patient data from EVAR-1, DREAM, OVER and ACE trials comparing outcomes of endovascular or open repair for abdominal aortic aneurysm over 5 years. *Br J Surg* 2017;**104**:166–178.
37. Lederle FA, Kyriakides TC, Stroupe KT, Freischlag JA, Padberg FT, Jr., Matsumura JS, et al. Open versus endovascular repair of abdominal aortic aneurysm. *N Engl J Med* 2019;**380**:2126–2135.
38. Bauer SM, Cayne NS, Veith FJ. New developments in the preoperative evaluation and perioperative management of coronary artery disease in patients undergoing vascular surgery. *J Vasc Surg* 2010;**51**:242–251.
39. Antoniou GA, Chalmers N, Georgiadis GS, Lazarides MK, Antoniou SA, Serracino-Ingloft F, et al. A meta-analysis of endovascular versus surgical reconstruction of femoropopliteal arterial disease. *J Vasc Surg* 2013;**57**:242–253.
40. Visseren FLJ, Mach F, Smulders YM, Carballo D, Koskinas KC, Back M, et al. 2021 ESC Guidelines on cardiovascular disease prevention in clinical practice. *Eur Heart J* 2021;**42**:3227–3337.
41. Botto F, Alonso-Coello P, Chan MT, Villar JC, Xavier D, Srinathan S, et al. Myocardial injury after noncardiac surgery: a large, international, prospective cohort study establishing diagnostic criteria, characteristics, predictors, and 30-day outcomes. *Anesthesiology* 2014;**120**:564–578.
42. Noordzij PG, Boersma E, Bax JJ, Feringa HH, Schreiner F, Schouten O, et al. Prognostic value of routine preoperative electrocardiography in patients undergoing noncardiac surgery. *Am J Cardiol* 2006;**97**:1103–1106.
43. Chivulescu M, Lie Ø, Popescu BA, Skulstad H, Edvardsen T, Jurcut RO, et al. High penetrance and similar disease progression in probands and in family members with arrhythmogenic cardiomyopathy. *Eur Heart J* 2020;**41**:1401–1410.
44. Hallqvist L, Granath F, Bell M. Myocardial infarction after noncardiac surgery in Sweden: a national, retrospective observational cohort study. *Br J Anaesth* 2020;**125**:47–54.
45. Chaudhry W, Cohen MC. Cardiac screening in the noncardiac surgery patient. *Surg Clin North Am* 2017;**97**:717–732.
46. Ford MK, Beattie WS, Wijesundera DN. Systematic review: prediction of perioperative cardiac complications and mortality by the Revised Cardiac Risk Index. *Ann Intern Med* 2010;**152**:26–35.
47. Duceppe E, Parlow J, MacDonald P, Lyons K, McMullen M, Srinathan S, et al. Canadian Cardiovascular Society guidelines on perioperative cardiac risk assessment and management for patients who undergo noncardiac surgery. *Can J Cardiol* 2017;**33**:17–32.
48. Yap MKC, Ang KF, Gonzales-Porcuncula LA, Esposo E. Validation of the American College of Surgeons Risk Calculator for preoperative risk stratification. *Heart Asia* 2018;**10**:e010993.
49. Dakik HA, Chehab O, Eldirani M, Sbeity E, Karam C, Abou Hassan O, et al. A new index for pre-operative cardiovascular evaluation. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2019;**73**:3067–3078.
50. Dakik HA, Sbaity E, Msheik A, Kaspar C, Eldirani M, Chehab O, et al. AUB-HAS2 Cardiovascular Risk Index: performance in surgical subpopulations and comparison to the Revised Cardiac Risk Index. *J Am Heart Assoc* 2020;**9**:e016228.
51. Dakik HA, Eldirani M, Kaspar C, Chehab O, Msheik A, Makki M, et al. Prospective validation of the AUB-HAS2 Cardiovascular Risk Index. *Eur Heart J Qual Care Clin Outcomes* 2022;**8**:96–97.
52. Duceppe E, Patel A, Chan MTV, Berwanger O, Ackland G, Kavsak PA, et al. Preoperative N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide and cardiovascular events after noncardiac surgery: a cohort study. *Ann Intern Med* 2020;**172**:96–104.
53. Weber M, Luchner A, Seeberger M, Mueller C, Liebetrau C, Schlitt A, et al. Incremental value of high-sensitive troponin T in addition to the revised cardiac index for peri-operative risk stratification in non-cardiac surgery. *Eur Heart J* 2013;**34**:853–862.
54. Kueh SH, Pasley T, Wheeler M, Pemberton J. The not so innocent heart murmur: a 5-year experience. *Intern Med J* 2017;**47**:199–205.
55. Douglas PS, Garcia MJ, Haines DE, Lai WW, Manning WJ, Patel AR, et al. ACCF/AHA/ASNC/HFSA/HRS/SCAI/SCCM/SCCT/SCMR 2011 Appropriate Use Criteria for Echocardiography. A Report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation Appropriate Use Criteria Task Force, American Society of Echocardiography, American Heart Association, American Society of Nuclear Cardiology, Heart Failure Society of America, Heart Rhythm Society, Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, Society of Critical Care Medicine, Society of Cardiovascular Computed Tomography, Society for Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance American College of Chest Physicians. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr* 2011;**24**:229–267.
56. Friedman JM, Couso R, Kitchens M, Vakhshori V, Hillin CD, Wu CH, et al. Benign heart murmurs as a predictor for complications following total joint arthroplasty. *J Orthop* 2017;**14**:470–474.
57. Hip Attack Investigators. Accelerated surgery versus standard care in hip fracture (HIP ATTACK): an international, randomised, controlled trial. *Lancet* 2020;**395**:698–708.
58. Cowie B. The preoperative patient with a systolic murmur. *Anesth Pain Med* 2015;**5**:e32105.
59. Attenhofer Jost CH, Turina J, Mayer K, Seifert B, Amann FW, Buechi M, et al. Echocardiography in the evaluation of systolic murmurs of unknown cause. *Am J Med* 2000;**108**:614–620.
60. Kobal SL, Trento L, Baharami S, Tolstrup K, Naqvi TZ, Cercsek B, et al. Comparison of effectiveness of hand-carried ultrasound to bedside cardiovascular physical examination. *Am J Cardiol* 2005;**96**:1002–1006.
61. Abidov A, Rozanski A, Hachamovitch R, Hayes SW, Aboul-Enein F, Cohen I, et al. Prognostic significance of dyspnea in patients referred for cardiac stress testing. *N Engl J Med* 2005;**353**:1889–1898.
62. Cajita MI, Cajita TR, Han HR. Health literacy and heart failure: a systematic review. *J Cardiovasc Nurs* 2016;**31**:121–130.
63. Magnani JW, Mujahid MS, Aronow HD, Cene CW, Dickson VV, Havranek E, et al. Health literacy and cardiovascular disease. Fundamental relevance to primary and secondary prevention: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation* 2018;**138**:e48–e74.
64. Shinkunas LA, Klipowicz CJ, Carlisle EM. Shared decision making in surgery: a scoping review of patient and surgeon preferences. *BMC Med Inform Decis Mak* 2020;**20**:190.

65. de Mik SML, Stubenrouch FE, Balm R, Ubbink DT. Systematic review of shared decision-making in surgery. *Br J Surg* 2018;**105**:1721–1730.
66. Pham C, Lizarondo L, Karnon J, Aromataris E, Munn Z, Gibb C, et al. Strategies for implementing shared decision making in elective surgery by health care practitioners: a systematic review. *J Eval Clin Pract* 2020;**26**:582–601.
67. Niburski K, Guadagno E, Abbasgholizadeh-Rahimi S, Poenaru D. Shared decision making in surgery: a meta-analysis of existing literature. *Patient* 2020;**13**:667–681.
68. Abate SM, Cheko YA, Basu B. Global prevalence and determinants of preoperative anxiety among surgical patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Surg Open* 2020;**25**:6–16.
69. Takagi H, Ando T, Umemoto T, Group ALICE. Perioperative depression or anxiety and postoperative mortality in cardiac surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Heart Vessels* 2017;**32**:1458–1468.
70. Tully PJ, Baker RA. Depression, anxiety, and cardiac morbidity outcomes after coronary artery bypass surgery: a contemporary and practical review. *J Geriatr Cardiol* 2012;**9**:197–208.
71. Rosenberger PH, Jokl P, Ickovics J. Psychosocial factors and surgical outcomes: an evidence-based literature review. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg* 2006;**14**:397–405.
72. Theunissen M, Peters ML, Bruce J, Gramke HF, Marcus MA. Preoperative anxiety and catastrophizing: a systematic review and meta-analysis of the association with chronic postsurgical pain. *Clin J Pain* 2012;**28**:819–841.
73. Szeverenyi C, Kekecs Z, Johnson A, Elkins G, Csernatony Z, Varga K. The use of adjunct psychosocial interventions can decrease postoperative pain and improve the quality of clinical care in orthopedic surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *J Pain* 2018;**19**:1231–1252.
74. Villa G, Lanini I, Amass T, Bocciero V, Scire Calabrisotto C, Chelazzi C, et al. Effects of psychological interventions on anxiety and pain in patients undergoing major elective abdominal surgery: a systematic review. *Perioper Med (Lond)* 2020;**9**:38.
75. Richards SH, Anderson L, Jenkinson CE, Whalley B, Rees K, Davies P, et al. Psychological interventions for coronary heart disease. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2017;**4**:CD002902.
76. Bilimoria KY, Liu Y, Paruch JL, Zhou L, Kmiecik TE, Ko CY, et al. Development and evaluation of the universal ACS NSQIP surgical risk calculator: a decision aid and informed consent tool for patients and surgeons. *J Am Coll Surg* 2013;**217**:833–842.e831–833.
77. Bertges DJ, Goodney PP, Zhao Y, Schanzer A, Nolan BW, Likosky DS, et al. The Vascular Study Group of New England Cardiac Risk Index (VSG-CRI) predicts cardiac complications more accurately than the Revised Cardiac Risk Index in vascular surgery patients. *J Vasc Surg* 2010;**52**:674–683.e1–683.e3.
78. Protopapa KL, Simpson JC, Smith NC, Moonesinghe SR. Development and validation of the Surgical Outcome Risk Tool (SORT). *Br J Surg* 2014;**101**:1774–1783.
79. Wong DJN, Harris S, Sahni A, Bedford JR, Cortes L, Sawyer R, et al. Developing and validating subjective and objective risk-assessment measures for predicting mortality after major surgery: an international prospective cohort study. *PLoS Med* 2020;**17**:e1003253.
80. Gupta PK, Gupta H, Sundaram A, Kaushik M, Fang X, Miller WJ, et al. Development and validation of a risk calculator for prediction of cardiac risk after surgery. *Circulation* 2011;**124**:381–387.
81. Msheik A, Kaspar C, Mailhac A, Hoballah JJ, Tamim H, Dakik HA. Performance of the AUB-HAS2 Cardiovascular Risk Index in vascular surgery patients. *Vasc Med* 2021;**26**:535–541.
82. Glance LG, Faden E, Dutton RP, Lustik SJ, Li Y, Eaton MP, et al. Impact of the choice of risk model for identifying low-risk patients using the 2014 American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Perioperative Guidelines. *Anesthesiology* 2018;**129**:889–900.
83. Robinson TN, Walston JD, Brummel NE, Deiner S, Brown C, Kennedy M, et al. Frailty for surgeons: review of a National Institute on Aging Conference on frailty for specialists. *J Am Coll Surg* 2015;**221**:1083–1092.
84. Richter D, Guasti L, Walker D, Lambrinou E, Lionis C, Abreu A, et al. Frailty in cardiology: definition, assessment and clinical implications for general cardiology. A consensus document of the Council for Cardiology Practice (CCP), Association for Acute Cardio Vascular Care (ACVC), Association of Cardiovascular Nursing and Allied Professions (ACNAP), European Association of Preventive Cardiology (EAPC), European Heart Rhythm Association (EHRA), Council on Valvular Heart Diseases (VHD), Council on Hypertension (CHT), Council of Cardio-Oncology (CCO), Working Group (WG) Aorta and Peripheral Vascular Diseases, WG e-Cardiology, WG Thrombosis, of the European Society of Cardiology, European Primary Care Cardiology Society (EPPCCS). *Eur J Prev Cardiol* 2022;**29**:216–227.
85. Hornor MA, Ma M, Zhou L, Cohen ME, Rosenthal RA, Russell MM, et al. Enhancing the American College of Surgeons NSQIP Surgical Risk Calculator to predict geriatric outcomes. *J Am Coll Surg* 2020;**230**:88–100 e101.
86. Tjeertes EKM, van Fessem JMK, Mattace-Raso FUS, Hoofwijk AGM, Stolker RJ, Hoeks SE. Influence of frailty on outcome in older patients undergoing non-cardiac surgery – a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Aging Dis* 2020;**11**:1276–1290.
87. Mosquera C, Spaniolas K, Fitzgerald TL. Impact of frailty on surgical outcomes: the right patient for the right procedure. *Surgery* 2016;**160**:272–280.
88. Rockwood K, Song X, MacKnight C, Bergman H, Hogan DB, McDowell I, et al. A global clinical measure of fitness and frailty in elderly people. *CMAJ* 2005;**173**:489–495.
89. Fried LP, Tangen CM, Walston J, Newman AB, Hirsch C, Gottdiener J, et al. Frailty in older adults: evidence for a phenotype. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2001;**56**:M146–M156.
90. Alvarez-Nebreda ML, Bentov N, Urman RD, Setia S, Huang JC, Pfeifer K, et al. Recommendations for preoperative management of frailty from the Society for Perioperative Assessment and Quality Improvement (SPAQI). *J Clin Anesth* 2018;**47**:33–42.
91. Grigoryan KV, Javedan H, Rudolph JL. Orthogeriatric care models and outcomes in hip fracture patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Orthop Trauma* 2014;**28**:e49–e55.
92. Borson S, Scanlan JM, Chen P, Ganguli M. The Mini-Cog as a screen for dementia: validation in a population-based sample. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2003;**51**:1451–1454.
93. Biccard BM. Relationship between the inability to climb two flights of stairs and outcome after major non-cardiac surgery: implications for the pre-operative assessment of functional capacity. *Anaesthesia* 2005;**60**:588–593.
94. Lurati Buse GAL, Puelacher C, Menosi Gualandro D, Genini AS, Hidvegi R, Bolliger D, et al. Association between self-reported functional capacity and major adverse cardiac events in patients at elevated risk undergoing noncardiac surgery: a prospective diagnostic cohort study. *Br J Anaesth* 2020;**126**:102–110.
95. Wijesundera DN, Pearse RM, Shulman MA, Abbott TEF, Torres E, Ambosta A, et al. Assessment of functional capacity before major non-cardiac surgery: an international, prospective cohort study. *Lancet* 2018;**391**:2631–2640.
96. Wijesundera DN, Beattie WS, Hillis GS, Abbott TEF, Shulman MA, Ackland GL, et al. Integration of the Duke Activity Status Index into preoperative risk evaluation: a multicentre prospective cohort study. *Br J Anaesth* 2020;**124**:261–270.
97. Jeger RV, Probst C, Arsenic R, Lippuner T, Pfisterer ME, Seeberger MD, et al. Long-term prognostic value of the preoperative 12-lead electrocardiogram before major noncardiac surgery in coronary artery disease. *Am Heart J* 2006;**151**:508–513.
98. Collet JP, Thiele H, Barbo E, Barthelemy O, Bauersachs J, Bhatt DL, et al. 2020 ESC Guidelines for the management of acute coronary syndromes in patients presenting without persistent ST-segment elevation. *Eur Heart J* 2021;**42**:1289–1367.
99. Hindricks G, Potpara T, Dagres N, Arbelo E, Bax JJ, Blomstrom-Lundqvist C, et al. 2020 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of atrial fibrillation developed in collaboration with the European Association for Cardio-Thoracic Surgery (EACTS). *Eur Heart J* 2021;**42**:373–498.
100. Ganguli I, Lupo C, Mainor AJ, Raymond S, Wang Q, Orav EJ, et al. Prevalence and cost of care cascades after low-value preoperative electrocardiogram for cataract surgery in fee-for-service medicare beneficiaries. *JAMA Intern Med* 2019;**179**:1211–1219.
101. Gualandro DM, Puelacher C, LuratiBuse G, Lampart A, Strunz C, Cardozo FA, et al. Comparison of high-sensitivity cardiac troponin I and T for the prediction of cardiac complications after non-cardiac surgery. *Am Heart J* 2018;**203**:67–73.
102. Humble CAS, Huang S, Jammer I, Bjork J, Chew MS. Prognostic performance of preoperative cardiac troponin and perioperative changes in cardiac troponin for the prediction of major adverse cardiac events and mortality in noncardiac surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS One* 2019;**14**:e0215094.
103. Park SJ, Choi JH, Cho SJ, Chang SA, Choi JO, Lee SC, et al. Comparison of trans-thoracic echocardiography with N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide as a tool for risk stratification of patients undergoing major noncardiac surgery. *Korean Circ J* 2011;**41**:505–511.
104. Lee G, Sou SM, Twerenbold R, Reichlin T, Oshima S, Hochgruber T, et al. B-type natriuretic peptide and clinical judgment in the detection of exercise-induced myocardial ischemia. *Am J Med* 2014;**127**:427–435.
105. Walter J, de Lavallaz J dF, Koechlin L, Zimmermann T, Boeddinghaus J, Honegger U, et al. Using high-sensitivity cardiac troponin for the exclusion of inducible myocardial ischemia in symptomatic patients: a cohort study. *Ann Intern Med* 2020;**172**:175–185.
106. Walter JE, Honegger U, Puelacher C, Mueller D, Wagener M, Schaerli N, et al. Prospective validation of a biomarker-based rule out strategy for functionally relevant coronary artery disease. *Clin Chem* 2018;**64**:386–395.
107. Mueller D, Puelacher C, Honegger U, Walter JE, Badertscher P, Schaerli N, et al. Direct comparison of cardiac troponin T and I using a uniform and a sex-specific approach in the detection of functionally relevant coronary artery disease. *Clin Chem* 2018;**64**:1596–1606.
108. Ackland GL, Abbott TEF, Cain D, Edwards MR, Sultan P, Karmali SN, et al. Preoperative systemic inflammation and perioperative myocardial injury: prospective observational multicentre cohort study of patients undergoing non-cardiac surgery. *Br J Anaesth* 2019;**122**:180–187.

109. Writing Committee for the Vision Study Investigators, Devereaux PJ, Biccard BM, Sigamani A, Xavier D, Chan MTV, et al. Association of postoperative high-sensitivity troponin levels with myocardial injury and 30-day mortality among patients undergoing noncardiac surgery. *JAMA* 2017;**317**:1642–1651.
110. Puelacher C, Gualandro DM, Lurati Buse G, Bolliger D, Marbot S, Kindler C, et al. Etiology of peri-operative myocardial infarction/injury after noncardiac surgery and associated outcome. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2020;**76**:1910–1912.
111. Puelacher C, Lurati Buse G, Seeberger D, Sazgary L, Marbot S, Lampart A, et al. Perioperative myocardial injury after noncardiac surgery: incidence, mortality, and characterization. *Circulation* 2018;**137**:1221–1232.
112. Huelsmann M, Neuhold S, Resl M, Strunk G, Brath H, Francesconi C, et al. PONTIAC (NT-proBNP selected prevention of cardiac events in a population of diabetic patients without a history of cardiac disease): a prospective randomized controlled trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2013;**62**:1365–1372.
113. Ledwidge M, Gallagher J, Conlon C, Tallon E, O'Connell E, Dawkins I, et al. Natriuretic peptide-based screening and collaborative care for heart failure: the STOP-HF randomized trial. *JAMA* 2013;**310**:66–74.
114. Mueller C, McDonald K, de Boer RA, Maisel A, Cleland JGF, Kozhuharov N, et al. Heart Failure Association of the European Society of Cardiology practical guidance on the use of natriuretic peptide concentrations. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2019;**21**:715–731.
115. De Hert SG, Lurati Buse GA. Cardiac biomarkers for the prediction and detection of adverse cardiac events after noncardiac surgery: a narrative review. *Anesth Analg* 2020;**131**:187–195.
116. Mauermann E, Bolliger D, Seeberger E, Puelacher C, Corbiere S, Filipovic M, et al. Incremental value of preoperative copeptin for predicting myocardial injury. *Anesth Analg* 2016;**123**:1363–1371.
117. Buse GL, Manns B, Lamy A, Guyatt G, Polanczyk CA, Chan MTV, et al. Troponin T monitoring to detect myocardial injury after noncardiac surgery: a cost-consequence analysis. *Can J Surg* 2018;**61**:185–194.
118. Vascular Events In Noncardiac Surgery Patients Cohort Evaluation Study Investigators, Devereaux PJ, Chan MT, Alonso-Coello P, Walsh M, Berwanger O, et al. Association between postoperative troponin levels and 30-day mortality among patients undergoing noncardiac surgery. *JAMA* 2012;**307**:2295–2304.
119. Mantha S, Foss J, Ellis JE, Roizen MF. Intense cardiac troponin surveillance for long-term benefits is cost-effective in patients undergoing open abdominal aortic surgery: a decision analysis model. *Anesth Analg* 2007;**105**:1346–1356.
120. Levitan EB, Graham LA, Valle JA, Richman JS, Hollis R, Holcomb CN, et al. Pre-operative echocardiography among patients with coronary artery disease in the United States Veterans Affairs healthcare system: a retrospective cohort study. *BMC Cardiovasc Disord* 2016;**16**:173.
121. Chang HY, Chang WT, Liu YW. Application of transthoracic echocardiography in patients receiving intermediate- or high-risk noncardiac surgery. *PLoS One* 2019;**14**:e0215854.
122. Wijeyundera DN, Beattie WS, Karkouti K, Neuman MD, Austin PC, Laupacis A. Association of echocardiography before major elective non-cardiac surgery with postoperative survival and length of hospital stay: population based cohort study. *BMJ* 2011;**342**:d3695.
123. Faris JG, Hartley K, Fuller CM, Langston RB, Royle CF, Veltman MG. Audit of cardiac pathology detection using a criteria-based perioperative echocardiography service. *Anaesth Intensive Care* 2012;**40**:702–709.
124. Steeds RP, Garbi M, Cardim N, Kasprzak JD, Sade E, Nihoyannopoulos P, et al. EACVI appropriateness criteria for the use of transthoracic echocardiography in adults: a report of literature and current practice review. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging* 2017;**18**:1191–1204.
125. Kertai MD, Boersma E, Bax JJ, Heijnenbroek-Kal MH, Hunink MG, L'Talien G J, et al. A meta-analysis comparing the prognostic accuracy of six diagnostic tests for predicting perioperative cardiac risk in patients undergoing major vascular surgery. *Heart* 2003;**89**:1327–1334.
126. Halm EA, Browner WS, Tubau JF, Tateo IM, Mangano DT. Echocardiography for assessing cardiac risk in patients having noncardiac surgery. Study of Perioperative Ischemia Research Group. *Ann Intern Med* 1996;**125**:433–441.
127. Rohde LE, Polanczyk CA, Goldman L, Cook EF, Lee RT, Lee TH. Usefulness of transthoracic echocardiography as a tool for risk stratification of patients undergoing major noncardiac surgery. *Am J Cardiol* 2001;**87**:505–509.
128. Galasko GI, Barnes SC, Collinson P, Lahiri A, Senior R. What is the most cost-effective strategy to screen for left ventricular systolic dysfunction: natriuretic peptides, the electrocardiogram, hand-held echocardiography, traditional echocardiography, or their combination? *Eur Heart J* 2006;**27**:193–200.
129. Cardim N, Dalen H, Voigt JU, Ionescu A, Price S, Neskovic AN, et al. The use of handheld ultrasound devices: a position statement of the European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging (2018 update). *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging* 2019;**20**:245–252.
130. Canty DJ, Royle CF, Kilpatrick D, Bowman L, Royle AG. The impact of focused transthoracic echocardiography in the pre-operative clinic. *Anaesthesia* 2012;**67**:618–625.
131. Heiberg J, El-Ansary D, Canty DJ, Royle AG, Royle CF. Focused echocardiography: a systematic review of diagnostic and clinical decision-making in anaesthesia and critical care. *Anaesthesia* 2016;**71**:1091–1100.
132. Canty DJ, Royle CF. Audit of anaesthetist-performed echocardiography on peri-operative management decisions for non-cardiac surgery. *Br J Anaesth* 2009;**103**:352–358.
133. Canty DJ, Heiberg J, Yang Y, Royle AG, Margale S, Nanjappa N, et al. One-year results of the pilot multicentre randomised trial of preoperative focused cardiac ultrasound in hip fracture surgery. *Anaesth Intensive Care* 2019;**47**:207–208.
134. Matyal R, Hess PE, Subramaniam B, Mitchell J, Panzica PJ, Pomposelli F, et al. Perioperative diastolic dysfunction during vascular surgery and its association with postoperative outcome. *J Vasc Surg* 2009;**50**:70–76.
135. Saito S, Takagi A, Kurokawa F, Ashihara K, Hagiwara N. Usefulness of tissue Doppler echocardiography to predict perioperative cardiac events in patients undergoing noncardiac surgery. *Heart Vessels* 2012;**27**:594–602.
136. Higashi M, Yamaura K, Ikeda M, Shimauchi T, Saiki H, Hoka S. Diastolic dysfunction of the left ventricle is associated with pulmonary edema after renal transplantation. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand* 2013;**57**:1154–1160.
137. Brecher O, Gulati H, Roistacher N, Zhang H, Shi W, Thaler HT, et al. Preoperative echocardiographic indices of diastolic dysfunction and brain natriuretic peptide in predicting postoperative atrial fibrillation after noncardiac surgery. *Anesth Analg* 2017;**124**:1099–1104.
138. Zhou Y, Liu L, Cheng T, Wang DX, Yang HY, Zhang BW, et al. Grade 3 echocardiographic diastolic dysfunction is associated with increased risk of major adverse cardiovascular events after surgery: a retrospective cohort study. *Anesth Analg* 2019;**129**:651–658.
139. Fayad A, Ansari MT, Yang H, Ruddy T, Wells GA. Perioperative diastolic dysfunction in patients undergoing noncardiac surgery is an independent risk factor for cardiovascular events: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Anesthesiology* 2016;**125**:72–91.
140. Willingham M, Ayoubi SA, Doan M, Wingert T, Scovotti J, Howard-Quijano K, et al. Preoperative diastolic dysfunction and postoperative outcomes after noncardiac surgery. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth* 2020;**34**:679–686.
141. Sougawa H, Ino Y, Kitabata H, Tanimoto T, Takahata M, Shimamura K, et al. Impact of left ventricular ejection fraction and preoperative hemoglobin level on perioperative adverse cardiovascular events in noncardiac surgery. *Heart Vessels* 2021;**36**:1317–1326.
142. Hreybe H, Zahid M, Sonel A, Good CB, Shaver J, Saba S. Noncardiac surgery and the risk of death and other cardiovascular events in patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. *Clin Cardiol* 2006;**29**:65–68.
143. Kertai MD, Bountiokos M, Boersma E, Bax JJ, Thomson IR, Sozzi F, et al. Aortic stenosis: an underestimated risk factor for perioperative complications in patients undergoing noncardiac surgery. *Am J Med* 2004;**116**:8–13.
144. Marbach JA, Almuefle A, Di Santo P, Jung R, Simard T, McInnes M, et al. Comparative accuracy of focused cardiac ultrasonography and clinical examination for left ventricular dysfunction and valvular heart disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ann Intern Med* 2019;**171**:264–272.
145. Lurati Buse GAL, Puelacher C, Gualandro DM, Kilinc D, Glarner N, Hidvegi R, et al. Adherence to the European Society of Cardiology/European Society of Anaesthesiology recommendations on preoperative cardiac testing and association with positive results and cardiac events: a cohort study. *Br J Anaesth* 2021;**127**:376–385.
146. Knuuti J, Wijns W, Saraste A, Capodanno D, Barbato E, Funck-Brentano C, et al. 2019 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of chronic coronary syndromes. *Eur Heart J* 2020;**41**:407–477.
147. Wolk MJ, Bailey SR, Doherty JU, Douglas PS, Hendel RC, Kramer CM, et al. ACCF/AHA/ASE/ASNC/HFSA/HRS/SCAI/SCCT/SCMR/STS 2013 multimodality appropriate use criteria for the detection and risk assessment of stable ischemic heart disease: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation Appropriate Use Criteria Task Force, American Heart Association, American Society of Echocardiography, American Society of Nuclear Cardiology, Heart Failure Society of America, Heart Rhythm Society, Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, Society of Cardiovascular Computed Tomography, Society for Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance, and Society of Thoracic Surgeons. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2014;**63**:380–406.
148. Pellikka PA, Arruda-Olson A, Chaudhry FA, Chen MH, Marshall JE, Porter TR, et al. Guidelines for performance, interpretation, and application of stress echocardiography in ischemic heart disease: from the American Society of Echocardiography. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr* 2020;**33**:1–41.e8.
149. Koh AS, Flores JL, Keng FY, Tan RS, Chua TS. Correlation between clinical outcomes and appropriateness grading for referral to myocardial perfusion imaging for preoperative evaluation prior to non-cardiac surgery. *J Nucl Cardiol* 2012;**19**:277–284.
150. Nguyen P, Plotkin J, Fishbein TM, Laurin JM, Satoskar R, Shetty K, et al. Dobutamine stress echocardiography in patients undergoing orthotopic liver transplantation: a

- pooled analysis of accuracy, perioperative and long term cardiovascular prognosis. *Int J Cardiovasc Imaging* 2013;**29**:1741–1748.
151. Shaw LJ, Eagle KA, Gersh BJ, Miller DD. Meta-analysis of intravenous dipyridamole-thallium-201 imaging (1985 to 1994) and dobutamine echocardiography (1991 to 1994) for risk stratification before vascular surgery. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1996;**27**:787–798.
 152. Beattie WS, Abdelnaem E, Wijeysondera DN, Buckley DN. A meta-analytic comparison of preoperative stress echocardiography and nuclear scintigraphy imaging. *Anesth Analg* 2006;**102**:8–16.
 153. Bach DS, Eagle KA. Dobutamine stress echocardiography. Stressing the indications for preoperative testing. *Circulation* 1997;**95**:8–10.
 154. Etchells E, Meade M, Tomlinson G, Cook D. Semiquantitative dipyridamole myocardial stress perfusion imaging for cardiac risk assessment before noncardiac vascular surgery: a meta-analysis. *J Vasc Surg* 2002;**36**:534–540.
 155. Ballal RS, Kapadia S, Secknus MA, Rubin D, Arheart K, Marwick TH. Prognosis of patients with vascular disease after clinical evaluation and dobutamine stress echocardiography. *Am Heart J* 1999;**137**:469–475.
 156. Boersma E, Poldermans D, Bax JJ, Steyerberg EW, Thomson IR, Banga JD, et al. Predictors of cardiac events after major vascular surgery: role of clinical characteristics, dobutamine echocardiography, and beta-blocker therapy. *JAMA* 2001;**285**:1865–1873.
 157. Cullen MW, McCully RB, Widmer RJ, Schroeder DR, Salonen BR, Raslau D, et al. Preoperative dobutamine stress echocardiography and clinical factors for assessment of cardiac risk after noncardiac surgery. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr* 2020;**33**:423–432.
 158. Van Damme H, Pierard L, Gillain D, Benoit T, Rigo P, Limet R. Cardiac risk assessment before vascular surgery: a prospective study comparing clinical evaluation, dobutamine stress echocardiography, and dobutamine Tc-99m sestamibi tomoscintigraphy. *Cardiovasc Surg* 1997;**5**:54–64.
 159. Metz LD, Beattie M, Hom R, Redberg RF, Grady D, Fleischmann KE. The prognostic value of normal exercise myocardial perfusion imaging and exercise echocardiography: a meta-analysis. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2007;**49**:227–237.
 160. Cohen MC, Siewers AE, Dickens JD, Jr., Hill T, Muller JE. Perioperative and long-term prognostic value of dipyridamole Tc-99m sestamibi myocardial tomography in patients evaluated for elective vascular surgery. *J Nucl Cardiol* 2003;**10**:464–472.
 161. Dowsley TF, Sheth T, Chow BJW. Complementary pre-operative risk assessment using coronary computed tomography angiography and nuclear myocardial perfusion imaging in non-cardiac surgery: a VISION-CTA sub-study. *J Nucl Cardiol* 2020;**27**:1331–1337.
 162. Das MK, Pellikka PA, Mahoney DW, Roger VL, Oh JK, McCully RB, et al. Assessment of cardiac risk before nonvascular surgery: dobutamine stress echocardiography in 530 patients. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2000;**35**:1647–1653.
 163. Torres MR, Short L, Baglin T, Case C, Gibbs H, Marwick TH. Usefulness of clinical risk markers and ischemic threshold to stratify risk in patients undergoing major noncardiac surgery. *Am J Cardiol* 2002;**90**:238–242.
 164. Labib SB, Goldstein M, Kinnunen PM, Schick EC. Cardiac events in patients with negative maximal versus negative submaximal dobutamine echocardiograms undergoing noncardiac surgery: importance of resting wall motion abnormalities. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2004;**44**:82–87.
 165. Lancellotti P, Pellikka PA, Budts V, Chaudhry FA, Donal E, Dulgheru R, et al. The clinical use of stress echocardiography in non-ischaeamic heart disease: recommendations from the European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging and the American Society of Echocardiography. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging* 2016;**17**:1191–1229.
 166. Nandalur KR, Dwamena BA, Choudhri AF, Nandalur MR, Carlos RC. Diagnostic performance of stress cardiac magnetic resonance imaging in the detection of coronary artery disease: a meta-analysis. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2007;**50**:1343–1353.
 167. Vavere AL, Arbab-Zadeh A, Rochitte CE, Dewey M, Niinuma H, Gottlieb I, et al. Coronary artery stenoses: accuracy of 64-detector row CT angiography in segments with mild, moderate, or severe calcification – a subanalysis of the CORE-64 trial. *Radiology* 2011;**261**:100–108.
 168. Sheth T, Chan M, Butler C, Chow B, Tandon V, Nagele P, et al. Prognostic capabilities of coronary computed tomographic angiography before non-cardiac surgery: prospective cohort study. *BMJ* 2015;**350**:h1907.
 169. Krievins D, Zellans E, Latkovskis G, Jegere S, Kumsars I, Kaufmanis K, et al. Diagnosis and management of silent coronary ischemia in patients undergoing carotid endarterectomy. *J Vasc Surg* 2021;**73**:533–541.
 170. Krievins D, Zellans E, Latkovskis G, Erglis A, Zvaigzne L, Kumsars I, et al. Pre-operative diagnosis of silent coronary ischaemia may reduce post-operative death and myocardial infarction and improve survival of patients undergoing lower extremity surgical revascularisation. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg* 2020;**60**:411–420.
 171. Ibanez B, James S, Agewall S, Antunes MJ, Bucciarelli-Ducci C, Bueno H, et al. 2017 ESC Guidelines for the management of acute myocardial infarction in patients presenting with ST-segment elevation: the Task Force for the management of acute myocardial infarction in patients presenting with ST-segment elevation of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). *Eur Heart J* 2018;**39**:119–177.
 172. Illuminati G, Ricco JB, Greco C, Mangieri E, Calio F, Ceccanei G, et al. Systematic preoperative coronary angiography and stenting improves postoperative results of carotid endarterectomy in patients with asymptomatic coronary artery disease: a randomised controlled trial. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg* 2010;**39**:139–145.
 173. Sorensen LT. Wound healing and infection in surgery. The clinical impact of smoking and smoking cessation: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Arch Surg* 2012;**147**:373–383.
 174. Gourgjotis S, Aloizos S, Aravosita P, Mystakelli C, Isaia EC, Gakis C, et al. The effects of tobacco smoking on the incidence and risk of intraoperative and postoperative complications in adults. *Surgeon* 2011;**9**:225–232.
 175. World Health Organization. WHO Tobacco and postsurgical outcomes. <https://apps.who.int/iris/rest/bitstreams/1265388/retrieve>.
 176. Mills E, Eyawo O, Lockhart I, Kelly S, Wu P, Ebbert JO. Smoking cessation reduces postoperative complications: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Am J Med* 2011;**124**:144–154.e8.
 177. Lee SM, Landry J, Jones PM, Buhrmann O, Morley-Forster P. Long-term quit rates after a perioperative smoking cessation randomized controlled trial. *Anesth Analg* 2015;**120**:582–587.
 178. Wong J, Abrishami A, Riaz S, Siddiqui N, You-Ten E, Korman J, et al. A perioperative smoking cessation intervention with varenicline, counseling, and fax referral to a telephone quitline versus a brief intervention: a randomized controlled trial. *Anesth Analg* 2017;**125**:571–579.
 179. Cavalheri V, Granger C. Preoperative exercise training for patients with non-small cell lung cancer. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2017;**6**:CD012020.
 180. Tew GA, Ayyash R, Durrand J, Danjoux GR. Clinical guideline and recommendations on pre-operative exercise training in patients awaiting major non-cardiac surgery. *Anaesthesia* 2018;**73**:750–768.
 181. Musallam KM, Rosendaal FR, Zaatari G, Sowaid A, Hoballah JJ, Sfeir PM, et al. Smoking and the risk of mortality and vascular and respiratory events in patients undergoing major surgery. *JAMA Surg* 2013;**148**:755–762.
 182. Turan A, Mascha EJ, Roberman D, Turner PL, You J, Kurz A, et al. Smoking and perioperative outcomes. *Anesthesiology* 2011;**114**:837–846.
 183. Im C, Oh TK, Song IA. Association between use of preoperative antihypertensive medication and 90-day mortality after noncardiac surgery: a retrospective cohort study. *Am J Hypertens* 2020;**33**:534–542.
 184. Clemente-Moragon A, Gomez M, Villena-Gutierrez R, Lalama DV, Garcia-Prieto J, Martinez F, et al. Metoprolol exerts a non-class effect against ischaemia-reperfusion injury by abrogating exacerbated inflammation. *Eur Heart J* 2020;**41**:4425–4440.
 185. POISE Study Group, Devereaux PJ, Yang H, Yusuf S, Guyatt G, Leslie K, et al. Effects of extended-release metoprolol succinate in patients undergoing non-cardiac surgery (POISE trial): a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2008;**371**:1839–1847.
 186. Alonso-Coello P, Paniagua P, Mizera R, Devereaux PJ. Should physicians initiate beta-blocker therapy in patients undergoing non-cardiac surgery? Insights from the POISE trial. *Pol Arch Med Wewn* 2008;**118**:616–618.
 187. Blessberger H, Lewis SR, Pritchard MW, Fawcett LJ, Domanovits H, Schlager O, et al. Perioperative beta-blockers for preventing surgery-related mortality and morbidity in adults undergoing non-cardiac surgery. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2019;**9**:CD013438.
 188. Friedell ML, Van Way CW, 3rd, Freyberg RW, Almenoff PL. Beta-blockade and operative mortality in noncardiac surgery: harmful or helpful? *JAMA Surg* 2015;**150**:658–663.
 189. Wijeysondera DN, Duncan D, Nkonde-Price C, Virani SS, Washam JB, Fleischmann KE, et al. Perioperative beta blockade in noncardiac surgery: a systematic review for the 2014 ACC/AHA guideline on perioperative cardiovascular evaluation and management of patients undergoing noncardiac surgery: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on practice guidelines. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2014;**64**:2406–2425.
 190. London MJ, Hur K, Schwartz GG, Henderson WG. Association of perioperative beta-blockade with mortality and cardiovascular morbidity following major noncardiac surgery. *JAMA* 2013;**309**:1704–1713.
 191. Angeli F, Verdecchia P, Karthikeyan G, Mazzotta G, Gentile G, Reboldi G. β -Blockers reduce mortality in patients undergoing high-risk non-cardiac surgery. *Am J Cardiovasc Drugs* 2010;**10**:247–259.
 192. Lindenauer PK, Pekow P, Wang K, Mamidi DK, Gutierrez B, Benjamin EM. Perioperative beta-blocker therapy and mortality after major noncardiac surgery. *N Engl J Med* 2005;**353**:349–361.
 193. Wallace AW, Au S, Cason BA. Perioperative beta-blockade: atenolol is associated with reduced mortality when compared to metoprolol. *Anesthesiology* 2011;**114**:824–836.
 194. Redelmeier D, Scales D, Kopp A. Beta blockers for elective surgery in elderly patients: population based, retrospective cohort study. *BMJ* 2005;**331**:932.
 195. Ashes C, Judelman S, Wijeysondera DN, Tait G, Mazer CD, Hare GM, et al. Selective beta1-antagonism with bisoprolol is associated with fewer postoperative

- strokes than atenolol or metoprolol: a single-center cohort study of 44,092 consecutive patients. *Anesthesiology* 2013;**119**:777–787.
196. Shammash JB, Trost JC, Gold JM, Berlin JA, Golden MA, Kimmel SE. Perioperative beta-blocker withdrawal and mortality in vascular surgical patients. *Am Heart J* 2001;**141**:148–153.
 197. Wallace AW, Au S, Cason BA. Association of the pattern of use of perioperative beta-blockade and postoperative mortality. *Anesthesiology* 2010;**113**:794–805.
 198. Kwon S, Thompson R, Florence M, Maier R, McIntyre L, Rogers T, et al. Beta-blocker continuation after noncardiac surgery: a report from the surgical care and outcomes assessment program. *Arch Surg* 2012;**147**:467–473.
 199. Kertai MD, Cooter B, Pollard RJ, Buhman W, Aronson S, Mathew JP, et al. Is Compliance With Surgical Care Improvement Project Cardiac (SCIP-Card-2) measures for perioperative beta-blockers associated with reduced incidence of mortality and cardiovascular-related critical quality indicators after noncardiac surgery? *Anesth Analg* 2018;**126**:1829–1838.
 200. Longrois D, Couffignal C, Ait-Hamou N, Amour J, Chollet B, Dilly M, et al. Indispensable optimisation de la réintroduction des bêtabloquants après chirurgie cardiaque. Résultats de l'étude Bêtabloquants Avant et Après Chirurgie Cardiaque (BBAACC). *Anesthésie & Réanimation* 2015;**1**:A248.
 201. Oesterle A, Weber B, Tung R, Choudhry NK, Singh JP, Upadhyay GA. Preventing postoperative atrial fibrillation after noncardiac surgery: a meta-analysis. *Am J Med* 2018;**131**:795–804 e795.
 202. Aoki Y, Kawasaki Y, Ide K, Shimizu Y, Sato S, Yokoyama J. Landiolol hydrochloride for prevention of atrial fibrillation during esophagectomy: a randomized controlled trial. *JA Clin Rep* 2020;**6**:34.
 203. Aoyama H, Otsuka Y, Aoyama Y. Landiolol infusion during general anesthesia does not prevent postoperative atrial fibrillation in patients undergoing lung resection. *Gen Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2016;**64**:735–741.
 204. Ojima T, Nakamori M, Nakamura M, Katsuda M, Hayata K, Kato T, et al. Randomized clinical trial of landiolol hydrochloride for the prevention of atrial fibrillation and postoperative complications after oesophagectomy for cancer. *Br J Surg* 2017;**104**:1003–1009.
 205. Horikoshi Y, Goyagi T, Kudo R, Kodama S, Horiguchi T, Nishikawa T. The suppressive effects of landiolol administration on the occurrence of postoperative atrial fibrillation and tachycardia, and plasma IL-6 elevation in patients undergoing esophageal surgery: a randomized controlled clinical trial. *J Clin Anesth* 2017;**38**:111–116.
 206. O'Brien B, Burrage PS, Ngai JY, Prutkin JM, Huang CC, Xu X, et al. Society of Cardiovascular Anesthesiologists/European Association of Cardiothoracic Anaesthetists Practice Advisory for the management of perioperative atrial fibrillation in patients undergoing cardiac surgery. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth* 2019;**33**:12–26.
 207. Zhu J, Wang C, Gao D, Zhang C, Zhang Y, Lu Y, et al. Meta-analysis of amiodarone versus beta-blocker as a prophylactic therapy against atrial fibrillation following cardiac surgery. *Intern Med* 2012;**42**:1078–1087.
 208. Auer J, Weber T, Berent R, Puschmann R, Hartl P, Ng CK, et al. A comparison between oral antiarrhythmic drugs in the prevention of atrial fibrillation after cardiac surgery: the pilot Study of Prevention of Postoperative Atrial Fibrillation (SPPAF), a randomized, placebo-controlled trial. *Am Heart J* 2004;**147**:636–643.
 209. London MJ, Schwartz GG, Hur K, Henderson WG. Association of perioperative statin use with mortality and morbidity after major noncardiac surgery. *JAMA Intern Med* 2017;**177**:231–242.
 210. Lindenaier PK, Pekow P, Wang K, Gutierrez B, Benjamin EM. Lipid-lowering therapy and in-hospital mortality following major noncardiac surgery. *JAMA* 2004;**291**:2092–2099.
 211. Berwanger O, de Barros ESPG, Barbosa RR, Precoma DB, Figueiredo EL, Hajjar LA, et al. Atorvastatin for high-risk statin-naïve patients undergoing noncardiac surgery: the Lowering the Risk of Operative Complications Using Atorvastatin Loading Dose (LOAD) randomized trial. *Am Heart J* 2017;**184**:88–96.
 212. Sanders RD, Nicholson A, Lewis SR, Smith AF, Alderson P. Perioperative statin therapy for improving outcomes during and after noncardiac vascular surgery. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2013;**7**:CD009971.
 213. Putzu A, de Carvalho ESC, de Almeida JP, Belletti A, Cassina T, Landoni G, et al. Perioperative statin therapy in cardiac and non-cardiac surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Ann Intensive Care* 2018;**8**:95.
 214. Wesselink EM, Kappen TH, Torn HM, Slooter AJC, van Klei WA. Intraoperative hypotension and the risk of postoperative adverse outcomes: a systematic review. *Br J Anaesth* 2018;**121**:706–721.
 215. Shiffermiller JF, Monson BJ, Vokoun CW, Beachy MW, Smith MP, Sullivan JN, et al. Prospective Randomized Evaluation of Preoperative Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme Inhibition (PREOP-ACEI). *J Hosp Med* 2018;**13**:661–667.
 216. Roshanov PS, Rochweg B, Patel A, Salehian O, Duceppe E, Belley-Cote EP, et al. Withholding versus continuing angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors or angiotensin II receptor blockers before noncardiac surgery: an analysis of the Vascular events In noncardiac Surgery patients cOhort evaluationN Prospective Cohort. *Anesthesiology* 2017;**126**:16–27.
 217. Hollmann C, Fernandes NL, Biccard BM. A systematic review of outcomes associated with withholding or continuing angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors and angiotensin receptor blockers before noncardiac surgery. *Anesth Analg* 2018;**127**:678–687.
 218. McMurray JJ, Packer M, Desai AS, Gong J, Lefkowitz MP, Rizkala AR, et al. Angiotensin-neprilysin inhibition versus enalapril in heart failure. *N Engl J Med* 2014;**371**:993–1004.
 219. Legrand M, Futier E, Leone M, Deniau B, Mebazaa A, Plaud B, et al. Impact of renin-angiotensin system inhibitors continuation versus discontinuation on outcome after major surgery; protocol of a multicenter randomized, controlled trial (STOP-or-NOT trial). *Trials* 2019;**20**:1–7.
 220. Wijesundera DN, Beattie WS. Calcium channel blockers for reducing cardiac morbidity after noncardiac surgery: a meta-analysis. *Anesth Analg* 2003;**97**:634–641.
 221. Kertai MD, Westerhout CM, Varga KS, Acsady G, Gal J. Dihydropyridine calcium-channel blockers and perioperative mortality in aortic aneurysm surgery. *Br J Anaesth* 2008;**101**:458–465.
 222. Oliver MF, Goldman L, Julian DG, Holme I. Effect of mivazerol on perioperative cardiac complications during non-cardiac surgery in patients with coronary heart disease: the European Mivazerol Trial (EMIT). *Anesthesiology* 1999;**91**:951–961.
 223. Devereaux PJ, Sessler DI, Leslie K, Kurz A, Mrkobrada M, Alonso-Coeillo P, et al. Clonidine in patients undergoing noncardiac surgery. *N Engl J Med* 2014;**370**:1504–1513.
 224. Hager B, Betschart M, Krapf R. Effect of postoperative intravenous loop diuretic on renal function after major surgery. *Schweiz Med Wochenschr* 1996;**126**:666–673.
 225. Arora P, Pourafkari L, Visnjevic O, Anand EJ, Porhomayon J, Nader ND. Preoperative serum potassium predicts the clinical outcome after non-cardiac surgery. *Clin Chem Lab Med* 2017;**55**:145–153.
 226. Tagawa M, Ogata A, Hamano T. Pre- and/or intra-operative prescription of diuretics, but not renin-angiotensin-system inhibitors, is significantly associated with acute kidney injury after non-cardiac surgery: a retrospective cohort study. *PLoS One* 2015;**10**:e0132507.
 227. Lo Sapio P, Gensini GF, Bevilacqua S, Chiti E, Paperetti L, Pratesi C, et al. The role of ivabradine in the incidence of perioperative coronary complications in patients undergoing vascular surgery. *Int J Cardiol* 2013;**168**:4352–4353.
 228. Thiruvengatarajan V, Meyer EJ, Nanjappa N, Van Wijck RM, Jesudason D. Perioperative diabetic ketoacidosis associated with sodium-glucose co-transporter-2 inhibitors: a systematic review. *Br J Anaesth* 2019;**123**:27–36.
 229. Patoulias D, Manafis A, Mitas C, Avranas K, Lales G, Zografou I, et al. Sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors and the risk of diabetic ketoacidosis; from pathophysiology to clinical practice. *Cardiovasc Hematol Disord Drug Targets* 2018;**18**:139–146.
 230. Mangano DT, Layug EL, Wallace A, Tateo I. Effect of atenolol on mortality and cardiovascular morbidity after noncardiac surgery. Multicenter Study of Perioperative Ischemia Research Group. *N Engl J Med* 1996;**335**:1713–1720.
 231. McGory ML, Maggard MA, Ko CY. A meta-analysis of perioperative beta blockade: what is the actual risk reduction? *Surgery* 2005;**138**:171–179.
 232. Wallace A, Layug B, Tateo I, Li J, Hollenberg M, Browner W, et al. Prophylactic atenolol reduces postoperative myocardial ischemia. McSPI Research Group. *Anesthesiology* 1998;**88**:7–17.
 233. Bouri S, Shun-Shin MJ, Cole GD, Mayet J, Francis DP. Meta-analysis of secure randomised controlled trials of beta-blockade to prevent perioperative death in non-cardiac surgery. *Heart* 2014;**100**:456–464.
 234. Hajibandeh S, Hajibandeh S, Antoniou SA, Torella F, Antoniou GA. Effect of beta-blockers on perioperative outcomes in vascular and endovascular surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Br J Anaesth* 2017;**118**:11–21.
 235. Xia J, Qu Y, Yin C, Xu D. Preoperative rosuvastatin protects patients with coronary artery disease undergoing noncardiac surgery. *Cardiology* 2015;**131**:30–37.
 236. McEvoy MD, Gupta R, Koepke EJ, Feldheiser A, Richard F, Levett D, et al. Perioperative Quality Initiative Consensus Statement on postoperative blood pressure, risk and outcomes for elective surgery. *Br J Anaesth* 2019;**122**:575–586.
 237. Ellenberger C, Tait G, Beattie WS. Chronic beta blockade is associated with a better outcome after elective noncardiac surgery than acute beta blockade: a single-center propensity-matched cohort study. *Anesthesiology* 2011;**114**:817–823.
 238. Zaugg M, Bestmann L, Wacker J, Lucchinetti E, Boltres A, Schulz C, et al. Adrenergic receptor genotype but not perioperative bisoprolol therapy may determine cardiovascular outcome in at-risk patients undergoing surgery with spinal block: the Swiss Beta Blocker in Spinal Anesthesia (BBSA) study: a double-blinded, placebo-controlled, multicenter trial with 1-year follow-up. *Anesthesiology* 2007;**107**:33–44.
 239. Faloye AO, Gebre MA, Bechtel AJ. Predicting cardiac risk in noncardiac surgery: a narrative review. *J Anesth* 2021;**35**:122–129.

240. Steffel J, Collins R, Antz M, Cornu P, Desteghe L, Haeusler KG, et al. 2021 European Heart Rhythm Association Practical Guide on the use of non-vitamin K antagonist oral anticoagulants in patients with atrial fibrillation. *Europace* 2021;**23**:1612–1676.
241. Zheng SL, Roddick AJ. Association of aspirin use for primary prevention with cardiovascular events and bleeding events: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA* 2019;**321**:277–287.
242. Piepoli MF, Hoes AW, Agewall S, Albus C, Brotons C, Catapano AL, et al. 2016 European Guidelines on cardiovascular disease prevention in clinical practice: the Sixth Joint Task Force of the European Society of Cardiology and Other Societies on Cardiovascular Disease Prevention in Clinical Practice (constituted by representatives of 10 societies and by invited experts) developed with the special contribution of the European Association for Cardiovascular Prevention & Rehabilitation (EACPR). *Eur Heart J* 2016;**37**:2315–2381.
243. Devereaux PJ, Mrkocbrada M, Sessler DI, Leslie K, Alonso-Coello P, Kurz A, et al. Aspirin in patients undergoing noncardiac surgery. *N Engl J Med* 2014;**370**:1494–1503.
244. Graham MM, Sessler DI, Parlow JL, Biccara BM, Guyatt G, Leslie K, et al. Aspirin in patients with previous percutaneous coronary intervention undergoing noncardiac surgery. *Ann Intern Med* 2018;**168**:237–244.
245. Vahanian A, Beyersdorf F, Praz F, Milojevic M, Baldus S, Bauersachs J, et al. 2021 ESC/EACTS Guidelines for the management of valvular heart disease. *Eur Heart J* 2022;**43**:561–632.
246. Brouwer J, Nijenhuis VJ, Delewi R, Hermanides RS, Holvoet W, Dubois CLF, et al. Aspirin with or without clopidogrel after transcatheter aortic-valve implantation. *N Engl J Med* 2020;**383**:1447–1457.
247. Koo BK, Kang J, Park KW, Rhee TM, Yang HM, Won KB, et al. Aspirin versus clopidogrel for chronic maintenance monotherapy after percutaneous coronary intervention (HOST-EXAM): an investigator-initiated, prospective, randomised, open-label, multicentre trial. *Lancet* 2021;**397**:2487–2496.
248. Mehran R, Baber U, Sharma SK, Cohen DJ, Angiolillo DJ, Briguori C, et al. Ticagrelor with or without aspirin in high-risk patients after PCI. *N Engl J Med* 2019;**381**:2032–2042.
249. Vranckx P, Valgimigli M, Juni P, Hamm C, Steg PG, Heg D, et al. Ticagrelor plus aspirin for 1 month, followed by ticagrelor monotherapy for 23 months vs aspirin plus clopidogrel or ticagrelor for 12 months, followed by aspirin monotherapy for 12 months after implantation of a drug-eluting stent: a multicentre, open-label, randomised superiority trial. *Lancet* 2018;**392**:940–949.
250. Watanabe H, Domei T, Morimoto T, Natsuaki M, Shiomi H, Toyota T, et al. Effect of 1-month dual antiplatelet therapy followed by clopidogrel vs 12-month dual antiplatelet therapy on cardiovascular and bleeding events in patients receiving PCI: the STOPDAPT-2 randomized clinical trial. *JAMA* 2019;**321**:2414–2427.
251. Berger PB, Kleiman NS, Pencina MJ, Hsieh WH, Steinhilb SR, Jeremias A, et al. Frequency of major noncardiac surgery and subsequent adverse events in the year after drug-eluting stent placement results from the EVENT (Evaluation of Drug-Eluting Stents and Ischemic Events) Registry. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv* 2010;**3**:920–927.
252. Saia F, Belotti LMB, Guastaroba P, Berardini A, Rossini R, Musumeci G, et al. Risk of adverse cardiac and bleeding events following cardiac and noncardiac surgery in patients with coronary stent: how important is the interplay between stent type and time from stenting to surgery? *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes* 2016;**9**:39–47.
253. Huber KC, Evans MA, Bresnahan JF, Gibbons RJ, Holmes DR, Jr. Outcome of noncardiac operations in patients with severe coronary artery disease successfully treated preoperatively with coronary angioplasty. *Mayo Clin Proc* 1992;**67**:15–21.
254. Egholm G, Kristensen SD, Thim T, Olesen KK, Madsen M, Jensen SE, et al. Risk associated with surgery within 12 months after coronary drug-eluting stent implantation. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2016;**68**:2622–2632.
255. Holcomb CN, Graham LA, Richman JS, Itani KMF, Maddox TM, Hawn MT. The incremental risk of coronary stents on postoperative adverse events: a matched cohort study. *Ann Surg* 2016;**263**:924–930.
256. Mahmoud KD, Sanon S, Habermann EB, Lennon RJ, Thomsen KM, Wood DL, et al. Perioperative cardiovascular risk of prior coronary stent implantation among patients undergoing noncardiac surgery. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2016;**67**:1038–1049.
257. Holcomb CN, Hollis RH, Graham LA, Richman JS, Valle JA, Itani KM, et al. Association of coronary stent indication with postoperative outcomes following noncardiac surgery. *JAMA Surg* 2016;**151**:462.
258. Rodriguez A, Guilera N, Mases A, Sierra P, Oliva JC, Colilles C, et al. Management of antiplatelet therapy in patients with coronary stents undergoing noncardiac surgery: association with adverse events. *Br J Anaesth* 2018;**120**:67–76.
259. Armstrong EJ, Graham L, Waldo SW, Valle JA, Maddox TM, Hawn MT. Patient and lesion-specific characteristics predict risk of major adverse cardiovascular events among patients with previous percutaneous coronary intervention undergoing noncardiac surgery. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv* 2017;**89**:617–627.
260. Siller-Matula JM, Petre A, Delle-Karh G, Huber K, Ay C, Lordkipanidze M, et al. Impact of preoperative use of P2Y12 receptor inhibitors on clinical outcomes in cardiac and non-cardiac surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur Heart J Acute Cardiovasc Care* 2017;**6**:753–770.
261. Mehran R, Baber U, Steg PG, Ariti C, Weisz G, Witzenbichler B, et al. Cessation of dual antiplatelet treatment and cardiac events after percutaneous coronary intervention (PARIS): 2 year results from a prospective observational study. *Lancet* 2013;**382**:1714–1722.
262. So DYF, Wells GA, Lordkipanidze M, Ruel M, Perrault LP, Yeong Chong A, et al. A randomized study of early vs. delayed coronary artery bypass surgery among patients with acute coronary syndromes treated with ticagrelor: the RAPID CABG study. http://clinicaltrialsresults.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/11/So_RAPID_CABG.pdf.
263. Gurbel PA, Bliden KP, Butler K, Tantry US, Gesheff T, Wei C, et al. Randomized double-blind assessment of the ONSET and OFFSET of the antiplatelet effects of ticagrelor versus clopidogrel in patients with stable coronary artery disease: the ONSET/OFFSET study. *Circulation* 2009;**120**:2577–2585.
264. Valgimigli M, Bueno H, Byrne RA, Collet JP, Costa F, Jeppsson A, et al. 2017 ESC focused update on dual antiplatelet therapy in coronary artery disease developed in collaboration with EACTS: the Task Force for dual antiplatelet therapy in coronary artery disease of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) and of the European Association for Cardio-Thoracic Surgery (EACTS). *Eur Heart J* 2018;**39**:213–260.
265. Valgimigli M, Frigoli E, Heg D, Tijssen J, Juni P, Vranckx P, et al. Dual antiplatelet therapy after PCI in patients at high bleeding risk. *N Engl J Med* 2021;**385**:1643–1655.
266. Urban P, Meredith IT, Abizaid A, Pocock SJ, Carrie D, Naber C, et al. Polymer-free drug-coated coronary stents in patients at high bleeding risk. *N Engl J Med* 2015;**373**:2038–2047.
267. Windecker S, Latib A, Kedhi E, Kirtane AJ, Kandzari DE, Mehran R, et al. Polymer-based or polymer-free stents in patients at high bleeding risk. *N Engl J Med* 2020;**382**:1208–1218.
268. Neumann FJ, Sousa-Uva M, Ahlsson A, Alfonso F, Banning AP, Benedetto U, et al. 2018 ESC/EACTS Guidelines on myocardial revascularization. *Eur Heart J* 2019;**40**:87–165.
269. Watanabe H, Morimoto T, Natsuaki M, Yamamoto K, Obayashi Y, Ogita M, et al. Comparison of Clopidogrel Monotherapy After 1 to 2 Months of Dual Antiplatelet Therapy with 12 Months of Dual Antiplatelet Therapy in Patients With Acute Coronary Syndrome: The STOPDAPT-2 ACS Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA Cardiol* 2022;**7**:407–417.
270. Kim BK, Hong MK, Shin DH, Nam CM, Kim JS, Ko YG, et al. A new strategy for discontinuation of dual antiplatelet therapy: the RESET Trial (REal Safety and Efficacy of 3-month dual antiplatelet Therapy following Endeavor zotarolimus-eluting stent implantation). *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2012;**60**:1340–1348.
271. Feres F, Costa RA, Abizaid A, Leon MB, Marin-Neto JA, Botelho RV, et al. Three vs twelve months of dual antiplatelet therapy after zotarolimus-eluting stents: the OPTIMIZE randomized trial. *JAMA* 2013;**310**:2510–2522.
272. Schulz-Schupke S, Byrne RA, Ten Berg JM, Neumann FJ, Han Y, Adriaenssens T, et al. ISAR-SAFE: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of 6 vs. 12 months of clopidogrel therapy after drug-eluting stenting. *Eur Heart J* 2015;**36**:1252–1263.
273. Gwon HC, Hahn JY, Park KW, Song YB, Chae IH, Lim DS, et al. Six-month versus 12-month dual antiplatelet therapy after implantation of drug-eluting stents: the Efficacy of Xience/Promus Versus Cypher to Reduce Late Loss After Stenting (EXCELLENT) randomized, multicenter study. *Circulation* 2012;**125**:505–513.
274. Valgimigli M, Campo G, Monti M, Vranckx P, Percoco G, Tumscitz C, et al. Short-versus long-term duration of dual-antiplatelet therapy after coronary stenting: a randomized multicenter trial. *Circulation* 2012;**125**:2015–2026.
275. Han Y, Xu B, Xu K, Guan C, Jing Q, Zheng Q, et al. Six versus 12 months of dual antiplatelet therapy after implantation of biodegradable polymer sirolimus-eluting stent: randomized substudy of the I-LOVE-IT 2 Trial. *Circ Cardiovasc Interv* 2016;**9**:e003145.
276. Hong SJ, Shin DH, Kim JS, Kim BK, Ko YG, Choi D, et al. 6-Month versus 12-month dual-antiplatelet therapy following long everolimus-eluting stent implantation: the IVUS-XPL randomized clinical trial. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv* 2016;**9**:1438–1446.
277. Hahn JY, Song YB, Oh JH, Cho DK, Lee JB, Doh JH, et al. 6-month versus 12-month or longer dual antiplatelet therapy after percutaneous coronary intervention in patients with acute coronary syndrome (SMART-DATE): a randomised, open-label, non-inferiority trial. *Lancet* 2018;**391**:1274–1284.
278. Kedhi E, Fabris E, van der Ent M, Buszman P, von Birgelen C, Roolink V, et al. Six months versus 12 months dual antiplatelet therapy after drug-eluting stent implantation in ST-elevation myocardial infarction (DAPT-STEMI): randomised, multicentre, non-inferiority trial. *BMJ* 2018;**363**:k3793.
279. Wallentin L, Becker RC, Budaj A, Cannon CP, Emanuelsson H, Held C, et al. Ticagrelor versus clopidogrel in patients with acute coronary syndromes. *N Engl J Med* 2009;**361**:1045–1057.

280. Wiviott SD, Braunwald E, McCabe CH, Montalescot G, Ruzyllo W, Gottlieb S, et al. Prasugrel versus clopidogrel in patients with acute coronary syndromes. *N Engl J Med* 2007;**357**:2001–2015.
281. Yusuf S, Zhao F, Mehta SR, Chrolavicius S, Tognoni G, Fox KK, et al. Effects of clopidogrel in addition to aspirin in patients with acute coronary syndromes without ST-segment elevation. *N Engl J Med* 2001;**345**:494–502.
282. Sullivan AE, Nanna MG, Wang TY, Bhatt DL, Angiolillo DJ, Mehran R, et al. Bridging antiplatelet therapy after percutaneous coronary intervention: JACC review topic of the week. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2021;**78**:1550–1563.
283. Angheloiu GO, Gugiu GB, Ruse C, Pandey R, Dasari RR, Whatling C. Ticagrelor removal from human blood. *JACC Basic Transl Sci* 2017;**2**:135–145.
284. Bhatt DL, Pollack CV, Weitz JI, Jennings LK, Xu S, Arnold SE, et al. Antibody-based ticagrelor reversal agent in healthy volunteers. *N Engl J Med* 2019;**380**:1825–1833.
285. Mahla E, Metzler H, Bornemann-Cimenti H, Pruessler F, Raggam R, Pregartner G, et al. Platelet inhibition and bleeding in patients undergoing non-cardiac surgery —the BIANCA Observational Study. *Thromb Haemost* 2018;**118**:864–872.
286. Mahla E, Trantry US, Pruller F, Gurbel PA. Is there a role for preoperative platelet function testing in patients undergoing cardiac surgery during antiplatelet therapy? *Circulation* 2018;**138**:2145–2159.
287. Mahla E, Suarez TA, Bliden KP, Rehak P, Metzler H, Sequeira AJ, et al. Platelet function measurement-based strategy to reduce bleeding and waiting time in clopidogrel-treated patients undergoing coronary artery bypass graft surgery: the timing based on platelet function strategy to reduce clopidogrel-associated bleeding related to CABG (TARGET-CABG) study. *Circ Cardiovasc Interv* 2012;**5**:261–269.
288. Windecker S, Lopes RD, Massaro T, Jones-Burton C, Granger CB, Aronson R, et al. Antithrombotic therapy in patients with atrial fibrillation and acute coronary syndrome treated medically or with percutaneous coronary intervention or undergoing elective percutaneous coronary intervention: insights from the AUGUSTUS trial. *Circulation* 2019;**140**:1921–1932.
289. Genereux P, Rutledge DR, Palmerini T, Caixeta A, Kedhi E, Hermiller JB, et al. Stent thrombosis and dual antiplatelet therapy interruption with everolimus-eluting stents: insights from the Xience V Coronary Stent System Trials. *Circ Cardiovasc Interv* 2015;**8**:e001362.
290. Beyer-Westendorf J, Gelbricht V, Forster K, Ebertz F, Kohler C, Werth S, et al. Peri-interventional management of novel oral anticoagulants in daily care: results from the prospective Dresden NOAC registry. *Eur Heart J* 2014;**35**:1888–1896.
291. Otto CM, Nishimura RA, Bonow RO, Carabello BA, Erwin JP, 3rd, Gentile F, et al. 2020 ACC/AHA Guideline for the management of patients with valvular heart disease. Executive summary: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Joint Committee on Clinical Practice Guidelines. *Circulation* 2021;**143**:e35–e71.
292. Douketis JD, Spyropoulos AC, Kaatz S, Becker RC, Caprini JA, Dunn AS, et al. Perioperative bridging anticoagulation in patients with atrial fibrillation. *N Engl J Med* 2015;**373**:823–833.
293. Kuo HC, Liu FL, Chen JT, Cherng YG, Tam KW, Tai YH. Thromboembolic and bleeding risk of periprocedural bridging anticoagulation: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Cardiol* 2020;**43**:441–449.
294. Kovacs MJ, Wells PS, Anderson DR, Lazo-Langner A, Kearon C, Bates SM, et al. Postoperative low molecular weight heparin bridging treatment for patients at high risk of arterial thromboembolism (PERIOP2): double blind randomised controlled trial. *BMJ* 2021;**373**:n205.
295. Caldeira D, David C, Santos AT, Costa J, Pinto FJ, Ferreira JJ. Efficacy and safety of low molecular weight heparin in patients with mechanical heart valves: systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Thromb Haemost* 2014;**12**:650–659.
296. Birnie DH, Healey JS, Wells GA, Verma A, Tang AS, Krahn AD, et al. Pacemaker or defibrillator surgery without interruption of anticoagulation. *N Engl J Med* 2013;**368**:2084–2093.
297. Sant'anna RT, Leiria TL, Nascimento T, Sant'anna JR, Kalil RA, Lima GG, et al. Meta-analysis of continuous oral anticoagulants versus heparin bridging in patients undergoing CIED surgery: reappraisal after the BRUISE study. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol* 2015;**38**:417–423.
298. Eichhorn W, Barsukov E, Al-Dam A, Gröbe A, Smeets R, Eichhorn M, et al. Postoperative bleeding risk for cutaneous surgery in the head and neck region with continued phenprocoumon therapy. *J Cranio-Maxillofac Surg* 2014;**42**:608–611.
299. Nandoe Tewarie RDS, Bartels RHMA. The perioperative use of oral anticoagulants during surgical procedures for carpal tunnel syndrome. A preliminary study. *Acta Neurochirurgica* 2010;**152**:1211–1213.
300. Doherty JU, Gluckman TJ, Hucker WJ, Januzzi JL, Jr., Ortel TL, Saxonhouse SJ, et al. 2017 ACC Expert Consensus Decision Pathway for periprocedural management of anticoagulation in patients with nonvalvular atrial fibrillation: a report of the American College of Cardiology Clinical Expert Consensus Document Task Force. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2017;**69**:871–898.
301. Chai-Adisaksopha C, Hillis C, Siegal DM, Movilla R, Heddle N, Iorio A, et al. Prothrombin complex concentrates versus fresh frozen plasma for warfarin reversal: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Thromb Haemost* 2016;**116**:879–890.
302. Levy JH, Ageno W, Chan NC, Crowther M, Verhamme P, Weitz JI, et al. When and how to use antidotes for the reversal of direct oral anticoagulants: guidance from the SSC of the ISTH. *J Thromb Haemost* 2016;**14**:623–627.
303. Pollack CV, Jr., Reilly PA, van Ryn J, Eikelboom JW, Glund S, Bernstein RA, et al. Idarucizumab for dabigatran reversal - full cohort analysis. *N Engl J Med* 2017;**377**:431–441.
304. Connolly SJ, Crowther M, Eikelboom JW, Gibson CM, Curnutte JT, Lawrence JH, et al. Full study report of andexanet alfa for bleeding associated with factor Xa inhibitors. *N Engl J Med* 2019;**380**:1326–1335.
305. Majeed A, Ågren A, Holmström M, Bruzelius M, Chairati R, Odeberg J, et al. Management of rivaroxaban- or apixaban-associated major bleeding with prothrombin complex concentrates: a cohort study. *Blood* 2017;**130**:1706–1712.
306. Healey JS, Eikelboom J, Douketis J, Wallentin L, Oldgren J, Yang S, et al. Periprocedural bleeding and thromboembolic events with dabigatran compared with warfarin: results from the Randomized Evaluation of Long-Term Anticoagulation Therapy (RE-LY) Randomized Trial. *Circulation* 2012;**126**:343–348.
307. Garcia D, Alexander JH, Wallentin L, Wojdyla DM, Thomas L, Hanna M, et al. Management and clinical outcomes in patients treated with apixaban vs warfarin undergoing procedures. *Blood* 2014;**124**:3692–3698.
308. Sherwood MW, Douketis JD, Patel MR, Piccini JP, Hellkamp AS, Lokhnygina Y, et al. Outcomes of temporary interruption of rivaroxaban compared with warfarin in patients with nonvalvular atrial fibrillation: results from the rivaroxaban once daily, oral, direct factor Xa inhibition compared with vitamin K antagonism for prevention of stroke and embolism trial in atrial fibrillation (ROCKET AF). *Circulation* 2014;**129**:1850–1859.
309. Shaw JR, Li N, Vanassche T, Coppens M, Spyropoulos AC, Syed S, et al. Predictors of preprocedural direct oral anticoagulant levels in patients having an elective surgery or procedure. *Blood Advances* 2020;**4**:3520–3527.
310. Godier A, Dincq AS, Martin AC, Radu A, Leblanc I, Antona M, et al. Predictors of pre-procedural concentrations of direct oral anticoagulants: a prospective multicentre study. *Eur Heart J* 2017;**38**:2431–2439.
311. Douketis JD, Spyropoulos AC, Duncan J, Carrier M, Le Gal G, Tafur AJ, et al. Perioperative management of patients with atrial fibrillation receiving a direct oral anticoagulant. *JAMA Intern Med* 2019;**179**:1469–1478.
312. Colonna P, von Heymann C, Santamaria A, Saxena M, Vanassche T, Wolpert D, et al. Routine clinical practice in the periprocedural management of edoxaban therapy is associated with low risk of bleeding and thromboembolic complications: the prospective, observational, and multinational EMIT-AF/TE study. *Clin Cardiol* 2020;**43**:769–780.
313. Albaladejo P, Pernod G, Godier A, de Maistre E, Rosencher N, Mas JL, et al. Management of bleeding and emergency invasive procedures in patients on dabigatran: updated guidelines from the French Working Group on Perioperative Haemostasis (GIHP) – September 2016. *Anaesth Crit Care Pain Med* 2018;**37**:391–399.
314. Narouze S, Benzon HT, Provenzano DA, Buvanendran A, De Andres J, Deer TR, et al. Interventional spine and pain procedures in patients on antiplatelet and anticoagulant medications: guidelines from the American Society of Regional Anesthesia and Pain Medicine, the European Society of Regional Anesthesia and Pain Therapy, the American Academy of Pain Medicine, the International Neuromodulation Society, the North American Neuromodulation Society, and the World Institute of Pain. *Reg Anesth Pain Med* 2015;**40**:182–212.
315. Johnston S. An evidence summary of the management of patients taking direct oral anticoagulants (DOACs) undergoing dental surgery. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2016;**45**:618–630.
316. Sivolella S, De Biagi M, Brunello G, Berengo M, Pengo V. Managing dentoalveolar surgical procedures in patients taking new oral anticoagulants. *Odontology* 2015;**103**:258–263.
317. Ockerman A, Vanhaverbeke M, Miclotte I, Belmans A, Vanassche T, Politis C, et al. Tranexamic acid to reduce bleeding after dental extraction in patients treated with non-vitamin K oral anticoagulants: design and rationale of the EXTRACT-NOAC trial. *Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2019;**57**:1107–1112.
318. Nijenhuis VJ, Brouwer J, Delewi R, Hermanides RS, Holvoet W, Dubois CLF, et al. Anticoagulation with or without clopidogrel after transcatheter aortic-valve implantation. *N Engl J Med* 2020;**382**:1696–1707.
319. Xu K, Chan NC, Ibrahim Q, Kruger P, Sinha S, Bhagirath V, et al. Reduction in mortality following elective major hip and knee surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Thromb Haemost* 2019;**119**:668–674.
320. Smilowitz NR, Gupta N, Guo Y, Maldonado TS, Eikelboom JW, Goldhaber SZ, et al. Trends in perioperative venous thromboembolism associated with major noncardiac surgery. *TH Open* 2017;**1**:e82–e91.

321. Klemen ND, Feingold PL, Hashimoto B, Wang M, Kleyman S, Brackett A, et al. Mortality risk associated with venous thromboembolism: a systematic review and Bayesian meta-analysis. *Lancet Haematol* 2020;**7**:e583–e593.
322. Gangireddy C, Rectenwald JR, Upchurch GR, Wakefield TW, Khuri S, Henderson WG, et al. Risk factors and clinical impact of postoperative symptomatic venous thromboembolism. *J Vasc Surg* 2007;**45**:335–341; discussion 341–332.
323. Caprini JA. Risk assessment as a guide for the prevention of the many faces of venous thromboembolism. *Am J Surg* 2010;**199**:S3–S10.
324. Obi AT, Pannucci CJ, Nackashi A, Abdullah N, Alvarez R, Bahl V, et al. Validation of the Caprini venous thromboembolism risk assessment model in critically ill surgical patients. *JAMA Surg* 2015;**150**:941–948.
325. Lobastov K, Barinov V, Schastlivtsev I, Laberko L, Rodoman G, Boyarintsev V. Validation of the Caprini risk assessment model for venous thromboembolism in high-risk surgical patients in the background of standard prophylaxis. *J Vasc Surg Venous Lymphat Disord* 2016;**4**:153–160.
326. Hachey KJ, Hewes PD, Porter LP, Ridyard DG, Rosenkranz P, McAneny D, et al. Caprini venous thromboembolism risk assessment permits selection for post-discharge prophylactic anticoagulation in patients with resectable lung cancer. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2016;**151**:37–44 e31.
327. Sterbling HM, Rosen AK, Hachey KJ, Vellanki NS, Hewes PD, Rao SR, et al. Caprini risk model decreases venous thromboembolism rates in thoracic surgery cancer patients. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2018;**105**:879–885.
328. Falck-Ytter Y, Francis CW, Johanson NA, Curley C, Dahl OE, Schulman S, et al. Prevention of VTE in orthopedic surgery patients: antithrombotic therapy and prevention of thrombosis, 9th ed: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines. *Chest* 2012;**141**:e278S–e325S.
329. Faraoni D, Comes RF, Geerts W, Wiles MD, ESA VTE Guidelines Task Force. European guidelines on perioperative venous thromboembolism prophylaxis: neurosurgery. *Eur J Anaesthesiol* 2018;**35**:90–95.
330. Kozek-Langenecker S, Fenger-Eriksen C, Thienpont E, Barauskas G, ESA VTE Guidelines Task Force. European guidelines on perioperative venous thromboembolism prophylaxis: surgery in the elderly. *Eur J Anaesthesiol* 2018;**35**:116–122.
331. Venclauskas L, Maleckas A, Arcelus JI, ESA VTE Guidelines Task Force. European guidelines on perioperative venous thromboembolism prophylaxis: surgery in the obese patient. *Eur J Anaesthesiol* 2018;**35**:147–153.
332. Afshari A, Ageno W, Ahmed A, Duranteau J, Faraoni D, Kozek-Langenecker S, et al. European guidelines on perioperative venous thromboembolism prophylaxis: executive summary. *Eur J Anaesthesiol* 2018;**35**:77–83.
333. Cimminiello C, Prandoni P, Agnelli G, Di Minno G, Polo Friz H, Scaglione F, et al. Thromboprophylaxis with enoxaparin and direct oral anticoagulants in major orthopedic surgery and acutely ill medical patients: a meta-analysis. *Intern Emerg Med* 2017;**12**:1291–1305.
334. Lassen MR, Gallus A, Raskob GE, Pineo G, Chen D, Ramirez LM, et al. Apixaban versus enoxaparin for thromboprophylaxis after hip replacement. *N Engl J Med* 2010;**363**:2487–2498.
335. Lassen MR, Raskob GE, Gallus A, Pineo G, Chen D, Portman RJ. Apixaban or enoxaparin for thromboprophylaxis after knee replacement. *N Engl J Med* 2009;**361**:594–604.
336. Lassen MR, Ageno W, Borris LC, Lieberman JR, Rosencher N, Bandel TJ, et al. Rivaroxaban versus enoxaparin for thromboprophylaxis after total knee arthroplasty. *N Engl J Med* 2008;**358**:2776–2786.
337. Eriksson BI, Borris LC, Friedman RJ, Haas S, Huisman MV, Kakkar AK, et al. Rivaroxaban versus enoxaparin for thromboprophylaxis after hip arthroplasty. *N Engl J Med* 2008;**358**:2765–2775.
338. Friedman RJ, Dahl OE, Rosencher N, Caprini JA, Kurth AA, Francis CW, et al. Dabigatran versus enoxaparin for prevention of venous thromboembolism after hip or knee arthroplasty: a pooled analysis of three trials. *Thromb Res* 2010;**126**:175–182.
339. Kawai Y, Fuji T, Fujita S, Kimura T, Ibusuki K, Abe K, et al. Edoxaban versus enoxaparin for the prevention of venous thromboembolism after total knee or hip arthroplasty: pooled analysis of coagulation biomarkers and primary efficacy and safety endpoints from two phase 3 trials. *Thromb J* 2016;**14**:48.
340. Petersen PB, Kehlet H, Jorgensen CC. Lundbeck Foundation Centre for Fast-track, Hip, Group KRC. Safety of in-hospital only thromboprophylaxis after fast-track total hip and knee arthroplasty: a prospective follow-up study in 17,582 procedures. *Thromb Haemost* 2018;**118**:2152–2161.
341. Wilson DG, Poole WE, Chauhan SK, Rogers BA. Systematic review of aspirin for thromboprophylaxis in modern elective total hip and knee arthroplasty. *Bone Joint J* 2016;**98-B**:1056–1061.
342. Jenny JY, Pabinger I, Samama CM, ESA VTE Guidelines Task Force. European guidelines on perioperative venous thromboembolism prophylaxis: aspirin. *Eur J Anaesthesiol* 2018;**35**:123–129.
343. Anderson DR, Dunbar M, Murnaghan J, Kahn SR, Gross P, Forsythe M, et al. Aspirin or rivaroxaban for VTE prophylaxis after hip or knee arthroplasty. *N Engl J Med* 2018;**378**:699–707.
344. Cassidy MR, Rosenkranz P, McAneny D. Reducing postoperative venous thromboembolism complications with a standardized risk-stratified prophylaxis protocol and mobilization program. *J Am Coll Surg* 2014;**218**:1095–1104.
345. Musallam KM, Tamim HM, Richards T, Spahn DR, Rosendaal FR, Habbal A, et al. Preoperative anaemia and postoperative outcomes in non-cardiac surgery: a retrospective cohort study. *Lancet* 2011;**378**:1396–1407.
346. Baron DM, Franchini M, Goobie SM, Javidroozi M, Klein AA, Lasocki S, et al. Patient blood management during the COVID-19 pandemic: a narrative review. *Anaesthesia* 2020;**75**:1105–1113.
347. Munoz M, Gomez-Ramirez S, Campos A, Ruiz J, Liumbruno GM. Pre-operative anaemia: prevalence, consequences and approaches to management. *Blood Transfus* 2015;**13**:370–379.
348. von Heymann C, Kaufner L, Sander M, Spies C, Schmidt K, Gombotz H, et al. Does the severity of preoperative anemia or blood transfusion have a stronger impact on long-term survival after cardiac surgery? *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2016;**152**:1412–1420.
349. Rossler J, Schoenrath F, Seifert B, Kaserer A, Spahn GH, Falk V, et al. Iron deficiency is associated with higher mortality in patients undergoing cardiac surgery: a prospective study. *Br J Anaesth* 2020;**124**:25–34.
350. Althoff FC, Neb H, Herrmann E, Trentino KM, Vernich L, Fullenbach C, et al. Multimodal patient blood management program based on a three-pillar strategy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ann Surg* 2019;**269**:794–804.
351. Meybohm P, Herrmann E, Steinbicker AU, Wittmann M, Gruenewald M, Fischer D, et al. Patient blood management is associated with a substantial reduction of red blood cell utilization and safe for patient's outcome: a prospective, multicenter cohort study with a noninferiority design. *Ann Surg* 2016;**264**:203–211.
352. Frew N, Alexander D, Hood J, Acornley A. Impact of a blood management protocol on transfusion rates and outcomes following total hip and knee arthroplasty. *Ann R Coll Surg Engl* 2016;**98**:380–386.
353. Leahy MF, Hofmann A, Towler S, Trentino KM, Burrows SA, Swain SG, et al. Improved outcomes and reduced costs associated with a health-system-wide patient blood management program: a retrospective observational study in four major adult tertiary-care hospitals. *Transfusion* 2017;**57**:1347–1358.
354. So-Osman C, Nelissen R, Koopman-van GA, Kluyver E, Pöll R, Onstenk R, et al. Patient blood management in elective total hip- and knee-replacement surgery (Part 1): a randomized controlled trial on erythropoietin and blood salvage as transfusion alternatives using a restrictive transfusion policy in erythropoietin-eligible patients. *Anesthesiology* 2014;**120**:839–851.
355. Theusinger OM, Kind SL, Seifert B, Borgeat I, Gerber C, Spahn DR. Patient blood management in orthopaedic surgery: a four-year follow-up of transfusion requirements and blood loss from 2008 to 2011 at the Balgrist University Hospital in Zurich, Switzerland. *Blood Transfus* 2014;**12**:195–203.
356. Froessler B, Palm P, Weber I, Hodyl NA, Singh R, Murphy EM. The important role for intravenous iron in perioperative patient blood management in major abdominal surgery: a randomized controlled trial. *Ann Surg* 2016;**264**:41–46.
357. Triphaus C, Judd L, Glaser P, Goehring MH, Schmitt E, Westphal S, et al. Effectiveness of preoperative iron supplementation in major surgical patients with iron deficiency: a prospective observational study. *Ann Surg* 2021;**274**:e212–e219.
358. Cappellini MD, Comin-Colet J, de Francisco A, Dignass A, Doehner W, Lam CS, et al. Iron deficiency across chronic inflammatory conditions: international expert opinion on definition, diagnosis, and management. *Am J Hematol* 2017;**92**:1068–1078.
359. Auerbach M, Macdougall IC. Safety of intravenous iron formulations: facts and folklore. *Blood Transfus* 2014;**12**:296–300.
360. Richards T, Baikady RR, Clevenger B, Butcher A, Abeyisiri S, Chau M, et al. Preoperative intravenous iron to treat anaemia before major abdominal surgery (PREVENTT): a randomised, double-blind, controlled trial. *Lancet* 2020;**396**:1353–1361.
361. Kaufner L, von Heymann C, Henkelmann A, Pace NL, Weibel S, Kranke P, et al. Erythropoietin plus iron versus control treatment including placebo or iron for pre-operative anaemic adults undergoing non-cardiac surgery. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2020;**8**:CD012451.
362. Munoz M, Acheson AG, Auerbach M, Besser M, Habler O, Kehlet H, et al. International consensus statement on the peri-operative management of anaemia and iron deficiency. *Anaesthesia* 2017;**72**:233–247.
363. World Health Assembly. Availability, safety and quality of blood products. http://apps.who.int/gb/ebwha/pdf_files/WHA63/A63_R12-en.pdf (27 October 2017).
364. Kotze A, Harris A, Baker C, Iqbal T, Lavies N, Richards T, et al. British Committee for Standards in Haematology Guidelines on the identification and management of pre-operative anaemia. *Br J Haematol* 2015;**171**:322–331.
365. Koch CG, Reineks EZ, Tang AS, Hixson ED, Phillips S, Sabik JF, 3rd, et al. Contemporary bloodletting in cardiac surgical care. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2015;**99**:779–784.

366. Riessen R, Behmenburg M, Blumenstock G, Guenon D, Enkel S, Schafer R, et al. A simple "blood-saving bundle" reduces diagnostic blood loss and the transfusion rate in mechanically ventilated patients. *PLoS One* 2015;**10**:e0138879.
367. Shander A, Kaplan LJ, Harris MT, Gross I, Nagarsheth NP, Nemeth J, et al. Topical hemostatic therapy in surgery: bridging the knowledge and practice gap. *J Am Coll Surg* 2014;**219**:570–579.e574.
368. Menkis AH, Martin J, Cheng DC, Fitzgerald DC, Freedman JJ, Gao C, et al. Drug, devices, technologies, and techniques for blood management in minimally invasive and conventional cardiothoracic surgery: a consensus statement from the International Society for Minimally Invasive Cardiothoracic Surgery (ISMICS) 2011. *Innovations (Phila)* 2012;**7**:229–241.
369. Anastasiadis K, Murkin J, Antonitsis P, Bauer A, Ranucci M, Gygax E, et al. Use of minimal invasive extracorporeal circulation in cardiac surgery: principles, definitions and potential benefits. A position paper from the Minimal invasive Extra-Corporeal Technologies international Society (MiECTiS). *Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg* 2016;**22**:647–662.
370. Weber CF, Görlinger K, Meininger D, Herrmann E, Bingold T, Moritz A, et al. Point-of-care testing: a prospective, randomized clinical trial of efficacy in coagulopathic cardiac surgery patients. *Anesthesiology* 2012;**117**:531–547.
371. Weber CF, Zacharowski K, Meybohm P, Adam EH, Hofer S, Brün K, et al. Hemotherapy algorithms for coagulopathic cardiac surgery patients. *Clin Lab* 2014;**60**:1059–1063.
372. Muñoz M, Gómez-Ramírez S, Kozek-Langenecker S. Pre-operative haematological assessment in patients scheduled for major surgery. *Anaesthesia* 2016;**71** Suppl 1:19–28.
373. Kozek-Langenecker SA, Afshari A, Albaladejo P, Santullano CA, De Robertis E, Filipescu DC, et al. Management of severe perioperative bleeding: guidelines from the European Society of Anaesthesiology. *Eur J Anaesthesiol* 2013;**30**: 270–382.
374. Meybohm P, Zacharowski K, Weber CF. Point-of-care coagulation management in intensive care medicine. *Crit Care* 2013;**17**:218.
375. Ker K, Edwards P, Perel P, Shakur H, Roberts I. Effect of tranexamic acid on surgical bleeding: systematic review and cumulative meta-analysis. *BMJ* 2012;**344**:e3054.
376. Devereaux PJ, Marcucci M, Painter TW, Conen D, Lomivorotov V, Sessler DI, et al. Tranexamic acid in patients undergoing noncardiac surgery. *N Engl J Med* 2022.
377. Meybohm P, Choorapoikayil S, Wessels A, Herrmann E, Zacharowski K, Spahn DR. Washed cell salvage in surgical patients: a review and meta-analysis of prospective randomized trials under PRISMA. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 2016;**95**:e4490.
378. Santos AA, Silva JP, Silva Lda F, Sousa AG, Piotto RF, Baumgratz JF. Therapeutic options to minimize allogeneic blood transfusions and their adverse effects in cardiac surgery: a systematic review. *Rev Bras Cir Cardiovasc* 2014;**29**:606–621.
379. Haensig M, Kempfert J, Kempfert PM, Girdauskas E, Borger MA, Lehmann S. Thrombelastometry guided blood-component therapy after cardiac surgery: a randomized study. *BMC Anesthesiol* 2019;**19**:201.
380. Dias JD, Sauaia A, Achneck HE, Hartmann J, Moore EE. Thromboelastography-guided therapy improves patient blood management and certain clinical outcomes in elective cardiac and liver surgery and emergency resuscitation: a systematic review and analysis. *J Thromb Haemost* 2019;**17**:984–994.
381. Chutipongtanate A, Yasaeng C, Virankabuttra T, Chutipongtanate S. Systematic comparison of four point-of-care methods versus the reference laboratory measurement of hemoglobin in the surgical ICU setting: a cross-sectional method comparison study. *BMC Anesthesiol* 2020;**20**:92.
382. Terada R, Ikeda T, Mori Y, Yamazaki S, Kashiwabara K, Yamauchi H, et al. Comparison of two point of care whole blood coagulation analysis devices and conventional coagulation tests as a predicting tool of perioperative bleeding in adult cardiac surgery – a pilot prospective observational study in Japan. *Transfusion* 2019;**59**:3525–3535.
383. Cohen J, Scorer T, Wright Z, Stewart JJ, Sosnov J, Pidcock H, et al. A prospective evaluation of thromboelastometry (ROTEM) to identify acute traumatic coagulopathy and predict massive transfusion in military trauma patients in Afghanistan. *Transfusion* 2019;**59**:1601–1607.
384. Yates J, Perelman I, Khair S, Taylor J, Lampron J, Tinmouth A, et al. Exclusion criteria and adverse events in perioperative trials of tranexamic acid: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Transfusion* 2019;**59**:806–824.
385. CRASH-2 trial Collaborators. Effects of tranexamic acid on death, disability, vascular occlusive events and other morbidities in patients with acute traumatic brain injury (CRASH-3): a randomised, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet* 2019;**394**: 1713–1723.
386. HALT-IT Trial Collaborators. Effects of a high-dose 24-h infusion of tranexamic acid on death and thromboembolic events in patients with acute gastrointestinal bleeding (HALT-IT): an international randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet* 2020;**395**:1927–1936.
387. Mukhopadhyay A, Yip HS, Prabhswamy D, Chan YH, Phua J, Lim TK, et al. The use of a blood conservation device to reduce red blood cell transfusion requirements: a before and after study. *Crit Care* 2010;**14**:R7.
388. Peruzzi WT, Parker MA, Lichtenthal PR, Cochran-Zull C, Toth B, Blake M. A clinical evaluation of a blood conservation device in medical intensive care unit patients. *Crit Care Med* 1993;**21**:501–506.
389. Kataife ED, Said S, Braun J, Roche TR, Rossler J, Kaserer A, et al. The Haemostasis Traffic Light, a user-centred coagulation management tool for acute bleeding situations: a simulation-based randomised dual-centre trial. *Anaesthesia* 2021;**76**: 902–910.
390. Goodnough LT, Shieh L, Hadhazy E, Cheng N, Khari P, Maggio P. Improved blood utilization using real-time clinical decision support. *Transfusion* 2014;**54**:1358–1365.
391. Oliver JC, Griffin RL, Hannon T, Marques MB. The success of our patient blood management program depended on an institution-wide change in transfusion practices. *Transfusion* 2014;**54**:2617–2624.
392. Kaserer A, Rössler J, Braun J, Farokhzad F, Pape HC, Dutkowski P, et al. Impact of a patient blood management monitoring and feedback programme on allogeneic blood transfusions and related costs. *Anaesthesia* 2019;**74**:1534–1541.
393. Sardar M, Azharuddin M, Subedi A, Ghatage P, Du D, Szallasi A. Improving blood transfusion practices in a community hospital setting: our experience with real-time clinical decision support. *Med Sci (Basel)* 2018;**6**.
394. Jenkins I, Doucet JJ, Clay B, Kopko P, Fipps D, Hemmen E, et al. Transfusing wisely: clinical decision support improves blood transfusion practices. *Jt Comm J Qual Patient Saf* 2017;**43**:389–395.
395. Staples S, Salisbury RA, King AJ, Polzella P, Bakhishli G, Staves J, et al. How do we use electronic clinical decision support and feedback to promote good transfusion practice. *Transfusion* 2020;**60**:1658–1665.
396. Derzon JH, Clarke N, Alford A, Gross I, Shander A, Thurer R. Restrictive transfusion strategy and clinical decision support practices for reducing RBC transfusion overuse. *Am J Clin Pathol* 2019;**152**:544–557.
397. Dawood MM, Gutpa DK, Southern J, Walia A, Atkinson JB, Eagle KA. Pathology of fatal perioperative myocardial infarction: implications regarding pathophysiology and prevention. *Int J Cardiol* 1996;**57**:37–44.
398. Eagle KA, Rihal CS, Mickel MC, Holmes DR, Foster ED, Gersh BJ. Cardiac risk of noncardiac surgery: influence of coronary disease and type of surgery in 3368 operations. CASS Investigators and University of Michigan Heart Care Program. Coronary Artery Surgery Study. *Circulation* 1997;**96**:1882–1887.
399. McFalls EO, Ward HB, Moritz TE, Goldman S, Krupski WC, Littooy F, et al. Coronary-artery revascularization before elective major vascular surgery. *N Engl J Med* 2004;**351**:2795–2804.
400. Wong EY, Lawrence HP, Wong DT. The effects of prophylactic coronary revascularization or medical management on patient outcomes after noncardiac surgery – a meta-analysis. *Can J Anaesth* 2007;**54**:705–717.
401. Feng B, Lin J, Jin J, Qian W, Cao S, Weng X. The effect of previous coronary artery revascularization on the adverse cardiac events ninety days after total joint arthroplasty. *J Arthroplasty* 2018;**33**:235–240.
402. Maron DJ, Hochman JS, Reynolds HR, Bangalore S, O'Brien SM, Boden WE, et al. Initial invasive or conservative strategy for stable coronary disease. *N Engl J Med* 2020;**382**:1395–1407.
403. Bainey KR, Alemayehu W, Welsh RC, Kumar A, King SB, 3rd, Kirtane AJ. Long-term clinical outcomes following revascularization in high-risk coronary anatomy patients with stable ischemic heart disease. *J Am Heart Assoc* 2021;**10**:e018104.
404. Windecker S, Neumann FJ, Juni P, Sousa-Uva M, Falk V. Considerations for the choice between coronary artery bypass grafting and percutaneous coronary intervention as revascularization strategies in major categories of patients with stable multivessel coronary artery disease: an accompanying article of the task force of the 2018 ESC/EACTS guidelines on myocardial revascularization. *Eur Heart J* 2019;**40**:204–212.
405. Raber L, Mintz GS, Koskinas KC, Johnson TW, Holm NR, Onuma Y, et al. Clinical use of intracoronary imaging. Part 1: guidance and optimization of coronary interventions. An expert consensus document of the European Association of Percutaneous Cardiovascular Interventions. *EuroIntervention* 2018;**14**:656–677.
406. Johnson TW, Raber L, Di Mario C, Bourantas CV, Jia H, Mattesini A, et al. Clinical use of intracoronary imaging. Part 2: acute coronary syndromes, ambiguous coronary angiography findings, and guiding interventional decision-making: an expert consensus document of the European Association of Percutaneous Cardiovascular Interventions. *EuroIntervention* 2019;**15**:434–451.
407. Richardt G, Abdelghani M, Allali A, Toelg R, Abdellou M, Krackhardt F, et al. Polymer-free drug-coated vs. bare-metal coronary stents in patients undergoing non-cardiac surgery: a subgroup analysis of the LEADERS FREE trial. *Clin Res Cardiol* 2021;**110**:162–171.
408. Cassese S, Belle L, Ndrepepa G, Bosson JL, Fusaro M, Lonborg J, et al. Deferred vs immediate stenting in primary percutaneous coronary intervention: a collaborative meta-analysis of randomized trials with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging data. *Can J Cardiol* 2018;**34**:1573–1580.
409. Belle L, Motreff P, Mangin L, Range G, Marcaggi X, Marie A, et al. Comparison of immediate with delayed stenting using the minimalist immediate mechanical

- intervention approach in acute ST-segment-elevation myocardial infarction: the MIMI Study. *Circ Cardiovasc Interv* 2016;**9**:e003388.
410. Hammill BG, Curtis LH, Bennett-Guerrero E, O'Connor CM, Jollis JG, Schulman KA, et al. Impact of heart failure on patients undergoing major noncardiac surgery. *Anesthesiology* 2008;**108**:559–567.
 411. Goldman L, Caldera DL, Nussbaum SR, Southwick FS, Krogstad D, Murray B, et al. Multifactorial index of cardiac risk in noncardiac surgical procedures. *N Engl J Med* 1977;**297**:845–850.
 412. McDonagh TA, Metra M, Adamo M, Gardner RS, Baumhach A, Bohm M, et al. 2021 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure. *Eur Heart J* 2021;**42**:3599–3726.
 413. Lee TH, Marcantonio ER, Mangione CM, Thomas EJ, Polanczyk CA, Cook EF, et al. Derivation and prospective validation of a simple index for prediction of cardiac risk of major noncardiac surgery. *Circulation* 1999;**100**:1043–1049.
 414. Flu WJ, van Kuijk JP, Hoeks SE, Kuiper R, Schouten O, Goei D, et al. Prognostic implications of asymptomatic left ventricular dysfunction in patients undergoing vascular surgery. *Anesthesiology* 2010;**112**:1316–1324.
 415. Cohn SL. Preoperative evaluation for noncardiac surgery. *Ann Intern Med* 2016;**165**:ITC81–ITC96.
 416. Smilowitz NR, Banco D, Katz SD, Beckman JA, Berger JS. Association between heart failure and perioperative outcomes in patients undergoing non-cardiac surgery. *Eur Heart J Qual Care Clin Outcomes* 2021;**7**:68–75.
 417. Lerman BJ, Popat RA, Assimes TL, Heidenreich PA, Wren SM. Association between heart failure and postoperative mortality among patients undergoing ambulatory noncardiac surgery. *JAMA Surg* 2019;**154**:907–914.
 418. Dhilon A, Khanna A, Randhawa MS, Cywinski J, Saager L, Thamilarasan M, et al. Perioperative outcomes of patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy undergoing non-cardiac surgery. *Heart* 2016;**102**:1627–1632.
 419. Nagueh SF, Bierig SM, Budoff MJ, Desai M, Dilsizian V, Eidem B, et al. American Society of Echocardiography clinical recommendations for multimodality cardiovascular imaging of patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. Endorsed by the American Society of Nuclear Cardiology, Society for Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance, and Society of Cardiovascular Computed Tomography. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr* 2011;**24**:473–498.
 420. Kormos RL, Cowger J, Pagani FD, Goldstein DJ, Jacobs JP, et al. The Society of Thoracic Surgeons Intermacs database annual report: evolving indications, outcomes, and scientific partnerships. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2019;**38**:114–126.
 421. Roberts SM, Hovord DG, Kodavatiganti R, Sathishkumar S. Ventricular assist devices and non-cardiac surgery. *BMC Anesthesiol* 2015;**15**:185.
 422. Rodseth RN, Biccard BM, Le Manach Y, Sessler DI, Lurati Buse GA, Thabane L, et al. The prognostic value of pre-operative and post-operative B-type natriuretic peptides in patients undergoing noncardiac surgery: B-type natriuretic peptide and N-terminal fragment of pro-B-type natriuretic peptide: a systematic review and individual patient data meta-analysis. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2014;**63**:170–180.
 423. Karthikeyan G, Moncur RA, Levine O, Heels-Ansdell D, Chan MT, Alonso-Coello P, et al. Is a pre-operative brain natriuretic peptide or N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide measurement an independent predictor of adverse cardiovascular outcomes within 30 days of noncardiac surgery? A systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2009;**54**:1599–1606.
 424. Agarwal S, Rajamanickam A, Bajaj NS, Griffin BP, Catacutan T, Svensson LG, et al. Impact of aortic stenosis on postoperative outcomes after noncardiac surgeries. *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes* 2013;**6**:193–200.
 425. Taniguchi T, Morimoto T, Shiomi H, Ando K, Shirai S, Kanamori N, et al. Elective non-cardiac surgery in patients with severe aortic stenosis – observations from the CURRENT AS Registry. *Circ J* 2020;**84**:1173–1182.
 426. Luis SA, Dohaie A, Chandrashekar P, Scott CG, Padang R, Lokinen S, et al. Impact of aortic valve replacement for severe aortic stenosis on perioperative outcomes following major noncardiac surgery. *Mayo Clin Proc* 2020;**95**:727–737.
 427. Okuno T, Yahagi K, Horiuchi Y, Sato Y, Tanaka T, Koseki K, et al. The role of transcatheter aortic valve replacement in the patients with severe aortic stenosis requiring major non-cardiac surgery. *Cardiovasc Interv Ther* 2019;**34**:345–351.
 428. Calleja AM, Dommaraju S, Gaddam R, Cha S, Khandheria BK, Chaliki HP. Cardiac risk in patients aged >75 years with asymptomatic, severe aortic stenosis undergoing noncardiac surgery. *Am J Cardiol* 2010;**105**:1159–1163.
 429. Sohrabi B, Kazemi B, Mehryar A, Teimouri-Dereshki A, Toufan M, Aslanabadi N. Correlation between pulmonary artery pressure measured by echocardiography and right heart catheterization in patients with rheumatic mitral valve stenosis (a prospective study). *Echocardiography* 2016;**33**:7–13.
 430. Bajaj NS, Agarwal S, Rajamanickam A, Parashar A, Poddar KL, Griffin BP, et al. Impact of severe mitral regurgitation on postoperative outcomes after noncardiac surgery. *Am J Med* 2013;**126**:529–535.
 431. Stone GW, Lindenfeld J, Abraham WT, Kar S, Lim DS, Mishell JM, et al. Transcatheter mitral-valve repair in patients with heart failure. *N Engl J Med* 2018;**379**:2307–2318.
 432. Habib G, Lancellotti P, Antunes MJ, Bongiorni MG, Casalta JP, Del Zotti F, et al. 2015 ESC Guidelines for the management of infective endocarditis: the Task Force for the Management of Infective Endocarditis of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). Endorsed by: European Association for Cardio-Thoracic Surgery (EACTS), the European Association of Nuclear Medicine (EANM). *Eur Heart J* 2015;**36**:3075–3128.
 433. Polanczyk CA, Goldman L, Marcantonio ER, Orav EJ, Lee TH. Supraventricular arrhythmia in patients having noncardiac surgery: clinical correlates and effect on length of stay. *Ann Intern Med* 1998;**129**:279–285.
 434. van Diepen S, Bakal JA, McAlister FA, Ezekowitz JA. Mortality and readmission of patients with heart failure, atrial fibrillation, or coronary artery disease undergoing noncardiac surgery: an analysis of 38 047 patients. *Circulation* 2011;**124**:289–296.
 435. Alberte C, Zipes DP. Use of nonantiarrhythmic drugs for prevention of sudden cardiac death. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 2003;**14**:S87–S95.
 436. Brugada J, Katritsis DG, Arbelo E, Arribas F, Bax JJ, Blomstrom-Lundqvist C, et al. 2019 ESC Guidelines for the management of patients with supraventricular tachycardia. *Eur Heart J* 2020;**41**:655–720.
 437. Calkins H, Yong P, Miller JM, Olshansky B, Carlson M, Saul JP, et al. Catheter ablation of accessory pathways, atrioventricular nodal reentrant tachycardia, and the atrioventricular junction: final results of a prospective, multicenter clinical trial. The Atakr Multicenter Investigators Group. *Circulation* 1999;**99**:262–270.
 438. Chen SA, Chiang CE, Yang CJ, Cheng CC, Wu TJ, Wang SP, et al. Sustained atrial tachycardia in adult patients. Electrophysiological characteristics, pharmacological response, possible mechanisms, and effects of radiofrequency ablation. *Circulation* 1994;**90**:1262–1278.
 439. Katritsis DG, Zografos T, Katritsis GD, Giatzoglou E, Vachliotis V, Paxinos G, et al. Catheter ablation vs. antiarrhythmic drug therapy in patients with symptomatic atrioventricular nodal re-entrant tachycardia: a randomized, controlled trial. *Europace* 2017;**19**:602–606.
 440. Pappone C, Vicedomini G, Manguso F, Saviano M, Baldi M, Pappone A, et al. Wolff-Parkinson-White syndrome in the era of catheter ablation: insights from a registry study of 2169 patients. *Circulation* 2014;**130**:811–819.
 441. Specter P, Reynolds MR, Calkins H, Sondhi M, Xu Y, Martin A, et al. Meta-analysis of ablation of atrial flutter and supraventricular tachycardia. *Am J Cardiol* 2009;**104**:671–677.
 442. Potpara TS, Polovina MM, Marinkovic JM, Lip GY. A comparison of clinical characteristics and long-term prognosis in asymptomatic and symptomatic patients with first-diagnosed atrial fibrillation: the Belgrade Atrial Fibrillation Study. *Int J Cardiol* 2013;**168**:4744–4749.
 443. Mujovic N, Dobrev D, Marinkovic M, Russo V, Potpara TS. The role of amiodarone in contemporary management of complex cardiac arrhythmias. *Pharmacol Res* 2020;**151**:104521.
 444. Dan GA, Martinez-Rubio A, Agewall S, Boriani G, Borggrefe M, Gaita F, et al. Antiarrhythmic drugs-clinical use and clinical decision making: a consensus document from the European Heart Rhythm Association (EHRA) and European Society of Cardiology (ESC) Working Group on Cardiovascular Pharmacology, endorsed by the Heart Rhythm Society (HRS), Asia-Pacific Heart Rhythm Society (APHRS) and International Society of Cardiovascular Pharmacotherapy (ISCP). *Europace* 2018;**20**:731–732an.
 445. Ellenbogen KA, Dias VC, Plumb VJ, Heywood JT, Mirvis DM. A placebo-controlled trial of continuous intravenous diltiazem infusion for 24-hour heart rate control during atrial fibrillation and atrial flutter: a multicenter study. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1991;**18**:891–897.
 446. Phillips BG, Gandhi AJ, Sanoski CA, Just VL, Bauman JL. Comparison of intravenous diltiazem and verapamil for the acute treatment of atrial fibrillation and atrial flutter. *Pharmacotherapy* 1997;**17**:1238–1245.
 447. Platia EV, Michelson EL, Porterfield JK, Das G. Esmolol versus verapamil in the acute treatment of atrial fibrillation or atrial flutter. *Am J Cardiol* 1989;**63**:925–929.
 448. Sethi NJ, Nielsen EE, Safi S, Feinberg J, Gluud C, Jakobsen JC. Digoxin for atrial fibrillation and atrial flutter: a systematic review with meta-analysis and trial sequential analysis of randomised clinical trials. *PLoS One* 2018;**13**:e0193924.
 449. Karth GD, Geppert A, Neunteufl T, Priglinger U, Haumer M, Gschwandtner M, et al. Amiodarone versus diltiazem for rate control in critically ill patients with atrial tachyarrhythmias. *Crit Care Med* 2001;**29**:1149–1153.
 450. Vos MA, Goltsyn SR, Stangl K, Ruda MY, Van Wijk LV, Harry JD, et al. Superiority of ibutilide (a new class III agent) over DL-sotalol in converting atrial flutter and atrial fibrillation. The Ibutilide/Sotalol Comparator Study Group. *Heart* 1998;**79**:568–575.
 451. Singh S, Zoble RG, Yellen L, Brodsky MA, Feld GK, Berk M, et al. Efficacy and safety of oral dofetilide in converting to and maintaining sinus rhythm in patients with chronic atrial fibrillation or atrial flutter: the symptomatic atrial fibrillation investigative research on dofetilide (SAFIRE-D) study. *Circulation* 2000;**102**:2385–2390.
 452. Volgman AS, Carberry PA, Stambler B, Lewis VWR, Dunn GH, Perry KT, et al. Conversion efficacy and safety of intravenous ibutilide compared with intravenous

- procainamide in patients with atrial flutter or fibrillation. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1998;**31**:1414–1419.
453. Bianconi L, Castro A, Dinelli M, Alboni P, Pappalardo A, Richiardi E, et al. Comparison of intravenously administered dofetilide versus amiodarone in the acute termination of atrial fibrillation and flutter. A multicentre, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. *Eur Heart J* 2000;**21**:1265–1273.
 454. Kerola T, Dewland TA, Vittinghoff E, Heckbert SR, Stein PK, Marcus GM. Modifiable predictors of ventricular ectopy in the community. *J Am Heart Assoc* 2018;**7**:e010078.
 455. Yang J, Dudum R, Mandyam MC, Marcus GM. Characteristics of unselected high-burden premature ventricular contraction patients. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol* 2014;**37**:1671–1680.
 456. Parreira L, Marinheiro R, Amador P, Mesquita D, Farinha J, Lopes A, et al. Frequent premature ventricular contractions. Association of burden and complexity with prognosis according to the presence of structural heart disease. *Ann Noninvasive Electrocardiol* 2021;**26**:e12800.
 457. Agarwal V, Vittinghoff E, Whitman IR, Dewland TA, Dukes JW, Marcus GM. Relation between ventricular premature complexes and incident heart failure. *Am J Cardiol* 2017;**119**:1238–1242.
 458. Dukes JW, Dewland TA, Vittinghoff E, Mandyam MC, Heckbert SR, Siscovick DS, et al. Ventricular ectopy as a predictor of heart failure and death. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2015;**66**:101–109.
 459. Marcus GM. Evaluation and management of premature ventricular complexes. *Circulation* 2020;**141**:1404–1418.
 460. Mukharji J, Rude RE, Poole VK, Gustafson N, Thomas LJ, Jr., Strauss HW, et al. Risk factors for sudden death after acute myocardial infarction: two-year follow-up. *Am J Cardiol* 1984;**54**:31–36.
 461. Chen J, Johnson G, Hellkamp AS, Anderson J, Mark DB, Lee KL, et al. Rapid-rate nonsustained ventricular tachycardia found on implantable cardioverter-defibrillator interrogation: relationship to outcomes in the SCD-HeFT (Sudden Cardiac Death in Heart Failure Trial). *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2013;**61**:2161–2168.
 462. Makimoto H, Zielke S, Clasen L, Lin T, Gerguri S, Muller P, et al. Clinical significance of precedent asymptomatic non-sustained ventricular tachycardias on subsequent ICD interventions and heart failure hospitalization in primary prevention ICD patients. *Eur J Med Res* 2020;**25**:5.
 463. Sanchez Munoz JJ, Garcia-Alberola A, Martinez-Sanchez J, Penafiel-Verdu P, Caro-Martinez C, Manzano-Fernandez S, et al. Premature ventricular complexes as a trigger for ventricular fibrillation. *Rev Esp Cardiol* 2010;**63**:798–801.
 464. Kakishita M, Kurita T, Matsuo K, Taguchi A, Suyama K, Shimizu W, et al. Mode of onset of ventricular fibrillation in patients with Brugada syndrome detected by implantable cardioverter defibrillator therapy. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2000;**36**:1646–1653.
 465. Srivathsan K, Gami AS, Ackerman MJ, Asirvatham SJ. Treatment of ventricular fibrillation in a patient with prior diagnosis of long QT syndrome: importance of precise electrophysiologic diagnosis to successfully ablate the trigger. *Heart Rhythm* 2007;**4**:1090–1093.
 466. Almendral J, Villacastin JP, Arenal A, Tercedor L, Merino JL, Delcan JL. Evidence favoring the hypothesis that ventricular arrhythmias have prognostic significance in left ventricular hypertrophy secondary to systemic hypertension. *Am J Cardiol* 1995;**76**:60D–63D.
 467. Priori SG, Blomstrom-Lundqvist C, Mazzanti A, Blom N, Borggrefe M, Camm J, et al. 2015 ESC Guidelines for the management of patients with ventricular arrhythmias and the prevention of sudden cardiac death: the Task Force for the Management of Patients with Ventricular Arrhythmias and the Prevention of Sudden Cardiac Death of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). Endorsed by: Association for European Paediatric and Congenital Cardiology (AEPC). *Eur Heart J* 2015;**36**:2793–2867.
 468. Connolly SJ, Dorian P, Roberts RS, Gent M, Bailin S, Fain ES, et al. Comparison of beta-blockers, amiodarone plus beta-blockers, or sotalol for prevention of shocks from implantable cardioverter defibrillators: the OPTIC Study: a randomized trial. *JAMA* 2006;**295**:165–171.
 469. Brodine WVN, Tung RT, Lee JK, Hockstad ES, Moss AJ, Zareba W, et al. Effects of beta-blockers on implantable cardioverter defibrillator therapy and survival in the patients with ischemic cardiomyopathy (from the Multicenter Automatic Defibrillator Implantation Trial-II). *Am J Cardiol* 2005;**96**:691–695.
 470. Tilz RR, Lenarczyk R, Scherr D, Haugaa KH, Iliodromitis K, Purerfellner H, et al. Management of ventricular tachycardia in the ablation era: results of the European Heart Rhythm Association Survey. *Europace* 2018;**20**:209–213.
 471. Cronin EM, Bogun FM, Maury P, Peichl P, Chen M, Namboodiri N, et al. 2019 HRS/EHRA/APHRS/LAHS expert consensus statement on catheter ablation of ventricular arrhythmias. *Europace* 2019;**21**:1143–1144.
 472. Scheuermeyer FX, Grafstein E, Stenstrom R, Innes G, Heslop C, MacPhee J, et al. Thirty-day and 1-year outcomes of emergency department patients with atrial fibrillation and no acute underlying medical cause. *Ann Emerg Med* 2012;**60**:755–765.e752.
 473. Bonfanti L, Annovi A, Sanchis-Gomar F, Saccenti C, Meschi T, Ticinesi A, et al. Effectiveness and safety of electrical cardioversion for acute-onset atrial fibrillation in the emergency department: a real-world 10-year single center experience. *Clin Exp Emerg Med* 2019;**6**:64–69.
 474. Kheiri B, Barbarawi M, Zayed Y, Hicks M, Osman M, Rashdan L, et al. Antiarrhythmic drugs or catheter ablation in the management of ventricular tachyarrhythmias in patients with implantable cardioverter-defibrillators: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2019;**12**:e007600.
 475. Santangeli P, Muser D, Maeda S, Filtz A, Zado ES, Frankel DS, et al. Comparative effectiveness of antiarrhythmic drugs and catheter ablation for the prevention of recurrent ventricular tachycardia in patients with implantable cardioverter-defibrillators: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Heart Rhythm* 2016;**13**:1552–1559.
 476. Martinez BK, Baker WL, Konopka A, Giannelli D, Coleman CI, Kluger J, et al. Systematic review and meta-analysis of catheter ablation of ventricular tachycardia in ischemic heart disease. *Heart Rhythm* 2020;**17**:e206–e219.
 477. Reddy VY, Reynolds MR, Neuzil P, Richardson AVW, Taborsky M, Jongnarangsin K, et al. Prophylactic catheter ablation for the prevention of defibrillator therapy. *N Engl J Med* 2007;**357**:2657–2665.
 478. Sapp JL, Wells GA, Parkash R, Stevenson WG, Blier L, Sarrazin JF, et al. Ventricular tachycardia ablation versus escalation of antiarrhythmic drugs. *N Engl J Med* 2016;**375**:111–121.
 479. Kuck KH, Schaumann A, Eckardt L, Willems S, Ventura R, Delacretaz E, et al. Catheter ablation of stable ventricular tachycardia before defibrillator implantation in patients with coronary heart disease (VTACH): a multicentre randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2010;**375**:31–40.
 480. Briceno DF, Gupta T, Romero J, Kolte D, Khera S, Villablanca PA, et al. Catheter ablation of ventricular tachycardia in nonischemic cardiomyopathy: a propensity score-matched analysis of in-hospital outcomes in the United States. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 2018;**29**:771–779.
 481. Glikson M, Nielsen JC, Kronborg MB, Michowitz Y, Auricchio A, Barbash IM, et al. 2021 ESC Guidelines on cardiac pacing and cardiac resynchronization therapy. *Eur Heart J* 2021;**42**:3427–3520.
 482. Marti-Almor J, Cladellas M, Bazan V, Delclos J, Altaba C, Guijo MA, et al. Novel predictors of progression of atrioventricular block in patients with chronic bifascicular block. *Rev Esp Cardiol* 2010;**63**:400–408.
 483. Santini M, Castro A, Giada F, Ricci R, Inama G, Gaggioli G, et al. Prevention of syncope through permanent cardiac pacing in patients with bifascicular block and syncope of unexplained origin: the PRESS study. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2013;**6**:101–107.
 484. Stone ME, Salter B, Fischer A. Perioperative management of patients with cardiac implantable electronic devices. *Br J Anaesth* 2011;**107**:i16–i26.
 485. Cardiac implantable electronic device management (corrected). *Anesthesiology* 2020;**132**:225–252.
 486. Gifford J, Larimer K, Thomas C, May P. ICD-ON Registry for perioperative management of CIEDs: most require no change. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol* 2017;**40**:128–134.
 487. Mahlow WJ, Craft RM, Misulia NL, Cox JW, Jr., Hirsh JB, Snider CC, et al. A perioperative management algorithm for cardiac rhythm management devices: the PACED-OP protocol. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol* 2013;**36**:238–248.
 488. Neubauer H, Wellmann M, Herzog-Niescery J, Wutzler A, Weber TP, Mugge A, et al. Comparison of perioperative strategies in ICD patients: the perioperative ICD management study (PIM study). *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol* 2018;**41**:1536–1542.
 489. Feldman JB, Stone ME. Anesthesia teams managing pacemakers and ICDs for the perioperative period: enhanced patient safety and improved workflows. *Curr Opin Anaesthesiol* 2020;**33**:441–447.
 490. Mickus GJ, Soliman GI, Reed RR, Martin AK. Perioperative management of a leadless pacemaker: the paucity of evidence-based guidelines. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth* 2016;**30**:1594–1598.
 491. Karuppiah S, Prielipp R, Banik RK. Anesthetic consideration for patients with micra leadless pacemaker. *Ann Card Anaesth* 2020;**23**:493–495.
 492. Gifford J, Larimer K, Thomas C, May P, Stanhope S, Gami A. Randomized controlled trial of perioperative ICD management: magnet application versus reprogramming. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol* 2014;**37**:1219–1224.
 493. Winter J, Kohlmeier A, Shin DI, O'Connor S. Subcutaneous implantable cardioverter-defibrillators and sternal wires: a cautionary tale. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2014;**7**:986–987.
 494. Schulman PM, Treggiari MM, Yanez ND, Henrikson CA, Jessel PM, Dewland TA, et al. Electromagnetic interference with protocolized electrosurgery dispersive electrode positioning in patients with implantable cardioverter defibrillators. *Anesthesiology* 2019;**130**:530–540.
 495. Baeg MK, Kim SW, Ko SH, Lee YB, Hwang S, Lee BW, et al. Endoscopic electrosurgery in patients with cardiac implantable electronic devices. *Clin Endosc* 2016;**49**:176–181.

496. Mangar D, Atlas GM, Kane PB. Electrocautery-induced pacemaker malfunction during surgery. *Can J Anaesth* 1991;**38**:616–618.
497. Rozner MA. Review of electrical interference in implanted cardiac devices. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol* 2003;**26**:923–925.
498. de Cock CC, Spruijt HJ, van Campen LM, Plu AW, Visser CA. Electromagnetic interference of an implantable loop recorder by commonly encountered electronic devices. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol* 2000;**23**:1516–1518.
499. Suarez-Fuster L, Oh C, Baranchuk A. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation electromagnetic interference in an implantable loop recorder. *J Arrhythm* 2018;**34**:96–97.
500. Gilboa SM, Salemi JL, Nembhard WN, Fixler DE, Correa A. Mortality resulting from congenital heart disease among children and adults in the United States, 1999 to 2006. *Circulation* 2010;**122**:2254–2263.
501. Marelli AJ, Ionescu-Ittu R, Mackie AS, Guo L, Dendukuri N, Kaouache M. Lifetime prevalence of congenital heart disease in the general population from 2000 to 2010. *Circulation* 2014;**130**:749–756.
502. Maxwell BG, Wong JK, Kin C, Lobato RL. Perioperative outcomes of major non-cardiac surgery in adults with congenital heart disease. *Anesthesiology* 2013;**119**:762–769.
503. Baumgartner H, De Backer J, Babu-Narayan SV, Budts W, Chessa M, Diller GP, et al. 2020 ESC Guidelines for the management of adult congenital heart disease. *Eur Heart J* 2021;**42**:563–645.
504. Regitz-Zagrosek V, Roos-Hesselink JW, Bauersachs J, Blomström-Lundqvist C, Cifková R, De Bonis M, et al. 2018 ESC Guidelines for the management of cardiovascular diseases during pregnancy. *Eur Heart J* 2018;**39**:3165–3241.
505. Ammash NM, Connolly HM, Abel MD, Warnes CA. Noncardiac surgery in Eisenmenger syndrome. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1999;**33**:222–227.
506. Faraoni D, Zurakowski D, Vo D, Goobie SM, Yuki K, Brown ML, et al. Post-operative outcomes in children with and without congenital heart disease undergoing noncardiac surgery. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2016;**67**:793–801.
507. Alonso-Gonzalez R, Borgia F, Diller GP, Inuzuka R, Kempny A, Martinez-Naharro A, et al. Abnormal lung function in adults with congenital heart disease: prevalence, relation to cardiac anatomy, and association with survival. *Circulation* 2013;**127**:882–890.
508. Maxwell BG, Posner KL, Wong JK, Oakes DA, Kelly NE, Domino KB, et al. Factors contributing to adverse perioperative events in adults with congenital heart disease: a structured analysis of cases from the closed claims project. *Congenit Heart Dis* 2015;**10**:21–29.
509. Lui GK, Saidi A, Bhatt AB, Burchill LJ, Deen JF, Earing MG, et al. Diagnosis and management of noncardiac complications in adults with congenital heart disease: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation* 2017;**136**:e348–e392.
510. Adler Y, Charron P, Imazio M, Badano L, Baron-Esquivias G, Bogaert J, et al. 2015 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of pericardial diseases: the Task Force for the Diagnosis and Management of Pericardial Diseases of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). Endorsed by: the European Association for Cardio-Thoracic Surgery (EACTS). *Eur Heart J* 2015;**36**:2921–2964.
511. Tuck BC, Townsley MM. Clinical update in pericardial diseases. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth* 2019;**33**:184–199.
512. Ristic AD, Imazio M, Adler Y, Anastasakis A, Badano LP, Brucato A, et al. Triage strategy for urgent management of cardiac tamponade: a position statement of the European Society of Cardiology Working Group on Myocardial and Pericardial Diseases. *Eur Heart J* 2014;**35**:2279–2284.
513. Sin DD, Wu L, Man SF. The relationship between reduced lung function and cardiovascular mortality: a population-based study and a systematic review of the literature. *Chest* 2005;**127**:1952–1959.
514. Canet J, Gallart L, Gomar C, Paluzie G, Valles J, Castillo J, et al. Prediction of post-operative pulmonary complications in a population-based surgical cohort. *Anesthesiology* 2010;**113**:1338–1350.
515. Edrich T, Sadovnikoff N. Anesthesia for patients with severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Curr Opin Anaesthesiol* 2010;**23**:18–24.
516. Chau EH, Lam D, Wong J, Mokhlesi B, Chung F. Obesity hypoventilation syndrome: a review of epidemiology, pathophysiology, and perioperative considerations. *Anesthesiology* 2012;**117**:188–205.
517. Kaw R, Gali B, Collop NA. Perioperative care of patients with obstructive sleep apnea. *Curr Treat Options Neurol* 2011;**13**:496–507.
518. Galie N, Humbert M, Vachiery JL, Gibbs S, Lang I, Torbicki A, et al. 2015 ESC/ERS Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of pulmonary hypertension: the Joint Task Force for the Diagnosis and Treatment of Pulmonary Hypertension of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) and the European Respiratory Society (ERS). Endorsed by: Association for European Paediatric and Congenital Cardiology (AEPC), International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation (ISHLT). *Eur Heart J* 2016;**37**:67–119.
519. Bowker D, Banks D. Pulmonary hypertension in noncardiac surgical patients. In: Kaplan JA Cronin B and Maus TM, editors. *Essentials of Cardiac Anesthesia for Noncardiac Surgery*. Philadelphia, PA: Elsevier; 2019. p138–164.
520. Meyer S, McLaughlin VV, Seyfarth HJ, Bull TM, Vizza CD, Gombert-Maitland M, et al. Outcomes of noncardiac, nonobstetric surgery in patients with PAH: an international prospective survey. *Eur Respir J* 2013;**41**:1302–1307.
521. Price LC, Montani D, Jais X, Dick JR, Simonneau G, Sitbon O, et al. Noncardiothoracic nonobstetric surgery in mild-to-moderate pulmonary hypertension. *Eur Respir J* 2010;**35**:1294–1302.
522. Olsson KM, Halank M, Egenlauf B, Fistera D, Gall H, Kaehler C, et al. Decompensated right heart failure, intensive care and perioperative management in patients with pulmonary hypertension: updated recommendations from the Cologne Consensus Conference 2018. *Int J Cardiol* 2018;**272**:46–52.
523. Pilkington SA, Taboada D, Martinez G. Pulmonary hypertension and its management in patients undergoing non-cardiac surgery. *Anaesthesia* 2015;**70**:56–70.
524. ESC. 2022 ESC/ERS Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of pulmonary hypertension. *Eur Heart J* 2022;**43**:3618–3731.
525. Thunberg CA, Gaitan BD, Grewal A, Ramakrishna H, Stansbury LG, Grigore AM. Pulmonary hypertension in patients undergoing cardiac surgery: pathophysiology, perioperative management, and outcomes. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth* 2013;**27**:551–572.
526. Hayward CS, Kelly RP, Macdonald PS. Inhaled nitric oxide in cardiology practice. *Cardiovasc Res* 1999;**43**:628–638.
527. Chow CK, Teo KK, Rangarajan S, Islam S, Gupta R, Avezum A, et al. Prevalence, awareness, treatment, and control of hypertension in rural and urban communities in high-, middle-, and low-income countries. *JAMA* 2013;**310**:959–968.
528. Futier E, Lefrant JY, Guinot PG, Godet T, Lorne E, Cuvillon P, et al. Effect of individualized vs standard blood pressure management strategies on postoperative organ dysfunction among high-risk patients undergoing major surgery: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA* 2017;**318**:1346–1357.
529. Williams B, Mancia G, Spiering W, Agabiti Rosei E, Azizi M, Burnier M, et al. 2018 ESC/ESH Guidelines for the management of arterial hypertension. *Eur Heart J* 2018;**39**:3021–3104.
530. Howell SJ, Sear JW, Foex P. Hypertension, hypertensive heart disease and perioperative cardiac risk. *Br J Anaesth* 2004;**92**:570–583.
531. Messina A, Robba C, Calabro L, Zambelli D, Iannuzzi F, Molinari E, et al. Association between perioperative fluid administration and postoperative outcomes: a 20-year systematic review and a meta-analysis of randomized goal-directed trials in major visceral/noncardiac surgery. *Crit Care* 2021;**25**:43.
532. Jorgensen ME, Hlatky MA, Kober L, Sanders RD, Torp-Pedersen C, Gislason GH, et al. Beta-blocker-associated risks in patients with uncomplicated hypertension undergoing noncardiac surgery. *JAMA Intern Med* 2015;**175**:1923–1931.
533. Weksler N, Klein M, Szendro G, Rozentsveig V, Schily M, Brill S, et al. The dilemma of immediate preoperative hypertension: to treat and operate, or to postpone surgery? *J Clin Anesth* 2003;**15**:179–183.
534. Perk J, De Backer G, Gohlke H, Graham I, Reiner Z, Verschuren M, et al. European Guidelines on cardiovascular disease prevention in clinical practice (version 2012). The Fifth Joint Task Force of the European Society of Cardiology and Other Societies on Cardiovascular Disease Prevention in Clinical Practice (constituted by representatives of nine societies and by invited experts). *Eur Heart J* 2012;**33**:1635–1701.
535. Aboyans V, Ricco JB, Bartelink MEL, Björck M, Brodmann M, Cohnert T, et al. 2017 ESC Guidelines on the Diagnosis and Treatment of Peripheral Arterial Diseases, in collaboration with the European Society for Vascular Surgery (ESVS). Document covering atherosclerotic disease of extracranial carotid and vertebral, mesenteric, renal, upper and lower extremity arteries. Endorsed by: the European Stroke Organization (ESO). The Task Force for the Diagnosis and Treatment of Peripheral Arterial Diseases of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) and of the European Society for Vascular Surgery (ESVS). *Eur Heart J* 2018;**39**:763–816.
536. Bonaca MP, Nault P, Giugliano RP, Keech AC, Pineda AL, Kanevsky E, et al. Low-density lipoprotein cholesterol lowering with evolocumab and outcomes in patients with peripheral artery disease: insights from the FOURIER Trial (Further Cardiovascular Outcomes Research With PCSK9 Inhibition in Subjects With Elevated Risk). *Circulation* 2018;**137**:338–350.
537. Anand SS, Bosch J, Eikelboom JW, Connolly SJ, Diaz R, Widimsky P, et al. Rivaroxaban with or without aspirin in patients with stable peripheral or carotid artery disease: an international, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet* 2018;**391**:219–229.
538. Ashton CM, Petersen NJ, Wray NP, Kiefe CI, Dunn JK, Wu L, et al. The incidence of perioperative myocardial infarction in men undergoing noncardiac surgery. *Ann Intern Med* 1993;**118**:504–510.
539. Conte MS, Bradbury AW, Kolh P, White JV, Dick F, Fitridge R, et al. Global vascular guidelines on the management of chronic limb-threatening ischemia. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg* 2019;**58**:S1–S109.e33.

540. Brown LC, Powell JT, Thompson SG, Epstein DM, Sculpher MJ, Greenhalgh RM. The UK EndoVascular Aneurysm Repair (EVAR) trials: randomised trials of EVAR versus standard therapy. *Health Technol Assess* 2012;**16**:1–218.
541. Paravastu SC, Jayarajasingam R, Cottam R, Palfreyman SJ, Michaels JA, Thomas SM. Endovascular repair of abdominal aortic aneurysm. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2014:CD004178. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD004178.pub2.
542. Stather PW, Sidloff D, Dattani N, Choke E, Bown MJ, Sayers RD. Systematic review and meta-analysis of the early and late outcomes of open and endovascular repair of abdominal aortic aneurysm. *Br J Surg* 2013;**100**:863–872.
543. Eslami MH, Rybin DV, Doros G, Siracuse JJ, Farber A. External validation of Vascular Study Group of New England risk predictive model of mortality after elective abdominal aorta aneurysm repair in the Vascular Quality Initiative and comparison against established models. *J Vasc Surg* 2018;**67**:143–150.
544. Bonaca MP, Bauersachs RM, Anand SS, Debus ES, Nehler MR, Patel MR, et al. Rivaroxaban in peripheral artery disease after revascularization. *N Engl J Med* 2020;**382**:1994–2004.
545. Cutlip DE, Pinto DS. Extracranial carotid disease revascularization. *Circulation* 2012;**126**:2636–2644.
546. Herzog CA, Asinger RW, Berger AK, Charytan DM, Diez J, Hart RG, et al. Cardiovascular disease in chronic kidney disease. A clinical update from Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO). *Kidney Int* 2011;**80**:572–586.
547. Section 3: Prevention and Treatment of AKI. *Kidney Int Suppl (2011)* 2012;**2**:37–68.
548. Romagnoli S, Ricci Z, Ronco C. Perioperative acute kidney injury: prevention, early recognition, and supportive measures. *Nephron* 2018;**140**:105–110.
549. Kheterpal S, Tremper KK, Heung M, Rosenberg AL, Englesbe M, Shanks AM, et al. Development and validation of an acute kidney injury risk index for patients undergoing general surgery: results from a national data set. *Anesthesiology* 2009;**110**:505–515.
550. Rebholz CM, Inker LA, Chen Y, Liang M, Foster MC, Eckfeldt JH, et al. Risk of ESRD and mortality associated with change in filtration markers. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2017;**70**:551–560.
551. McCullough PA, Choi JP, Feghali GA, Schussler JM, Stoler RM, Vallabahn RC, et al. Contrast-induced acute kidney injury. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2016;**68**:1465–1473.
552. Section 2: AKI Definition. *Kidney Int Suppl (2011)* 2012;**2**:19–36.
553. Goren O, Matot I. Perioperative acute kidney injury. *Br J Anaesth* 2015;**115**:ii3–ii14.
554. Zealley I, Wang H, Donnan PT, Bell S. Exposure to contrast media in the perioperative period confers no additional risk of acute kidney injury in surgical patients. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2018;**33**:1751–1756.
555. STARSurg Collaborative. Perioperative intravenous contrast administration and the incidence of acute kidney injury after major gastrointestinal surgery: prospective, multicentre cohort study. *Br J Surg* 2020;**107**:1023–1032.
556. Meersch M, Schmidt C, Zarbock A. Patient with chronic renal failure undergoing surgery. *Curr Opin Anaesthesiol* 2016;**29**:413–420.
557. NCD Risk Factor Collaboration. Worldwide trends in body-mass index, underweight, overweight, and obesity from 1975 to 2016: a pooled analysis of 2416 population-based measurement studies in 128.9 million children, adolescents, and adults. *Lancet* 2017;**390**:2627–2642.
558. Lavie CJ, Arena R, Alpert MA, Milani RV, Ventura HO. Management of cardiovascular diseases in patients with obesity. *Nat Rev Cardiol* 2018;**15**:45–56.
559. Ortega FB, Lavie CJ, Blair SN. Obesity and cardiovascular disease. *Circ Res* 2016;**118**:1752–1770.
560. De Hert S, Staender S, Fritsch G, Hinkelbein J, Afshari A, Bettelli G, et al. Pre-operative evaluation of adults undergoing elective noncardiac surgery: updated guideline from the European Society of Anaesthesiology. *Eur J Anaesthesiol* 2018;**35**:407–465.
561. Lavie CJ, De Schutter A, Parto P, Jahangir E, Kokkinos P, Ortega FB, et al. Obesity and prevalence of cardiovascular diseases and prognosis – the obesity paradox updated. *Prog Cardiovasc Dis* 2016;**58**:537–547.
562. Elagizi A, Kachur S, Lavie CJ, Carbone S, Pandey A, Ortega FB, et al. An overview and update on obesity and the obesity paradox in cardiovascular diseases. *Prog Cardiovasc Dis* 2018;**61**:142–150.
563. Valentijn TM, Galal W, Tjeertes EK, Hoeks SE, Verhagen HJ, Stolker RJ. The obesity paradox in the surgical population. *Surgeon* 2013;**11**:169–176.
564. Hidvegi R, Puelacher C, Gualandro DM, Lampart A, Lurati Buse G, Hammerer-Lerchner A, et al. Obesity paradox and perioperative myocardial infarction/injury in non-cardiac surgery. *Clin Res Cardiol* 2020;**109**:1140–1147.
565. Ross R, Blair SN, Arena R, Church TS, Despres JP, Franklin BA, et al. Importance of assessing cardiorespiratory fitness in clinical practice: a case for fitness as a clinical vital sign: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation* 2016;**134**:e653–e699.
566. McAuley PA, Artero EG, Sui X, Lee DC, Church TS, Lavie CJ, et al. The obesity paradox, cardiorespiratory fitness, and coronary heart disease. *Mayo Clin Proc* 2012;**87**:443–451.
567. Pedersen BK. Body mass index-independent effect of fitness and physical activity for all-cause mortality. *Scand J Med Sci Sports* 2007;**17**:196–204.
568. Roman M, Monaghan A, Serraino GF, Miller D, Pathak S, Lai F, et al. Meta-analysis of the influence of lifestyle changes for preoperative weight loss on surgical outcomes. *Br J Surg* 2019;**106**:181–189.
569. McCullough PA, Gallagher MJ, Dejong AT, Sandberg KR, Trivax JE, Alexander D, et al. Cardiorespiratory fitness and short-term complications after bariatric surgery. *Chest* 2006;**130**:517–525.
570. Smith TB, Stonell C, Purkayastha S, Paraskevas P. Cardiopulmonary exercise testing as a risk assessment method in non cardio-pulmonary surgery: a systematic review. *Anaesthesia* 2009;**64**:883–893.
571. Emerging Risk Factors Collaboration, Sarwar N, Gao P, Seshasai SR, Gobin R, Kaptoge S, et al. Diabetes mellitus, fasting blood glucose concentration, and risk of vascular disease: a collaborative meta-analysis of 102 prospective studies. *Lancet* 2010;**375**:2215–2222.
572. Kadoi Y. Anesthetic considerations in diabetic patients. Part II: intraoperative and postoperative management of patients with diabetes mellitus. *J Anesth* 2010;**24**:748–756.
573. Cosentino F, Grant PJ, Aboyans V, Bailey CJ, Ceriello A, Delgado V, et al. 2019 ESC Guidelines on diabetes, pre-diabetes, and cardiovascular diseases developed in collaboration with the EASD. *Eur Heart J* 2020;**41**:255–323.
574. American Diabetes Association. 15. Diabetes care in the hospital: standards of medical care in diabetes - 2020. *Diabetes Care* 2021;**44**:S211–S220.
575. Shah NJ, Leis A, Kheterpal S, Englesbe MJ, Kumar SS. Association of intraoperative hyperglycemia and postoperative outcomes in patients undergoing non-cardiac surgery: a multicenter retrospective study. *BMC Anesthesiol* 2020;**20**:106.
576. Aharaz A, Pottgard A, Henriksen DP, Hallas J, Beck-Nielsen H, Lassen AT. Risk of lactic acidosis in type 2 diabetes patients using metformin: a case control study. *PLoS One* 2018;**13**:e0196122.
577. Vogt AP, Bally L. Perioperative glucose management: current status and future directions. *Best Pract Res Clin Anaesthesiol* 2020;**34**:213–224.
578. Pasquel FJ, Gomez-Huelgas R, Anzola I, Oyedokun F, Haw JS, Vellanki P, et al. Predictive value of admission hemoglobin A1c on inpatient glycemic control and response to insulin therapy in medicine and surgery patients with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 2015;**38**:e202–e203.
579. Carpenter DL, Gregg SR, Xu K, Buchman TG, Coopersmith CM. Prevalence and impact of unknown diabetes in the ICU. *Crit Care Med* 2015;**43**:e541–e550.
580. van den Boon VV, Schroeder RA, Manning MW, Setji TL, Fiestan GO, Dunson DB. Effect of A1C and glucose on postoperative mortality in noncardiac and cardiac surgeries. *Diabetes Care* 2018;**41**:782–788.
581. Dhataria K, Levy N, Kilvert A, Watson B, Cousins D, Flanagan D, et al. NHS Diabetes guideline for the perioperative management of the adult patient with diabetes. *Diabet Med* 2012;**29**:420–433.
582. Bergqvist D, Agnelli G, Cohen AT, Eldor A, Nilsson PE, Le Moigne-Amrani A, et al. Duration of prophylaxis against venous thromboembolism with enoxaparin after surgery for cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2002;**346**:975–980.
583. Kirschner M, do OHN, Parmentier S, Hart C, Henze L, Bisping G, et al. Primary thromboprophylaxis in patients with malignancies: Daily Practice recommendations by the Hemostasis Working Party of the German Society of Hematology and Medical Oncology (DGHO), the Society of Thrombosis and Hemostasis Research (GTH), and the Austrian Society of Hematology and Oncology (OGHO). *Cancers (Basel)* 2021;**13**:2905.
584. ESC. 2022 ESC Guidelines on cardio-oncology. *Eur Heart J* 2022;**XX**:XX–XX.
585. COVIDSurg Collaborative, GlobalSurg Collaborative. Timing of surgery following SARS-CoV-2 infection: an international prospective cohort study. *Anaesthesia* 2021;**76**:748–758.
586. COVIDSurg Collaborative, GlobalSurg Collaborative. SARS-CoV-2 infection and venous thromboembolism after surgery: an international prospective cohort study. *Anaesthesia* 2022;**77**:28–39.
587. Guzik TJ, Mohiddin SA, Dimarco A, Patel V, Sawatis K, Marelli-Berg FM, et al. COVID-19 and the cardiovascular system: implications for risk assessment, diagnosis, and treatment options. *Cardiovasc Res* 2020;**116**:1666–1687.
588. Puntmann VO, Carerj ML, Wieters I, Fahim M, Arendt C, Hoffmann J, et al. Outcomes of cardiovascular magnetic resonance imaging in patients recently recovered from coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). *JAMA Cardiol* 2020;**5**:1265–1273.
589. Rohatgi N, Smilowitz NR, Reehsinghani R. Perioperative cardiovascular considerations prior to elective noncardiac surgery in patients with a history of COVID-19. *JAMA Surg* 2022;**157**:187–188.
590. Deng JZ, Chan JS, Potter AL, Chen YW, Sandhu HS, Panda N, et al. The risk of postoperative complications after major elective surgery in active or resolved COVID-19 in the United States. *Ann Surg* 2022;**275**:242–246.
591. Anesthesia Patient Safety Foundation. American Society of Anesthesiologists and Anesthesia Patient Safety Foundation Joint Statement on Elective Surgery and Anesthesia for Patients after COVID-19 Infection. <https://www.apsf.org/news-updates/asa-and-apsf-joint-statement-on-elective-surgery-and-anesthesia-for-patients-after-covid-19-infection/> (30 March 2022).

592. Mellin-Olsen J, Staender S, Whitaker DK, Smith AF. The Helsinki Declaration on patient safety in anaesthesiology. *Eur J Anaesthesiol* 2010;**27**:592–597.
593. De Hert S. Perioperative monitoring: anaesthesiology. In: Camm AJ, Lüscher TF, Maurer G and Serruys PW, editors. *ESC CardioMed*. 3rd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2018. p2683–2686.
594. Hamilton-Davies C, Mythen MG, Salmon JB, Jacobson D, Shukla A, Webb AR. Comparison of commonly used clinical indicators of hypovolaemia with gastrointestinal tonometry. *Intensive Care Med* 1997;**23**:276–281.
595. Marik PE, Cavallazzi R. Does the central venous pressure predict fluid responsiveness? An updated meta-analysis and a plea for some common sense. *Crit Care Med* 2013;**41**:1774–1781.
596. Gaba DM. Improving anesthesiologists' performance by simulating reality. *Anesthesiology* 1992;**76**:491–494.
597. Runciman WB. Commentary on equipment recommendations. *Eur J Anaesthesiol Suppl* 1993;**7**:16–18.
598. Block FE, Jr., Nuutinen L, Ballast B. Optimization of alarms: a study on alarm limits, alarm sounds, and false alarms, intended to reduce annoyance. *J Clin Monit Comput* 1999;**15**:75–83.
599. De Hert S, Moerman A. Anesthetic preconditioning: have we found the holy grail of perioperative cardioprotection? *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth* 2018;**32**:1135–1136.
600. Bijker JB, van Klei WA, Kappen TH, van Wolfswinkel L, Moons KG, Kalkman CJ. Incidence of intraoperative hypotension as a function of the chosen definition: literature definitions applied to a retrospective cohort using automated data collection. *Anesthesiology* 2007;**107**:213–220.
601. Vernooij LM, van Klei WA, Machina M, Pasma W, Beattie WS, Peelen LM. Different methods of modelling intraoperative hypotension and their association with postoperative complications in patients undergoing non-cardiac surgery. *Br J Anaesth* 2018;**120**:1080–1089.
602. Sessler DI, Bloomstone JA, Aronson S, Berry C, Gan TJ, Kellum JA, et al. Perioperative Quality Initiative consensus statement on intraoperative blood pressure, risk and outcomes for elective surgery. *Br J Anaesth* 2019;**122**:563–574.
603. Sessler DI, Meyhoff CS, Zimmerman NM, Mao G, Leslie K, Vasquez SM, et al. Period-dependent associations between hypotension during and for four days after noncardiac surgery and a composite of myocardial infarction and death: a substudy of the POISE-2 trial. *Anesthesiology* 2018;**128**:317–327.
604. Ladha KS, Beattie WS, Tait G, Wijeyesundera DN. Association between preoperative ambulatory heart rate and postoperative myocardial injury: a retrospective cohort study. *Br J Anaesth* 2018;**121**:722–729.
605. Abbott TE, Ackland GL, Archbold RA, Wragg A, Kam E, Ahmad T, et al. Preoperative heart rate and myocardial injury after non-cardiac surgery: results of a predefined secondary analysis of the VISION study. *Br J Anaesth* 2016;**117**:172–181.
606. Abbott TEF, Minto G, Lee AM, Pearse RM, Ackland GL, POM-HR, POMO-O and OPTIMISE study groups. Elevated preoperative heart rate is associated with cardiopulmonary and autonomic impairment in high-risk surgical patients. *Br J Anaesth* 2017;**119**:87–94.
607. Ruetzler K, Yilmaz HO, Turan A, Zimmerman NM, Mao G, Hung MH, et al. Intra-operative tachycardia is not associated with a composite of myocardial injury and mortality after noncardiac surgery: a retrospective cohort analysis. *Eur J Anaesthesiol* 2019;**36**:105–113.
608. Straarup TS, Hausenloy DJ, Rolighed Larsen JK. Cardiac troponins and volatile anaesthetics in coronary artery bypass graft surgery: a systematic review, meta-analysis and trial sequential analysis. *Eur J Anaesthesiol* 2016;**33**:396–407.
609. Landoni G, Lomivorotov VV, Nigro Neto C, Monaco F, Pasyuga VV, Bradic N, et al. Volatile anaesthetics versus total intravenous anaesthesia for cardiac surgery. *N Engl J Med* 2019;**380**:1214–1225.
610. Lurati Buse GA, Schumacher P, Seeberger E, Studer W, Schuman RM, Fassl J, et al. Randomized comparison of sevoflurane versus propofol to reduce perioperative myocardial ischemia in patients undergoing noncardiac surgery. *Circulation* 2012;**126**:2696–2704.
611. Rodgers A, Walker N, Schug S, McKee A, Kehlet H, van Zundert A, et al. Reduction of postoperative mortality and morbidity with epidural or spinal anaesthesia: results from overview of randomised trials. *BMJ* 2000;**321**:1493.
612. Bos EME, Hollmann MW, Lirk P. Safety and efficacy of epidural analgesia. *Curr Opin Anaesthesiol* 2017;**30**:736–742.
613. Gogarten W, Vandermeulen E, Van Aken H, Kozek S, Llau JV, Samama CM, et al. Regional anaesthesia and antithrombotic agents: recommendations of the European Society of Anaesthesiology. *Eur J Anaesthesiol* 2010;**27**:999–1015.
614. Hamilton MA, Cecconi M, Rhodes A. A systematic review and meta-analysis on the use of preemptive hemodynamic intervention to improve postoperative outcomes in moderate and high-risk surgical patients. *Anesth Analg* 2011;**112**:1392–1402.
615. Grocott MP, Dushianthan A, Hamilton MA, Mythen MG, Harrison D, Rowan K, et al. Perioperative increase in global blood flow to explicit defined goals and outcomes following surgery. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2012;**11**:CD004082.
616. Cecconi M, Corredor C, Arulkumaran N, Abuella G, Ball J, Grounds RM, et al. Clinical review: goal-directed therapy – what is the evidence in surgical patients? The effect on different risk groups. *Crit Care* 2013;**17**:209.
617. Arulkumaran N, Corredor C, Hamilton MA, Ball J, Grounds RM, Rhodes A, et al. Cardiac complications associated with goal-directed therapy in high-risk surgical patients: a meta-analysis. *Br J Anaesth* 2014;**112**:648–659.
618. Nicklas JY, Diener O, Leistenschneider M, Sellhorn C, Schon G, Winkler M, et al. Personalised haemodynamic management targeting baseline cardiac index in high-risk patients undergoing major abdominal surgery: a randomised single-centre clinical trial. *Br J Anaesth* 2020;**125**:122–132.
619. Taenzer AH, Pyke JB, McGrath SP. A review of current and emerging approaches to address failure-to-rescue. *Anesthesiology* 2011;**115**:421–431.
620. Pyke J, Taenzer AH, Renaud CE, McGrath SP. Developing a continuous monitoring infrastructure for detection of inpatient deterioration. *Jt Comm J Qual Patient Saf* 2012;**38**:428–431.
621. McGrath SP, Taenzer AH, Karon N, Blike G. Surveillance monitoring management for general care units: strategy, design, and implementation. *Jt Comm J Qual Patient Saf* 2016;**42**:293–302.
622. Taenzer AH, Spence BC. The afferent limb of rapid response systems: continuous monitoring on general care units. *Crit Care Clin* 2018;**34**:189–198.
623. Liu SS, Wu CL. The effect of analgesic technique on postoperative patient-reported outcomes including analgesia: a systematic review. *Anesth Analg* 2007;**105**:789–808.
624. White PF, Kehlet H. Postoperative pain management and patient outcome: time to return to work!. *Anesth Analg* 2007;**104**:487–489.
625. Turan A, Leung S, Bajracharya GR, Babazade R, Barnes T, Schacham YN, et al. Acute postoperative pain is associated with myocardial injury after noncardiac surgery. *Anesth Analg* 2020;**131**:822–829.
626. Olsen AM, Fosbol EL, Lindhardsen J, Folke F, Charlot M, Selmer C, et al. Long-term cardiovascular risk of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug use according to time passed after first-time myocardial infarction: a nationwide cohort study. *Circulation* 2012;**126**:1955–1963.
627. Schmidt M, Sorensen HT, Pedersen L. Diclofenac use and cardiovascular risks: series of nationwide cohort studies. *BMJ* 2018;**362**:k3426.
628. Farkouh ME, Greenberg BP. An evidence-based review of the cardiovascular risks of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs. *Am J Cardiol* 2009;**103**:1227–1237.
629. Ray WA, Varas-Lorenzo C, Chung CP, Castellsague J, Murray KT, Stein CM, et al. Cardiovascular risks of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs in patients after hospitalization for serious coronary heart disease. *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes* 2009;**2**:155–163.
630. Antman EM, Bennett JS, Daugherty A, Furberg C, Roberts H, Taubert KA, et al. Use of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs: an update for clinicians: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation* 2007;**115**:1634–1642.
631. Schug SA, Joshi GP, Camu F, Pan S, Cheung R. Cardiovascular safety of the cyclooxygenase-2 selective inhibitors parecoxib and valdecoxib in the postoperative setting: an analysis of integrated data. *Anesth Analg* 2009;**108**:299–307.
632. Liu SS, Bae JJ, Blietz M, Ma Y, Memsoudis S. Association of perioperative use of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs with postoperative myocardial infarction after total joint replacement. *Reg Anesth Pain Med* 2012;**37**:45–50.
633. Schmidt M, Lamberts M, Olsen AM, Fosbol E, Niessner A, Tamargo J, et al. Cardiovascular safety of non-aspirin non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs: review and position paper by the working group for Cardiovascular Pharmacotherapy of the European Society of Cardiology. *Eur Heart J* 2016;**37**:1015–1023.
634. Gregory A, Stapelfeldt WH, Khanna AK, Smischney NJ, Boero JJ, Chen Q, et al. Intraoperative hypotension is associated with adverse clinical outcomes after non-cardiac surgery. *Anesth Analg* 2021;**132**:1654–1665.
635. Aldington S, Shirtcliffe P, Weatherall M, Beasley R. Increased risk of cardiovascular events with parecoxib/valdecoxib: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *N Z Med J* 2005;**118**:U1755.
636. Devereaux PJ, Sessler DI. Cardiac complications in patients undergoing major non-cardiac surgery. *N Engl J Med* 2015;**373**:2258–2269.
637. Sabate S, Mases A, Guilera N, Canet J, Castillo J, Orrego C, et al. Incidence and predictors of major perioperative adverse cardiac and cerebrovascular events in non-cardiac surgery. *Br J Anaesth* 2011;**107**:879–890.
638. Smilowitz NR, Redel-Traub G, Hausvater A, Armanious A, Nicholson J, Puelacher C, et al. Myocardial injury after noncardiac surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Cardiol Rev* 2019;**27**:267–273.
639. Smilowitz NR, Gupta N, Guo Y, Berger JS, Bangalore S. Perioperative acute myocardial infarction associated with non-cardiac surgery. *Eur Heart J* 2017;**38**:2409–2417.
640. Master AM, Dack S, Jaffe HL. Postoperative coronary artery occlusion. *JAMA* 1938;**110**:1415–1418.
641. Devereaux PJ, Xavier D, Pogue J, Guyatt G, Sigamani A, Garutti I, et al. Characteristics and short-term prognosis of perioperative myocardial infarction in patients undergoing noncardiac surgery: a cohort study. *Ann Intern Med* 2011;**154**:523–528.

642. Borges FK, Devereaux PJ. Physicians should obtain perioperative cardiac troponin measurements in at-risk patients undergoing noncardiac surgery. *Clin Chem* 2021; **67**:50–53.
643. Thygesen K, Alpert JS, Jaffe AS, Chaitman BR, Bax JJ, Morrow DA, et al. Fourth Universal Definition of Myocardial Infarction (2018). *Circulation* 2018; **138**: e618–e651.
644. Toda H, Nakamura K, Shimizu K, Ejiri K, Iwano T, Miyoshi T, et al. Effects of bisoprolol transdermal patches for prevention of perioperative myocardial injury in high-risk patients undergoing non-cardiac surgery: multicenter randomized controlled study. *Circ J* 2020; **84**:642–649.
645. Devereaux PJ, Szczeklik W. Myocardial injury after non-cardiac surgery: diagnosis and management. *Eur Heart J* 2020; **41**:3083–3091.
646. Ruetzler K, Smilowitz NR, Berger JS, Devereaux PJ, Maron BA, Newby LK, et al. Diagnosis and management of patients with myocardial injury after noncardiac surgery: A scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation* 2021; **144**:e287–e305.
647. Borges FK, Sheth T, Patel A, Marcucci M, Yung T, Langer T, et al. Accuracy of physicians in differentiating type 1 and type 2 myocardial infarction based on clinical information. *CJC Open* 2020; **2**:577–584.
648. Gualandro DM, Puelacher C, Lurati Buse G, Glarner N, Cardozo FA, Vogt R, et al. Incidence and outcomes of perioperative myocardial infarction/injury diagnosed by high-sensitivity cardiac troponin I. *Clin Res Cardiol* 2021; **110**:1450–1463.
649. Park J, Oh AR, Kwon JH, Kim S, Kim J, Yang K, et al. Association between cardiologist evaluation and mortality in cardiac injury after non-cardiac surgery. *Heart* 2021.
650. Devereaux PJ, Duceppe E, Guyatt G, Tandon V, Rodseth R, Biccard BM, et al. Dabigatran in patients with myocardial injury after non-cardiac surgery (MANAGE): an international, randomised, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet* 2018; **391**:2325–2334.
651. Ponikowski P, Voors AA, Anker SD, Bueno H, Cleland JGF, Coats AJS, et al. 2016 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure: the Task Force for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) developed with the special contribution of the Heart Failure Association (HFA) of the ESC. *Eur Heart J* 2016; **37**:2129–2200.
652. Konstantinides SV, Meyer G, Becattini C, Bueno H, Geersing GJ, Harjola VP, et al. 2019 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of acute pulmonary embolism developed in collaboration with the European Respiratory Society (ERS). *Eur Heart J* 2020; **41**:543–603.
653. Marti C, John G, Konstantinides S, Combescure C, Sanchez O, Lankeit M, et al. Systemic thrombolytic therapy for acute pulmonary embolism: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur Heart J* 2015; **36**:605–614.
654. Neely RC, Byrne JG, Gosev I, Cohn LH, Javed Q, Rawn JD, et al. Surgical embolectomy for acute massive and submassive pulmonary embolism in a series of 115 patients. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2015; **100**:1245–1251; discussion 1251–1242.
655. Conen D, Alonso-Coello P, Douketis J, Chan MTV, Kurz A, Sigamani A, et al. Risk of stroke and other adverse outcomes in patients with perioperative atrial fibrillation 1 year after non-cardiac surgery. *Eur Heart J* 2020; **41**:645–651.
656. Alturki A, Marafi M, Proietti R, Cardinale D, Blackwell R, Dorian P, et al. Major adverse cardiovascular events associated with postoperative atrial fibrillation after noncardiac surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2020; **13**:e007437.
657. Chebbout R, Heywood EG, Drake TM, Wild JRL, Lee J, Wilson M, et al. A systematic review of the incidence of and risk factors for postoperative atrial fibrillation following general surgery. *Anaesthesia* 2018; **73**:490–498.
658. Albin A, Malavasi VL, Vitolo M, Imberti JF, Marietta M, Lip GYH, et al. Long-term outcomes of postoperative atrial fibrillation following non cardiac surgery: a systematic review and metanalysis. *Eur J Intern Med* 2021; **85**:27–33.
659. Hyun J, Cho MS, Nam GB, Kim M, Do U, Kim J, et al. Natural course of new-onset postoperative atrial fibrillation after noncardiac surgery. *J Am Heart Assoc* 2021; **10**: e018548.
660. Heywood EG, Drake TM, Bradburn M, Lee J, Wilson MJ, Lee MJ. Atrial fibrillation after gastrointestinal surgery: incidence and associated risk factors. *J Surg Res* 2019; **238**:23–28.
661. Lin MH, Kamel H, Singer DE, Wu YL, Lee M, Ovbiagele B. Perioperative/post-operative atrial fibrillation and risk of subsequent stroke and/or mortality. *Stroke* 2019; **50**:1364–1371.
662. Dobrev D, Aguilar M, Heijman J, Guichard JB, Nattel S. Postoperative atrial fibrillation: mechanisms, manifestations and management. *Nat Rev Cardiol* 2019; **16**:417–436.
663. Mathew JP, Fontes ML, Tudor IC, Ramsay J, Duke P, Mazer CD, et al. A multicenter risk index for atrial fibrillation after cardiac surgery. *JAMA* 2004; **291**:1720–1729.
664. Villareal RP, Hariharan R, Liu BC, Kar B, Lee VV, Elayda M, et al. Postoperative atrial fibrillation and mortality after coronary artery bypass surgery. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2004; **43**:742–748.
665. Cardinale D, Sandri MT, Colombo A, Salvatici M, Tedeschi I, Bacchiani G, et al. Prevention of atrial fibrillation in high-risk patients undergoing lung cancer surgery: the PRESAGE Trial. *Ann Surg* 2016; **264**:244–251.
666. Arsenault KA, Yusuf AM, Crystal E, Healey JS, Morillo CA, Nair GM, et al. Interventions for preventing post-operative atrial fibrillation in patients undergoing heart surgery. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2013; **2013**:CD003611.
667. Ozaydin M, Icli A, Yucel H, Akcay S, Peker O, Erdogan D, et al. Metoprolol vs. carvedilol or carvedilol plus N-acetyl cysteine on post-operative atrial fibrillation: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. *Eur Heart J* 2013; **34**:597–604.
668. O'Neal JB, Billings F, Liu X, Shotwell MS, Liang Y, Shah AS, et al. Effect of preoperative beta-blocker use on outcomes following cardiac surgery. *Am J Cardiol* 2017; **120**:1293–1297.
669. Buckley MS, Nolan PE, Jr., Slack MK, Tisdale JE, Hilleman DE, Copeland JG. Amiodarone prophylaxis for atrial fibrillation after cardiac surgery: meta-analysis of dose response and timing of initiation. *Pharmacotherapy* 2007; **27**:360–368.
670. Riber LP, Christensen TD, Jensen HK, Hoejsgaard A, Pilegaard HK. Amiodarone significantly decreases atrial fibrillation in patients undergoing surgery for lung cancer. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2012; **94**:339–344; discussion 345–336.
671. Tisdale JE, Wroblewski HA, Wall DS, Rieger KM, Hammoud ZT, Young JV, et al. A randomized trial evaluating amiodarone for prevention of atrial fibrillation after pulmonary resection. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2009; **88**:886–893; discussion 894–885.
672. Yuan X, Du J, Liu Q, Zhang L. Defining the role of perioperative statin treatment in patients after cardiac surgery: a meta-analysis and systematic review of 20 randomized controlled trials. *Int J Cardiol* 2017; **228**:958–966.
673. Zheng Z, Jayaram R, Jiang L, Emberson J, Zhao Y, Li Q, et al. Perioperative rosuvastatin in cardiac surgery. *N Engl J Med* 2016; **374**:1744–1753.
674. Fairley JL, Zhang L, Glassford NJ, Bellomo R. Magnesium status and magnesium therapy in cardiac surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis focusing on arrhythmia prevention. *J Crit Care* 2017; **42**:69–77.
675. Tabbalat RA, Hamad NM, Alhaddad IA, Hammoudeh A, Akasheh BF, Khader Y. Effect of Colchicine on the Incidence of atrial fibrillation in open heart surgery patients: END-AF trial. *Am Heart J* 2016; **178**:102–107.
676. Wang W, Mei YQ, Yuan XH, Feng XD. Clinical efficacy of epicardial application of drug-releasing hydrogels to prevent postoperative atrial fibrillation. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2016; **151**:80–85.
677. Dieleman JM, Nierich AP, Rosseel PM, van der Maaten JM, Hofland J, Diephuis JC, et al. Intraoperative high-dose dexamethasone for cardiac surgery: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA* 2012; **308**:1761–1767.
678. Whitlock RP, Devereaux PJ, Teoh KH, Lamy A, Vincent J, Pogue J, et al. Methylprednisolone in patients undergoing cardiopulmonary bypass (SIRS): a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet* 2015; **386**:1243–1253.
679. Kowey PR, Dorian P, Mitchell LB, Pratt CM, Roy D, Schwartz PJ, et al. Vernakalant hydrochloride for the rapid conversion of atrial fibrillation after cardiac surgery: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2009; **2**:652–659.
680. Gillinov AM, Bagiella E, Moskowitz AJ, Raiten JM, Groh MA, Bowdish ME, et al. Rate control versus rhythm control for atrial fibrillation after cardiac surgery. *N Engl J Med* 2016; **374**:1911–1921.
681. Saxena A, Dinh DT, Smith JA, Sharkey GC, Reid CM, Newcomb AE. Usefulness of postoperative atrial fibrillation as an independent predictor for worse early and late outcomes after isolated coronary artery bypass grafting (multicenter Australian study of 19,497 patients). *Am J Cardiol* 2012; **109**:219–225.
682. Gialdini G, Nearing K, Bhavne PD, Bonuccelli U, Iadecola C, Healey JS, et al. Perioperative atrial fibrillation and the long-term risk of ischemic stroke. *JAMA* 2014; **312**:616–622.
683. Horwich P, Butk KJ, Legare JF. New onset postoperative atrial fibrillation is associated with a long-term risk for stroke and death following cardiac surgery. *J Card Surg* 2013; **28**:8–13.
684. Ahlsson A, Fengsrud E, Bodin L, Englund A. Postoperative atrial fibrillation in patients undergoing aortocoronary bypass surgery carries an eightfold risk of future atrial fibrillation and a doubled cardiovascular mortality. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2010; **37**:1353–1359.
685. Butt JH, Xian Y, Peterson ED, Olsen PS, Rorth R, Gundlund A, et al. Long-term thromboembolic risk in patients with postoperative atrial fibrillation after coronary artery bypass graft surgery and patients with nonvalvular atrial fibrillation. *JAMA Cardiol* 2018; **3**:417–424.
686. Butt JH, Olesen JB, Havers-Borgersen E, Gundlund A, Andersson C, Gislason GH, et al. Risk of thromboembolism associated with atrial fibrillation following noncardiac surgery. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2018; **72**:2027–2036.
687. Selim M. Perioperative stroke. *N Engl J Med* 2007; **356**:706–713.
688. Macellari F, Paciaroni M, Agnelli G, Caso V. Perioperative stroke risk in nonvascular surgery. *Cerebrovasc Dis* 2012; **34**:175–181.
689. Mashour GA, Shanks AM, Khetarpal S. Perioperative stroke and associated mortality after noncardiac, nonneurologic surgery. *Anesthesiology* 2011; **114**:1289–1296.
690. Ng JL, Chan MT, Gelb AW. Perioperative stroke in noncardiac, nonneurosurgical surgery. *Anesthesiology* 2011; **115**:879–890.

691. Walicka M, Tuszynska A, Chlebus M, Sanchak Y, Sliwczynski A, Brzozowska M, et al. Predictors of in-hospital mortality in surgical wards: a multivariable retrospective cohort analysis of 2,800,069 hospitalizations. *World J Surg* 2021;**45**:480–487.
692. Mattingly AS, Lerman BJ, Popat R, Wren SM. Association of sex with postoperative mortality among patients with heart failure who underwent elective noncardiac operations. *JAMA Netw Open* 2019;**2**:e1914420.
693. World Health Organization. Prevalence of anaemia in women of reproductive age (aged 15–49) (%). In: *Global Health Observatory*. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2022. [https://www.who.int/data/gho/data/indicators/indicator-details/GHO/prevalence-of-anaemia-in-women-of-reproductive-age\(-\)](https://www.who.int/data/gho/data/indicators/indicator-details/GHO/prevalence-of-anaemia-in-women-of-reproductive-age(-))
694. Gombotz H, Schreier G, Neubauer S, Kastner P, Hofmann A. Gender disparities in red blood cell transfusion in elective surgery: a post hoc multicentre cohort study. *BMJ Open* 2016;**6**:e012210.
695. Riesenhuber M, Spannauer A, Rauscha F, Schmidinger H, Boszotta A, Pezawas T, et al. Sex differences and long-term outcome in patients with pacemakers. *Front Cardiovasc Med* 2020;**7**:569060.
696. Varma N, Mittal S, Prillinger JB, Snell J, Dalal N, Piccini JP. Survival in women versus men following implantation of pacemakers, defibrillators, and cardiac resynchronization therapy devices in a large, nationwide cohort. *J Am Heart Assoc* 2017;**6**:e005031.
697. Fang MC, Singer DE, Chang Y, Hylek EM, Henault LE, Jensvold NG, et al. Gender differences in the risk of ischemic stroke and peripheral embolism in atrial fibrillation: the AnTicoagulation and Risk factors In Atrial fibrillation (ATRIA) study. *Circulation* 2005;**112**:1687–1691.
698. Aktaa S, Batra G, Wallentin L, Baigent C, Erlinge D, James S, et al. European Society of Cardiology methodology for the development of quality indicators for the quantification of cardiovascular care and outcomes. *Eur Heart J Qual Care Clin Outcomes* 2022;**8**:4–13.
699. Minchin M, Roland M, Richardson J, Rowark S, Guthrie B. Quality of care in the United Kingdom after removal of financial incentives. *N Engl J Med* 2018;**379**:948–957.
700. Song Z, Ji Y, Safran DG, Chernew ME. Health care spending, utilization, and quality 8 years into global payment. *N Engl J Med* 2019;**381**:252–263.
701. Arbelo E, Aktaa S, Bollmann A, D'Avila A, Drossart I, Dwight J, et al. Quality indicators for the care and outcomes of adults with atrial fibrillation. *Europace* 2021;**23**:494–495.
702. Schiele F, Aktaa S, Rossello X, Ahrens I, Claeys MJ, Collet JP, et al. 2020 Update of the quality indicators for acute myocardial infarction: a position paper of the Association for Acute Cardiovascular Care: the study group for quality indicators from the ACVC and the NSTEMI-ACS guideline group. *Eur Heart J Acute Cardiovasc Care* 2021;**10**:224–233.
703. Aktaa S, Abdin A, Arbelo E, Burri H, Vernooij K, Blomstrom-Lundqvist C, et al. European Society of Cardiology Quality Indicators for the care and outcomes of cardiac pacing: developed by the Working Group for Cardiac Pacing Quality Indicators in collaboration with the European Heart Rhythm Association of the European Society of Cardiology. *Europace* 2022;**24**:165–172.
704. Corrigendum to: 2020 ESC Guidelines for the management of acute coronary syndromes in patients presenting without persistent ST-segment elevation: the Task Force for the management of acute coronary syndromes in patients presenting without persistent ST-segment elevation of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). *Eur Heart J* 2021;**42**:2298.
705. Batra G, Aktaa S, Wallentin L, Maggioni AP, Wilkinson C, Casadei B, et al. Methodology for the development of international clinical data standards for common cardiovascular conditions: European Unified Registries for Heart Care Evaluation and Randomised Trials (EuroHeart). *Eur Heart J Qual Care Clin Outcomes* 2021:qcab052.